ENERGY HARVESTING FROM HUMAN PASSIVE POWER

by M.ª Loreto Mateu Sáez

Thesis Advisor: Dr. Francesc Moll

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor in Electronic Engineering in Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya 2009

to my parents, Isabel and Josep, and to Duncan

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank first of all my thesis advisor, Dr. Francesc Moll, for all his support, motivation and exchange of ideas during these years. I would like to thank Ferran Martorell for listening to my ideas and for contributing with his own ideas during all the thesis and also for his unconditional support; and to the rest of the persons of the High Performance Integrated Circuits and Systems Design Group. I would also like to thank all the people of the Fraunhofer Institut Integrierte Schaltungen in Nurenberg, Germany, and specially to: Néstor Lucas, Cosmin Codrea, Javier Gutierrez, Markus Pollak, Santiago Urquijo, Peter Spies and Günter Rohmer for their support and cooperation in the accomplishment of this thesis. I would also like to thank Carlos Villavieja for helping me with the acceleration measurements here presented.

I express my thanks also to the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya for giving me a UPC research grant for doing my PhD.

Contents

Ι	Ge	eneral Discussion	11
1	Mo	tivation and Objectives	13
	1.1	Motivation	14
	1.2	Objectives and Document Structure	15
	1.3	Document Structure	17
2	Stat	te of the art	21
	2.1	Energy Harvesting Generators	21
		2.1.1 Photovoltaic Cells	21
		2.1.2 Mechanical Energy Harvesting Transducers	22
		2.1.3 Thermogenerators	26
		2.1.4 Other Energy Harvesting Sources	28
	2.2	Energy Harvesting Sources	28
		2.2.1 Environment	29
		2.2.2 Human body	29
	2.3	Energy Storage Elements	33
		2.3.1 Batteries	34
		2.3.2 Capacitors and Supercapacitors	37
3	Piez	zoelectric Energy Harvesting Generator	39
	3.1	Piezoelectric Equivalent Model	40
	3.2	Piezoelectric Bending Beam Analysis for Energy Harvesting using	
		Shoe Inserts	43
	3.3	Piezoelectric Beams Measurements	44
	3.4	Conclusions of the Piezoelectric Beam Measurements	48
	3.5	Comparison of different Symmetric Heterogeneous Piezoelectric	
		Beams in terms of Electrical and Mechanical Configurations	52
	3.6	Optimum Storage Capacitor for the Direct Discharge Circuit	60
	3.7	System-level simulation with piezoelectric energy harvesting	61
4	Ind	uctive Energy Harvesting Generator	65
	4.1	Accelerometer sensor calibration	66
	4.2	Acceleration Measurements on the Human Body	68
	4.3	Simulation results in the time domain	72

	4.4	Conclusions of the Simulation Results obtained with Acceleration Measurements of the Human Body		
5	5 Thermoelectric Generator 81			
	5.1	Electrical model of a Thermocouple		
		5.1.1 Thermocooler Electrical Model		
		5.1.2 Thermogenerator Electrical Model		
	5.2	Design Considerations		
	5.3	Characterization of Thermoelectric Modules (TEMs) 90		
	5.4	Power Management Unit for Thermogenerators		
		5.4.1 Energy Storage Element		
		5.4.2 Power Management Circuit		
6	\mathbf{Sys}	tem-level Simulation 99		
	6.1	Energy Harvesting Transducer and Load Energy Profile 100		
	6.2	General Conditions for Energy Neutral Operation		
		Consumption Modes		
		6.2.2 Conditions for Energy Neural Operation with N Power		
		Consumption Modes		
	6.3	System-level Simulation Example		
7	Cor	clusions 121		
	7.1	Smart Clothes and Energy Harvesting 123		
	7.2	Power Management Unit		
	7.3	Energy and Power requirements of Applications		
II	Ir	ncluded Papers 127		
8	Par	per 1: Review of Energy Harvesting Techniques for Micro-		
C	elec	stronics 129		
9	Pap Ene	ber 2: Optimum Piezoelectric Bending Beam Structures for ergy Harvesting using Shoe Inserts 145		
10	Pap a pi ope	per 3: Appropriate charge control of the storage capacitor in dezoelectric energy harvesting device for discontinuous load ration 157		
11	Pap Ind	per 4: Physics-Based Time-Domain Model of a Magnetic uction Microgenerator 167		
12	Pap for	er 5: Human Body Energy Harvesting Thermogenerator Sensing Applications 179		

	piez	coelectric energy harvesting	187
II	IA	Appendixes	195
A	Rela	ations between Piezoelectric Constants	197
в	Rela	ations between Piezoelectric Constants for PVDF and Ce	-
	ram	ic Materials	201
	B.1	Polyvinylidene Fluoride films	201
		B.1.1 Piezoelectrical constants for PVDF in mode 31	205
		B.1.2 Piezoelectrical constants for PVDF in mode 33	206
	B.2	Ceramic Material	206
\mathbf{C}	Elec	ctromechanical Piezoelectric Model for different Working	g
	Mo	des	209
	C.1	Electromechanical coupling circuits for mode 31 and state vari-	
		ables F, ν, V and I	209
		C.1.1 Connection of a Load to the Electromechanical Coupling	
		Circuit	211
	C.2	Electromechanical coupling circuits for mode 33 and state vari-	
		ables F, ν, V and I	213
		C.2.1 Connection of a Load to the Electromechanical Coupling	
	C a	Circuit	215
	U.3	Electromechanical plezoelectric model for mode 31 and state vari-	915
		ables I , S , E and D	215
		C.5.1 Connection of a Load to the Electromechanical Coupling	218
	C_{1}	Electromechanical piezoalectric model for mode 33 and state varia	210
	0.1	ables T, \dot{S}, E and \dot{D}	220
D	Acc	eleration Measurements on the Human Body	223
\mathbf{E}	Cha	racterization of Thermoelectric Modules (TEMs)	229
\mathbf{F}	Bat	tery	233
	F.1	State of Charge	233
	F.2	Electrical Models	235
	F.3	Battery Measurements and Parameters Calculation of the Elec-	
		trical Model	237

13 Paper 6: System-lev	vel simulation of a self-	powered sensor with
piezoelectric energy	harvesting	187

List of Figures

1.1	Schema of a generic self-powered device	14
$2.1 \\ 2.2$	Thermoelectric module	26 36
3.1	Piezoelectric coupling circuits, relating mechanical and electrical	
	magnitudes	43
3.2	Position of the symmetric heterogeneous bimorph in the shoe	45
3.3	Position of the symmetric heterogeneous bimorph in the shoe	45
3.4	Position of the symmetric heterogeneous bimorph in the shoe	46
3.5	Voltage waveform of two piezoelectric films wired to a load of	
0.0	$100 \mathrm{k}\Omega$ while a person is walking	46
3.6	Cross section of a homogeneous bimorph beam. $t_c/2$ corresponds	
	to a piezoelectric film thickness. Y_c is the Youngs modulus for	
	the piezoelectric material. W_0 is the width of the beam. The	4 7
27	Create axis is placed between the two plezoelectric films	47
3.7	Cross section of symmetric neterogeneous bimorph beam. $t_c/2$	
	to non piezoelectric film thickness. V is the Youngs modulus for	
	to non-prezoelectric mini thickness. I_c is the Youngs modulus for the	
	non-piezoelectric material. W_2 is the width of the rectangular	
	hon-prezociectite material. Will is the width of the rectangular	$\overline{47}$
38	Voltage waveform of an heterogeneous symmetric himorph placed	ті
0.0	at the beginning of a shoe with two piezoelectric films wired to a	
	load of $560 \text{k}\Omega$ while a person is walking.	48
3.9	Voltage waveform of an heterogeneous symmetric bimorph placed	
	at the end of a shoe with two piezoelectric films wired to a load	
	of 560k Ω while a person is walking	49
3.10	Voltage waveform of an heterogeneous symmetric bimorph simply	
	supported bending beam with distributed load placed at the end	
	of a shoe with two piezoelectric films wired to a load of $560 \mathrm{k}\Omega$	
	while a person is walking.	49
3.11	Energy delivered to a 560 k Ω resistor by a symmetric heteroge-	
	neous bimorph placed in the position shown by Figure 3.2 for	
	different activities	50

3.12	Energy delivered to a $560k\Omega$ resistor by a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph placed in the position shown by Figure 3.3 for different activities.	50
3.13	Energy delivered to a $560 k\Omega$ resistor by a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph placed in the position shown by Figure 3.4 for different activities.	51
3.14	Cross section of symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam. $t_c/2$ corresponds to piezoelectric film thickness whereas t_s corresponds to non-piezoelectric film thickness. Y_c is the Youngs modulus for the piezoelectric material, and Y_s is the Youngs modulus for the non-piezoelectric material. W_0 is the width of the rectangular beam.	52
3.15	Cross section of n piezoelectric symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beams	55
3.16	Cross section of a piezoelectric symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam with a piezoelectric film of thickness $nt_c/2$ placed at each side of the non piezoelectric material with thickness nt_s	55
3.17	Cross section of a piezoelectric symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam with a piezoelectric film of thickness $t_c/2$ placed at each side of the non piezoelectric material with thickness $t_s(n)$, see Equation (3.23)	56
3.18	Ratio of the maximum mean electrical power of the parallel con- nection of the top and bottom piezoelectric elements of the struc- ture shown in Figure 3.14 and the maximum electrical power of the top or bottom piezoelectric elements.	57
3.19	Ratio of the maximum mean electrical power of structure A and structure of Figure 3.14 versus n .	58
3.20	Ratio of the maximum mean electrical power of structure B and structure of Figure 3.14 versus n .	59
3.21	Ratio of the maximum mean electrical power of structure A and structure of Figure 3.14 versus τ and n .	59
3.22	Working mode of the direct discharge circuit with control and regulator circuit to supply power to a load.	61
3.23	Structure of a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph with triangular shape.	62
4.1	Mica 2 sensor board employed for the human body acceleration measurements	66
4.2	Acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on a knee while a person was walking with $T_s=0.013s.$	69
4.3	X-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on a knee while a person was walking with $T_s=0.013s$	69
4.4	Y-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on a knee while a person was walking with T_s =0.013s	70

4.5	Acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node	
	on a knee while a person was descending and ascending stairs	
	with $T_s=0.013$ s	70
4.6	X-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor	
	node on a knee while a person was descending and ascending	
	stairs with $T_s = 0.013$ s.	71
4.7	Y-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor	
	node on a knee while a person was descending and ascending	
	stairs with $T_{\rm r}=0.013$ s	71
48	Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained	
1.0	by placing an accelerometer on the knee of a person that was	
	walking with $T = 0.013$ s for X-direction	72
49	Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained	12
1.0	by placing an accelerometer on a knee while a person was de-	
	scending and according stairs with $T = 0.013$ s for X-direction	73
4 10	Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained	10
4.10	by placing an accelerometer on a knee while a person was de-	
	scending and according stairs with $T = 0.013$ s for X-direction	74
1 11	X_{-} axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor	14
4.11	node on the know of a person when is walking	75
1 19	Position of the proof mass when the external acceleration of Fig	15
4.12	ure 4.11 is applied to the microgenerator. The parameters on	
	played during the simulation are $k = 600 N/m$ $\alpha_{\rm c} = 10 mm$ and	
	proved during the simulation are $\kappa = 0001 \sqrt{m}$, $z_0 = 10mm$ and $b = 0.1$	70
1 1 2	b = 0.1	19
4.10	Energy dissipated in the load when the external acceleration of	
	Figure 4.11 is applied to the incrogenerator. The parameters	
	employed during the simulation are $k = 000N/m$, $z_0 = 10mm$	70
	and $b = 0.1$.	19
5.1	The thermogenerator converts the heat flow existing between the	
0.1	human hand and the ambient in electrical energy	82
5.2	Thermocooler equivalent circuit [1]	83
5.3	Thermocooler equivalent circuit [2]	84
5.4	Thermogenerator equivalent circuit based in the model of Chavez	01
0.1	et al [1]	86
5 5	Voltage as a function of current for the 17 A 1015 H 200 Peltron	00
0.0	thermogenerator	90
5.6	Power as a function of current for the 17 A 1015 H 200 Peltron	00
0.0	thermogenerator	91
57	Voltage as a function of current for the 128 Å 1030 Poltron ther-	51
0.1	mogenerator	91
58	Power as a function of current for the 128 \wedge 1030 Poltron ther-	51
0.0	more as a runchion of current for the 120 A 1000 I current filer-	92
5.0	Low-input voltage power management circuit	9 <u>2</u> 95
5.9 5.10	Efficiency versus output current for $V = -9V$	95 97
5.10	Electrical characteristics of the Power Management Unit	91 08
0.11	Execution characteristics of the rower management Unit	<i>3</i> 0

6.1	Power delivered by the energy harvesting transducer to the energy storage element as a function of time.	101
6.2	Power consumption of the load as a function of time	102
6.3	Power consumption of the load as a function of time	107
6.4	Schematic of a battery-powered RF transmitter	109
6.5	Simulation results with load for the parameters summarized in Table 6.1. The waveform called <i>SOC</i> shows the state of charge of the battery with a voltage range from 0 to 1 V. <i>IRPB4</i> is the current flowing into the battery. <i>vinreg</i> is the voltage at the input of the linear regulator and <i>vdload</i> is the voltage of the battery that is supplied to the RF transmitter.	112
6.6	Zoom view of the simulation results with load for the parameters summarized in Table 6.1.	113
6.7	Simulation results without charge for $n=20$, $T=1.2$ s and the rest of parameters summarized in Table 6.1. The waveform called SOC shows the state of charge of the battery with a voltage range from 0 to 1 V. $IRPB4$ is the current flowing into the battery, $vinreg$ is the voltage at the input of the linear regulator and $vdload$ is the voltage of the battery that is supplied to the RF transmitter.	114
6.8	Simulation results with charge for $n=20$, $T=1.2$ s and the rest of parameters summarized in Table 6.1. The waveform called <i>SOC</i> shows the state of charge of the battery in per one, <i>IRPB4</i> is the current flowing into the battery, <i>vinreg</i> is the voltage at the input of the linear regulator and <i>vdload</i> is the voltage of the battery that is supplied to the Enocean transmitter.	115
6.9	Schematic of a battery-powered RF transmitter simulated with the parameters of Table 6.3	115
6.10	Total mechanical excitation combined from three different me- chanical excitations	117
6.11	Simulation results without load for the parameters summarized in Table 6.3. The waveform called <i>SOC</i> shows the state of charge of the battery in per one. <i>IRPB4</i> is the current flowing into the battery. <i>vinreg</i> is the voltage at the input of the linear regulator and <i>vdload</i> is the voltage of the battery that is supplied to the RF transmitter	118
6.12	Simulation results with the Enocean transmitter as a load for the parameters summarized in Table 6.3. The waveform called SOC shows the state of charge of the battery in per one, $IRPB4is the current flowing into the battery, vinreg is the voltage atthe input of the linear regulator and vdload is the voltage of thebattery that is supplied to the RF transmitter$	119

6.13	Simulation results with the Enocean transmitter as a load for the parameters summarized in Table 6.3. The waveform called SOC shows the state of charge of the battery in per one, $IRPB4is the current flowing into the battery, vinreg is the voltage atthe input of the linear regulator and vdload is the voltage of thebattery that is supplied to the Enocean transmitter$	120
B.1	Mechanical axis position for piezoelectric materials	203
B.2	Mechanical excitation of the piezoelectric film along axis 1	204
B.3	Mechanical excitation of the piezoelectric film along axis 3	205
C.1	Piezoelectric coupling circuits, relating mechanical and electrical	
C.2	magnitudes with state variables F , ν , V , and I Piezoelectric coupling circuits, relating mechanical and electrical	209
a a	magnitudes	212
С.3	Piezoelectric coupling circuits, relating mechanical and electrical magnitudes.	216
C.4	Piezoelectric coupling circuit relating mechanical and electrical	
	magnitudes with a resistive load	219
D.1	Acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the ankle while a person was walking and descending and ascending stairs with $T_c=0.013$ s.	223
D.2	X-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor	
	node on the ankle while a person was walking with T_s =0.013s.	224
D.3	Y-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the ankle while a person was walking with T_s =0.013s.	225
D.4	Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained by placing an accelerometer on the ankle of a person that was	
	walking with T_s =0.013s for X-direction.	225
D.5	Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained by placing an accelerometer on the ankle of a person that was	
	walking with $T_s=0.013$ s for Y-direction.	226
D.6	Acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the wrist while a person was walking with $T_s=0.013s$	226
D.7	X-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the wrist while a person was welling with $T = 0.013$	997
D.8	Y-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor	221
	node on the wrist while a person was walking with $T_s = 0.013$ s	227
D.9	Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained by placing an accelerometer on the wrist of a person that was	220
	walking with $T_s = 0.013$ s for Y-direction	228
F.1	Electrical model of the battery $[3]$	236
F.2	Lithium polymer battery, model 602030 from Bullith	237

F.3	Measurement setup for battery characterization including a cli-	
	mate chamber	238
F.4	Li-Ion battery charging and discharging stages.	239
F.5	Capacity that can be extracted from one battery sample at 20 °C.	240
F.6	Capacity that can be extracted from one battery sample at 20 °C.	241
F.7	Capacity that can be extracted from one battery sample at 0 °C.	242
F.8	Battery voltage and current during charge stage and discharge	
	pulses at $1C$	242
F.9	Battery voltage and current during charge stage and discharge	
	pulses at $2C$	243
F.10	V_{oc} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030	
	at 20 °C	243
F.11	R_{series} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030	
	at 20 °C	244
F.12	R_{trans} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030	
	at 20 °C	244
F.13	R_{tran_L} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030	
	at $20 ^{\circ}$ C	245
F.14	C_{tran_S} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030	
	at 20 °C	245
F.15	C_{tran_L} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030	
	at 20 °C	246

Part I General Discussion

Chapter 1

Motivation and Objectives

This thesis deals with the topic of energy harvesting (also called energy scavenging) that is defined as the process by which energy is collected from the environment employing a generator that transforms the input energy into electrical energy to power autonomous electronic devices. A self-powered system based on environment energy harvesting is composed of several components, see Figure 1.1, that are:

- Energy transducer (also called energy harvesting generator), used to convert some available ambient energy into electrical energy. The environmental energy sources available for conversion may be thermal (thermoelectric cells), light (photovoltaic cells), RF (rectifying antennas), and mechanical (piezoelectric, magnetic induction, electrostatic converters).
- Storage capacitor. Some of the above energy transducers do not provide DC current, and in this case it is necessary to rectify the current and accumulate the energy into a capacitor.
- Voltage regulator, to adapt the voltage level to the requirements of the powered device.
- Optional battery, depending on the requirements of the application. In some applications the powered device can be completely switched off during certain intervals and a battery is not necessary, while in others a permanent powering is mandatory. In any case, this battery will have a lower weight, volume and capacity than a battery that is expected to supply power to an electronic device without an energy harvesting generator. It depends on the requirements of the application if a capacitor can be used instead of a battery.
- Electronic device that typically has different power consumption modes. This fact allows to operate the device frequently in a low-power consumption mode and to operate it in active mode only during limited time periods to decrease its energy consumption.



Figure 1.1: Schema of a generic self-powered device.

In this chapter, it is given the motivation of the thesis as well as the objectives accomplished. It also contains an introduction of the state of art of energy harvesting generators and energy storage elements.

1.1 Motivation

Portable equipments are the first evolution from fixed equipments that make possible that computers are part of our everyday lives. The trends in technology allow the decrease in both size and power consumption of complex electronic systems. This decrease in size and power rises the concept of wearable devices which are integrated in everyday personal belongings like clothes, watch, glasses, et cetera [4,5]. The term wearable device receives several definitions in [4] and in the thesis is employed for a self-powered electronic device with low power consumption and communication capabilities integrated in smart clothes. Thus, if the complete energy harvesting system of Figure 1.1 would be integrated in clothes, it would be a wearable device.

Power supply is a limiting factor in wearable devices since the employment of a primary battery (a battery to be used only once) means that the user of the portable product has to carry an extra battery while the use of a secondary battery (rechargeable battery) means that the user has to plug in the portable product to grid to recharge it. This fact limits the mobility of the wearable device which is restricted to the lifetime of the battery. Furthermore, due to the costs and inaccessible locations, the replacement or recharging of batteries is often not feasible for wearable devices integrated in smart clothes. Moreover, the increasing number of battery-powered portable products is creating an important environmental impact.

Wearable devices are distributed devices in personal belongings and thus, an alternative for powering them is to harvest energy from the user. Therefore, the power can be harvested, distributed and supplied over the human body. Wearable devices can create, like the sensors of a Wireless Sensor Network (WSN), a network called in this case, Personal Area Network (PAN) [6, 7], Body Area Network [8] or WearNET [9].

Nowadays, the main application for energy harvesting generators are Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) that harvest energy from the environment. There are several publications related with this topic [10–12]. Applications with very low power consumption electrical loads are the right ones to be powered by energy harvesting generators. The sensors that are part of a WSN can be powered using energy harvested from the environment. However, for powering wearable devices the human body seems to be a more trustworthy source since it is always available.

Electrical energy can be harvested from multiple sources (kinetic, solar, temperature gradient, et cetera). The physical principle of an energy harvesting generator is obviously the same no matter whether it is employed with an environmental or human body source. Nevertheless, the limitations related to low voltage, current and frequency levels obtained from human body sources bring new requirements to the energy harvesting topic that were not present in the case of the environment sources and that are mandatory to analyze properly. This analysis is the motivation for this thesis.

1.2 Objectives and Document Structure

The transducers (piezoelectric, electromagnetic and thermogenerator) and the electrical circuits used for conditioning the electrical energy in this thesis are well known. However, there is a gap between this specific and isolated knowledge and its application to the topic of energy harvesting from passive human power (see Section 2.2.2). An electrical model and the equations that govern the transducers operation are necessary when their energy source is the human body. The energy source must also be characterized and coupled to the transducer and this is the reason why the thesis is multidisciplinary, since in order to increase the efficiency of energy harvesting generators it is sometimes not only needed to improve the electric circuits but also the mechanical parts.

Furthermore, it is also necessary to characterize the electric output obtained from energy transducers. This output is a low power signal with a voltage in the order of units of volts and current in the order of units of microamps for piezoelectrics placed inside the insole of a shoe, hundreds of millivolts and units or tens of milliamps for thermogenerators, that employ the temperature gradient between human body and environment as thermal source, and tens or hundreds of millivolts and units of milliamps in the best case for inductive transducers.

In energy harvesting applications the control unit is powered with the harvested energy and this fact entails that no energy consuming control techniques can be employed due to the low power levels harvested and that a starter circuit is mandatory to avoid the dependency on energy storage elements. Moreover, the electronic load operation must be adapted to the available energy. Thus, the dc-dc converter designed for the application with thermogenerators as energy harvesting transducers has been done taking these facts into consideration.

In energy harvesting applications, the energy harvested in a certain time is a more relevant magnitude than the instantaneous power harvested due to the discontinuous nature of energy harvesting sources. This is the reason for employing energy instead of power in most of the results of the thesis.

More specific objectives of the thesis for every component of the energy harvesting generator system are given in this section.

- A study of piezoelectric, inductive and thermoelectric generators that harvest passive human power is the main objective. A model of the complete energy harvesting system, including the transducer which is a component of critical importance, is necessary in order to simulate it and optimize its parameters [13]. This model can be done with electronic components and simulated with electronic simulators like SPICE or ADS. Alternatively, they can be described with hardware description language [14] like Verilog-A in combination with compatible simulators like SPICE or Spectre or using a generic system-level simulator, like Ptolemy [15]. Both Verilog-A and Ptolemy allow to simulate hybrid systems where mechanical and electrical disciplines are combined, like in our case of study. Therefore, it is necessary to obtain a model of the transducers with physical equations that describe how the input energy is converted into electrical energy.
- Before modeling the transducer, it is required to characterize them in a measurement stage. This stage is necessary for the comparison between measurements and simulations in order to evaluate the accuracy of the model. Furthermore, sometimes a measurement stage is required in order to extract the parameters employed in the model. The transducer is optimized for the activity from which the energy is harvested. Physical parameters of the transducers must be optimized in order to increase their efficiency. In summary, in the thesis, the following characterizations have been done:
 - voltage measurements of the energy harvesting generator based on piezoelectric films inserted inside a shoe,
 - acceleration measurements of different parts of the human body, for the case of the inductive transducers, in order to estimate the energy that can be harvested, the best location and the optimization of the energy harvesting generator,
 - voltage and current measurements at different temperature gradients to characterize the thermoelectrical generators.

- Increase of the mechanical coupling efficiency. Once the physical equations of the transducers are analyzed it can be studied if a better mechanical coupling between the transducer and the human body can be accomplished.
- Definition of the load requirements in terms of power consumption. The objective of the thesis does not include the design of the load to be powered by the energy harvesting generator. The data recollection of state of the art sensors and communication modules power consumption provides an idea about what can be done with the harvested energy. A wearable device can be composed by a sensor and a communication module (a microprocessor and a RF transceiver). Microprocessors and RF transceivers have different power consumption modes (sleep, standby, active,...). A model of the communication module in power consumption terms will determine an appropriate power consumption profile for the communication module, which ensures that the available energy harvested from the human body is enough to power it. Therefore, the model of the electronic load can be generated only taking into account the power consumption profiles of different working modes given by low power wireless communication modules [16, 17].
- The battery model. A battery or another storage element is used when permanent powering is mandatory. The objective in this field is simply the characterization of batteries using the battery model presented by Chen et al. [3].
- A more general objective and related with the different energy harvesting transducers and their locations on the human body is the quantification of the harvested energy. Once the energy is quantified, a further step is analyze what can be done with it.

1.3 Document Structure

The general objectives detailed above have been accomplished in the papers presented as part of the thesis.

* [18] provides several methods to design an energy harvesting device depending on the type of available energy. Nowadays, the trends in technology allow the decrease in both size and power consumption of complex digital systems. This decrease in size and power gives rise to new paradigms of computing and use of electronics, with many small devices working collaboratively or at least with strong communication capabilities. Examples of these new paradigms are wearable devices and wireless sensor networks. One possibility to overcome the power limitations of batteries is to harvest energy from the environment to either recharge a battery, or even to directly power the electronic device.

- * In [19], the possibility to use piezoelectric film-bending beams inside a shoe in order to harvest part of the mechanical energy associated to walking activity is exposed. This study analyzes several bending beam structures suitable for the intended application (shoe inserts and walking-type excitation) and obtains the resulting strain for each type as a function of their geometrical parameters and material properties. As a result, the optimum structure for the application can be selected.
- * [20] gives the optimal way to convert the mechanical energy generated by human activity (like walking) into electrical energy using piezoelectric films when it is collected in the form of charge accumulated in a storage capacitor. Under this scheme, the storage capacitor needs only to be connected to the load when it has enough energy for the requested operation. This time interval depends on several parameters: piezoelectric type and magnitude of excitation, required energy and voltage, and magnitude of the capacitor. This work analyzes these parameters to find an appropriate choice of storage capacitor and voltage intervals.
- * [21] presents a study of a time-domain model of a magnetic induction microgenerator for energy harvesting applications. The model is based on a simple structure for which an analytical expression of the magnetic field distribution can be computed. From this analytical expression, geometric parameters that are not taken into account in the previous literature on microgenerators are considered. Starting from the magnetic field distribution in space of a circular current loop, the paper derives the induced electromotive force in a coil depending on the distance to the magnet. Simulations give insight into the validity of linear models implicitly assumed in frequency domain analysis of these systems.
- * In [22], a low temperature thermal energy harvesting system to supply power to wireless sensing modules is introduced. The thermoelectric generator module (TEG) makes use of the temperature gradient between the human body (the heat source) and the ambient to deliver a low voltage output that is up converted by means of a power management circuit. This regulated power source is able to reliably supply a wireless communication module that transmits the collected temperature, current and voltage measurements.
- * [13] presents a complete system simulation of a self-powered sensor. The components are described with the Verilog-A language, that allows a behavioral description based on the most important characteristics. The simulations here shown compare a battery-less versus a battery-powered RF transmitter module, in both cases with a piezoelectric device generating electrical energy. Results show how design choices of the system change the periodicity of the transmission and the ability to recharge the battery.

Chapter 2 is a summary of the state of the art of energy harvesting generators and their applications. This summary is centered in different kinds of energy harvesting generators with a classification that distinguishes between those that harvest the energy from the human body and those that harvest the energy from the environment. The state of the art of energy storage elements is also included in this chapter.

The results obtained during the realization of this thesis are highlighted and analyzed in Chapters 3 through 6 and Appendixes A through F. Thus, Chapter 3 presents the study of piezoelectric generators. An electromechanical model is obtained from constitutive piezoelectric equations for the case of PVDF piezoelectric material. Additional information related with piezoelectric constants and the electromechanical piezoelectric model is given in Appendixes A, B and C. It is analyzed how to maximize the electrical energy converted during walking activity and therefore, the use of bending beam structures is introduced . The contribution of the physical dimensions and constants of the material in the acquisition of the converted electrical energy is also analyzed. The direct discharge circuit is employed for walking activity and the calculation of the optimum storage capacitor is pursued in this chapter.

Chapter 4 presents acceleration measurements of the human body which are expanded in Appendix D. The setup employed for obtaining the measured data is presented as well as the calibration procedure done. These measurements were done in order to have real data to employ as input for the simulation of an inductive generator in the time domain. A comparison between the energy harvested from the different parts of the human body analyzed is done. Moreover, the values of the parameters of inductive generators that harvest more energy are analyzed.

Chapter 5 is focused on TEGs. First, a theoretical analysis and an equivalent circuit with the thermal and electrical parts is presented. Afterwards, the characterization of some TEGs and the calculation of their parameters, employed for the electrical model of TEGs, is shown and extended results are available in Appendix E. This characterization and the knowledge of the TEG parameters are used for the design of the power management unit which deals with low input voltages for indoor applications. A power management circuit that works with temperature gradients in the range of 3 K-5 K is introduced and characterized.

In additon, Chapter 6 explains the methodology employed to create an analytical model and simulate a complete energy harvesting system. In an energy harvesting system that employs an energy storage element, it can be calculated the minimum size of the energy storage element (e.g. named as capacity for the batteries) to assure that no energy generated by the energy harvesting power supply is going to be wasted. Moreover, the minimum amount of energy that must have the energy storage element to assure proper operation is also calculated.

Finally, Chapter 7 summarizes the conclusions obtained for the appropriate design of an energy harvesting generator from human body. In addition, an explanation about when a battery-less application is possible and when a battery-powered application is necessary are given. The differences to take into account in the design of energy harvesting generators powered by environmental sources and by human body are included in this final chapter. The feasibility to integrate energy harvesting generators based on human power is also discussed.

Appendixes A, B and C are related with the topic of piezoelectric transducers. Appendix A contains the theoretical analysis of the relation between the piezoelectric constants calculated from the piezoelectric constitutive equations. Appendix B contains the same analysis applied to PVDF and ceramic piezoelectric materials for different working modes. Moreover, the working mode that harvests more energy is deduced as well as its relation with the dimensions of the material and their piezoelectric constants. Appendix C gives a detailed analysis of the electromechanical coupling circuits for PVDF piezoelectric materials in working modes 31 and 33 employing to sets of state variables: $\{F, \nu, V, I\}$ and $\{T, \dot{S}, E, \dot{D}\}$.

Appendix D shows additional acceleration measurements on the human body and its frequency spectrum.

Appendix E contains voltage measurements obtained for different thermogenerators connected to several resistors at different temperature gradients. Moreover, the Seebeck coefficient and the internal resistance have been calculated at different temperature gradients.

Appendix F shows the measurements done and results obtained to characterize a Lithium polymer battery employing the model presented by Chen et al. in [3].

Chapter 2

State of the art

2.1 Energy Harvesting Generators

There are several ways to convert different kinds of energy into electrical energy. This conversion is made by energy harvesting generator systems. In this section, the most usual types of conversion are presented and classified by the kind of energy harvesting transducer employed: solar cells, electromagnetics, electrostatics, piezoelectrics and thermoelectrics. Another classification that distinguishes between energy harvesting applications powered by environmental sources and by human body is made in Section 2.2.

2.1.1 Photovoltaic Cells

Light is an environmental energy source available to power electronic devices. A photovoltaic system generates electricity by the conversion of light into electricity. Photovoltaic systems are found from the megawatt to the milliwatt range producing electricity for a wide number of applications: from grid-connected PV systems to wristwatches. The application of photovoltaics in portable products could be a valid option under the appropriate circumstances.

The power conversion efficiency of a PV solar cell is defined as the ratio between the solar cell output power and the solar power (irradiance) impinging the solar cell surface. For a solar cell of 100 cm^2 , 1 W can be generated, if the solar irradiation is 1000 W/m^2 and the efficiency of the solar cell is 10%. The PV solar cells have a lifetime around 20-30 years.

Outdoors, the solar radiation is the energy source for PV system. Solar radiation varies over the earth's surface due to the weather conditions and the location (longitude and latitude). For each location exists an optimum inclination angle and orientation of the PV solar cells in order to obtain the maximum radiation over the surface of the solar cell [23].

M. Veefkind et al. presented the Solar Tergo prototype, a charger for small portable products such as mobile telephones and MP3 players, for use in combination with a backpack. The Solar Tergo consists of PV cells and a cell battery pack [24, 25].

UCLA University has developed a solar harvesting module to power sensor nodes called Heliomote. This module can power the most commonly sensor nodes like Crossbow's Mica2 and MicaZ. Heliomote employs commercial solar panels to harvest energy and manages the storage and the use of the harvested energy. The energy demands of sensors are adapted to the available energy [26]. A commercial edition of Heliomote is now available in ATLA Labs [27].

Solar energy is also a valid power source for pacemakers, and other implants and biosensors. Actual devices use lithium based batteries that power during a limited period, three years, the devices. The Instituto de Energa Solar from Unviersidad Politcnica de Madrid and the Grupo de Dispositivos Semiconductores from Universitat Politcnica de Catalunya have designed a system to power this class of devices with solar energy. The system consists on an optical fiber that is placed under the skin in an accessible situation by the sun. The optical fiber goes to the the implant in which it is placed a PV cell [28].

Nowadays, a new technology of solar cells is being developed. At the moment, solar power has required expensive silicon-based panels that produce electricity four to ten times more costly than conventional power plants. The new technology of solar cells provides cheap and flexible solar cells. Advances in material science, including nanomaterials, is the base of printable solar cells. General Electric, Konarka technologies, Nanosolar, Siemens and STMicroelectronics are working in the revolution of solar cells. Konarka is producing strips of flexible plastic that are converting the light into electricity. Siemens predicts that in a short period of time their printable solar cells will have an efficiency of 10%. Nanosolar is developing the idea of spraying nano solar cells onto almost any surface. This technology could enable Nanosolar to spray-paint photovoltaics onto building tiles, vehicles, etc. and wire them up to electrodes [29].

Power density of photovoltaic cells in indoor environments is lower than $10 \ \mu W/cm^2$ which is a low value compared with other energy harvesting sources [11]. Moreover, long dark periods imply the need of an energy storage element since there is not enough power to operate the load continuously. Moreover, the capacity of the energy storage element is related to the time between operations of the electronic load [18, 30].

2.1.2 Mechanical Energy Harvesting Transducers

The principle behind kinetic energy harvesting is the displacement of a moving part or the mechanical deformation of some structure inside the energy harvesting device. This displacement or deformation can be converted to electrical energy by three different methods, that are explained in subsequent subsections: inductive, electrostatic and piezoelectric conversion.

Each one of these transducers can convert kinetic energy into electrical energy with two different methods: inertial and non-inertial transducers. Inertial transducers are based on a spring-mass system. In this case, the proof mass vibrates or suffers a displacement due to the kinetic energy applied. The energy obtained will depend on this mass, and therefore this type is called inertial converters. Mitcheson et al. have classified inertial converters in function of the force opposing the displacement of the proof mass [31]. These converters resonate at a particular frequency and many of them are designed to resonate at the frequency of the mechanical input source at input mechanical vibrations frequency since at this frequency (resonance frequency), the energy obtained is maximum. However, as the converters are miniaturized to integrate them on microelectronic devices, the resonance frequency increases, and it becomes much higher than characteristic frequencies of many everyday mechanical stimuli associated to human body. For example, typical acceleration frequencies of the human body in movement are below 20 Hz [32].

For non-inertial converters, an external element applies a pressure that is transformed into elastic energy, causing a deformation that is converted to electrical energy by the converter. In this case, there is no proof mass and the obtained energy depends on mechanical constraints or geometric dimensions [19]. The following sections give an overview of inductive, electrostatic and piezoelectric inertial generator whereas the case of non-inertial piezoelectric generator is detailed in Section 2.2.2.

Inductive (micro)Generators

Inductive generators are also called Voltage Damped Resonant Generators (VDRG). This transducer is based on Faraday's Law. The analysis of an inductive generator in the frequency domain is given in [31].

Table 2.1 shows a summary of inductive inertial generators with the reference of the design, the frequency and amplitude of the mechanical input, the output power generated at a certain output voltage and the dimensions of the transducers.

Design Author	Mechanical excitation	Output power	Dimensions	
Williams et al [33]	$f = 4 \ kHz$	0.2W		
	Amplitude = $300 \ nm$	$0.5\mu W$	110110	
[i ot p] [34]	f = 64Hz	$10 \ \mu W$	$1 \mathrm{cm}^3$	
Li et al. [54]	Amplitude = $1000 \mu m$	@ 2 V	1011	
Ching at al [35]	f = 104Hz	$5 \ \mu W$		
Ching et al. [35]	Amplitude = $190 \mu m$		-	
Amirtharaigh at al [36]	f = 2 Hz	$400 \ \mu W$		
Annitharajan et al. [50]	$Amplitude = 2 \ cm$	@ 180 mV	-	
Vuon et al [27]	f = 80 Hz	$120 \ \mu W$	9.2 cm^3	
1 ucii ci ai. [37]	Amplitude = 250 μm	@ 900 μV	2.5 CH	

Table 2.1: Summary table of Inductive Inertial Generators.

Electrostatic (micro)Generators

The physical principle of electrostatic generators is based on the fact that the moving part of the transducer moves against an electrical field, thus generating electrical energy. The energy conversion principle of electrostatic generators is summarized in [31].

Electrostatic generators are also called Coulomb-damped resonant generators (CDRGs) based on electrostatic damping. Meninger et al. present an electrostatic generator that employs a variable micro-machined capacitor with two different designs: a parallel capacitor operated with a constant charge and a comb capacitor operated with a constant voltage [38]. If the charge on the capacitor is maintained constant while the capacitance decreases (reducing the overlap area of the plates or increasing the distance between them), the voltage will increase. If the voltage on the capacitor is maintained constant while the capacitance decreases, the charge will decrease. The mechanical energy converted into electrical energy is greater when the voltage across the capacitor is constrained than when the charge across the capacitor is constrained. However, the initial voltage source needed to place an initial charge on the capacitor plates has a smaller value, if the charge across the capacitor is constrained. A way to increase the electrical energy for the charge constrained method is adding a capacitor in parallel with the MEMS capacitor. The disadvantage of this solution is that the initial voltage source has to increase its value. The energy is transduced through a variable capacitor and generates 8 μ W from a 2,520 Hz excitation input [38]. Roundy et al. called this topology in-plane overlap converter since the capacitance variation is produced by the change in the overlap area of the interdigitated fingers [39, 40]. When the plate moves, the capacitance changes as a consequence of the overlap area of the interdigitated fingers. Roundy et al. designed three different topologies of a MEMS CDRG with constant charge [41].

Table 2.2 shows a summary of electrostatic inertial generators with the reference of the design, the frequency and amplitude of the mechanical input, the output power generated at a certain output voltage and the dimensions of the transducers.

Table 2.2. Summary table of Electrostatic mertial Generators.				
Design Author	Mechanical excitation	Output power	Dimensions	
Meninger et al. [38]	$f = 2.52 \ kHz$	$8\mu W$	$0.075 \ cm^{3}$	
Sterken et al. [42]	f = 1,200Hz Amplitude = 20 μm	100 $\mu W@2V$	-	
Miyazaki et al. [43]	f = 45 Hz Amplitude = 1 μm	$120 \ nW$	-	

Table 2.2: Summary table of Electrostatic Inertial Generators.

Piezoelectric Generators

Piezoelectric materials are materials that are physically deformed in the presence of an electric field or that produce an electrical charge when they are mechanically deformed. Piezoelectric generators combine most of the advantages of both inductive and electrostatic generators. However, piezoelectric converters are difficult to implement on micromachined processes.

S. Roundy designed and fabricated a bimorph PZT generator with a steel center shim [12]. The cantilever structure has an attached mass and the volume of the total structure is 1 cm³. A model of the developed piezoelectric generator was made and validated (Design 1). For an input vibration of 2.25 m/s² at about 120 Hz, power from 125 μ W to 975 μ W was generated depending on the load. More piezoelectric inertial generators are summarized in Table 2.3 where the mechanical excitation is described in terms of its frequency and acceleration.

 Table 2.3: Summary table of Piezoelectric Inertial Generators.

Design Author	Mechanical excitation	Output power	Dimensions
S. Roundy et al. [12]	$a = 2.25 \ m/s^2$	$207 \ \mu W$	$1 cm^3$
Design 1	f = 85 Hz	@ 10 V	1 Cm
S. Roundy et al. [12]	$a = 2.25 \ m/s^2$	$335 \ \mu W$	1 am^3
Design 2	f = 60 Hz	@ 12 V	1 Cm
S. Roundy et al. [12]	$a = 2.25 \ m/s^2$	$1700 \ \mu W$	1.8 am^{3}
Design 3	f = 40 Hz	@ 12 V	4.8 <i>Cm</i>
ц ц., [44]	$a = 1 \ m/s^2$	$246 \ \mu W/cm^{3}$	
11. 11u [44]	f = 50 Hz	@ 18.5 V	-

A comparison between piezoelectric, electrostatic and inductive inertial transducers is given in [12]. Piezoelectric and inductive transducers don't need an external voltage source while the electrostatic does. However, the voltage levels obtained with electromagnetic generators are in the order of hundreds of millivolts. Another advantage of piezoelectric transducers is that the output voltage obtained is large enough to not need a transformer like in the case of inductive transducers. However, piezoelectric transducer is the most difficult to integrate on chip whereas electrostatic is the easiest to integrate on chip [12]. Roundy et al. compare also these three kinds of transducers from the point of view of the energy storage density obtained and the results show that the values for piezoelectric generators are greater than for the other generators [11].

Ottman et al. presented a circuit with a piezoelectric element connected to a diode bridge with a tank capacitor wired to a switch-mode dc-dc converter. An analysis is realized in order to obtain the optimal duty cycle of the converter that maximizes the harvested power of the piezoelectric element. This power management unit was designed for recovering energy from environmental vibrations. The use of the proposed system increases the harvested power by 325 % as compared to when the battery is directly charged with the piezoelectric rectified source. In the analysis done the piezoelectric source is supposed to be a sinusoidal waveform. The switch-mode dc-dc converter is placed in order to control that voltage across the tank capacitor will be the optimum value to ensure that energy transferred from the piezoelectric element to the charging battery is maximum [45,46]. Mitcheson et al. describe different power processing circuits for electromagnetic, electrostatic and piezoelectric inertial energy scavengers [47]. A complete explanation for the power management unit presented in [47] for the case of electrostatic energy scavengers is given in [48].

2.1.3 Thermogenerators

Figure 2.1 illustrates a thermoelectric pair (thermocouple). The thermoelectric module consists of pairs of p-type and n-type semiconductors forming thermocouples that are connected electrically in series and thermally in parallel. The thermogenerator, based on the Seebeck effect, produces an electrical current proportional to the temperature gradient between the hot and cold junctions. The output voltage obtained for N thermocouples is N times the voltage obtained for a single thermocouple whereas the current is the same as for a single couple [49, 50]. The Seebeck coefficient is positive for p-type materials and negative for n-type materials. The heat that enters or leaves a junction of a thermoelectric device has two reasons: the presence of a temperature gradient at the junction and the absorption or liberation of energy due to the Peltier effect [49].



Thermogenerator (TEG)

Figure 2.1: Thermoelectric module.

The figure of merit of thermoelectric modules, Z, is a measure of the crosseffect between electrical and thermal effects that takes place in TEGs (see Chapter 5). The figure of merit is sometimes represented multiplied by the temperature, ZT. A large value of ZT corresponds to a high efficiency in the conversion of thermal to electric power [49,51]. Ryan et al. predict a specific power around 0.5 W/cm^2 for a 10 K temperature gradient at room temperature with a figure of merit, ZT, equal to 0.9 [51]. Starner [52] estimates that the Carnot efficiency at this temperature conditions is 5.5%. Thermoelectric microconverters are expected to provide milliwatts of power at several volts. Microconverters can be employed to convert rejected heat to electric power, providing electric power and passive cooling at the same time. Ryan et al. also expound that manipulating electrical and thermal transport on the nanoscale it is possible to improve conversion efficiency and ZT is predicted to increase by a factor of 2.5-3 near room temperature [51].

Carnot efficiency sets an upper theoretical limit to the heat energy that can be recovered. The human body is a heat source and the temperature gradient between the body and the environment, e.g. room temperature (20°C), can be employed by a TEG to obtain electrical energy. In a warmer environment the Carnot efficiency drops while it rises in a colder one. The previous calculations are made assuming that all the heat radiated by the human body can be recovered and transformed into electrical power, so that the obtained power is overestimated. A further issue of interest is the location of the device dedicated to capture human body heat. Starner recommends the neck as a good location for the TEG since it is part of the core region, those parts of the body that always must be warm. Moreover, the neck is an accessible part of the body and the engine can be easily removed by the user without creating discomfort. It is estimated that approximately a power between 0.2W-0.32W could be recovered by a neck brace.

Leonov et al. presented a thermal circuit used for modeling a TEG with multiple stages placed on the skin [53, 54]. Moreover, their analysis is oriented to the necessity of thermal matching between the TEG and the environment to obtain output voltages around 1 V with electrical matched load.

Stordeur and Stark developed in 1997 a Low Power Thermoelectric Generator (LPTG) for the D.T.S. GmbH company [55]. The LPTG of D.T.S. is a small compact thermoelectric generator whose output is compatible to the requirements of micro electronic systems in terms of dimensions and output power. The working range of LPTG is near room temperature with hot side temperature of the TEG not higher than 120°C. The LPTG provides a power output of 20 μ W and a voltage of about 4 V under load at ΔT =20K [56]. A new approach was presented two years after the previous work that is capable of converting 15 $\mu W/cm^2$ from a 10 K temperature gradient [57]. Other energy harvesting TEGs are presented in Table 2.4 including applications oriented to energy harvesting from human body that are more detailed in Section 2.2.2.

Several power management methods can be employed with TEGs. A boost converter or a charge pump [62, 63] is usually necessary due to the low output voltage of TEGs (in the range of mV) in applications with temperature gradients around 5K at room temperature. Higher output voltages can be obtained connecting more thermocouple of the TEG in series but this involves an increase in its size.

Table 2.4: Summary table of Thermogenerators				
Author	Output power	ΔT	Absolute tempera-	
			ture	
Stordeur et al. [56]	$20 \ \mu W@4 \ V$	20K	room temperature	
			to $120^{\circ}C$	
Stordeur et al. [57]	$15 \ \mu W/cm^2$	10K	-	
Stevens [58]	-	10 K	-	
Seiko [59,60]	$1.5 \ \mu W @ 1.5 \ V$	1 - 3 K	-	
ThermoLife [61]	$28 \ \mu W @ 2.6 \ V$	5K	$30^{\circ}C$	
Leonov et al. $[54]$	$250 \qquad \mu W$	-	room temperature	
	$20 \ \mu W/cm^2 @0.9 \ V$			

2.1.4 Other Energy Harvesting Sources

Other ambient energy sources with their respective energy harvesting transducers like RF sources [64,65], air flow sources, triboelectricity, pressure variations or radioactive specks, are available. A. Lal and J. Blanchard harvest the energy released naturally by tiny bits of radioactive materials [66]. The designed device is called nuclear micro-battery and it is composed by a radioactive source and on top of it, a rectangular piezoelectric cantilever is placed. When electrons fly spontaneously form the radioactive source to the copper sheet , the cantilever is charged negatively whereas the radioactive source is charged positively. Then, the source attracts the cantilever. The top of the cantilever has piezoelectric material so the mechanical stress of the bend produces a voltage across the electrodes attached. When the cantilever bends to the point where the cooper sheet touches the radioactive source, electrons flow back to the source and the electrostatic attraction finishes. At this moment, the cantilever oscillates and produces a series of electric pulses.

Another possible source is triboelectricity. The charge process associated to triboelectricity can be produced by surface contact. The net charge obtained when two surfaces are separated is directly proportional to its surface contact. Moreover, the net charge obtained by a material is related to friction and the break of bonds that gives as result free electrons [67,68].

2.2 Energy Harvesting Sources

A second classification of energy harvesting applications distinguishes between the source of energy: the environment or the human body. In this section, some energy harvesting systems are summarized and classified. An environmental energy source is usually employed to power Wearable Sensor Networks (WSNs) whereas human body power is used for supplying low power wearable devices, RF tags, networks of sensors distributed on smart textiles.

2.2.1 Environment

The application of a WSN will determine the energy source (solar energy, vibrations, thermal gradient,...) to use. The main environmental energy sources employed to supply power to WSNs are solar energy and mechanical vibrations. The main application of WSNs is to sense the environment in order to collect data that are employed to improve the comfort and health of intelligent buildings. One of the most famous WSNs hardware platforms is the Mica. Mica Motes are nodes created by Crossbow Technology Inc. [69]. These nodes employs TinyOS as operating system [70]. They are modular with a processing and communication board and a sensing board. The first board includes a microcontroller (μ C), an antenna, a flash memory, a power connector , an expansion connector and a CC1000 single chip transceiver. The sensor board has a light sensor, a temperature sensor, a sounder, a microphone, a tone detector, an accelerometer and a magnetometer. All these motes are designed to be battery powered.

S. Roundy et al. estimated that assuming an average distance between wireless sensor nodes of 10 m, the peak power consumed by the radio transmission will be around 2 to 3 mW whereas the peak power consumed during the reception is less than 1 mW [11]. It is estimated a maximum peak power of 5 mW taking into account the processor and communication units as well as the sensing and peripheral circuitry. The microcontroller and the communication transceiver modules have low power consumption modes (sleep mode, standby mode, ...) where the power consumption is reduced to the range of tens of μW . Assuming that the nodes will be active 1% of the time, it is calculated an average power consumption around 100 μ W. Taking into account this average power consumption, a Lithium battery of 1 cm^3 must be replaced once every nine months. Thus, batteries are not a recommended power source for wireless sensors since the power source would limit the lifetime of the sensor [40]. Energy harvesting generators that employ vibrational energy sources have a power density around $375 \ \mu W/cm^3$. In the case of energy harvesting generators based on solar energy it is generated 15,000 $\mu W/cm^2$ and 10 $\mu W/cm^2$ for outdoor and indoor solar source [11].

2.2.2 Human body

A.J. Jansen employs the term human power as short for human powered energy systems in consumer products [71]. The Personal Energy System (PES) research group of the Delft University of Technology distinguishes between active and passive energy harvesting method. The active powering of electronic devices takes place when the user of the electronic product has to do a specific work in order to power the product that otherwise the user would not have done. The passive powering of electronic devices takes place when the user does not have to do any task different to the normal tasks associated with the product. In this case, the energy is harvested from the user's everyday actions (walking, breathing, body heat, blood pressure, finger motion, ...).

Active Human Power

Some examples of electronic devices supplied from active human power extracted for activities like pedaling, walking, ... are given in this section. The access to Internet via bicycle-powered computer and a Wi-Fi network from a Laos Village is presented in [72]. The computer is an ultra-efficient Linux PC that sends signals via a wireless connection to a solar-powered relay station. The PC power is supplied by a car battery charged by a person pedaling a stationary bike. 1 minute of pedaling generates around 5 minutes of power.

Windstream Power Systems Incorporated offers human power generators like MkIII, HPG MkIII which can be pedaled or cranked by hand and it can generate an average continuous power about 125 W by pedaling and 50 W by hand-cranking. The Bike Power Module consists on a generator, bearings, and frictional wheel all mounted on a steel bracket in order to generate 100-300 W [73].

T. Baylis designed a low cost radio, BayGen Freeplay, that worked on a hand crank. The BayGen Freeplay requires only a couple of human calories to work. If the user wind up to the hand crank during 30 seconds, the radio stores enough power in a fully wound-up spring to listen to the radio during 30 minutes [74]. Freeplay continued to develop their radio adding a capacitor and later a rechargeable batteries and solar panels [75]. The company also has introduced another products powered by arm motion while has continued innovating in the radio market [76]. Another portable radio powered by an alternative system is the Dynamo & Solar (D&S) radio, produced in China [77].

Another company offering human powered products is Atkin Design and Development, AD&D. Their prototype Sony radio delivers 1.5 hours play time for a 60 second wind. Their Motorola phone charger prototype provides 2 hours standby and 10 minutes talk time for every 60 seconds wind. The Professional torch model shine for 15 minutes on a 60 second wind and can be used as attachable charger unit for the radio and phone [78].

The Nissho's Allandinpower is a hand-powered device that one cranks by squeezing. It produces 1.6 W of power when the handle is squeezed at 90 times per minute. The device is capable of providing energy to general applications like a phone or flashlight. One minute of powering gives one minute of talk time when a mobile phone is powered [75, 79]. Nissho has also a stepcharger that is powered by the movement of the feet and can generate up to 6 W [75, 79]. Freeplay has also developed a similar product called Freecharge Portable Marine Power that can be also powered by solar and wind energy [76].

Passive Human Power

The option to parasitically harvest energy from everyday human activity (passive power) implies that an unobtrusive technique has to be adopted. Some passive human power generators are summarized in this section. Starner presented human power as possible source for wearable computers [52]. He analyzed power generation from breathing, body heat, blood transport, arm motion, typ-
ing, and walking and provides the power dissipated by the human body during several activities. A more recent study appears in [80] where it is explained the state of the art of passive human power to power body-worn mobile electronics.

Walking is one of the usual human activities that have associated more energy [52] and [81]. Piezoelectric materials, dielectric elastomers and rotatory generators have been employed in order to harvest energy from human walking activity.

The MIT Media Lab developed a full system that harvests parasitic power in shoes employing piezoelectric materials. The low-frequency piezoelectric shoe signals are converted into a continuous electrical energy source. The first system consisted on harvesting the energy dissipated in bending the ball of the foot, placing a multilaminar PVDF bimorph under the insole. The second one consisted on harvesting the foot strike energy by flattening curved, prestressed spring metal strips laminated with a semiflexible form of PZT under the heel. Both devices were excited under a 0.9 Hz walking activity. The PVDF stave obtained an average power of 1.3 mW in a 250 k Ω load whereas the PZT bimorph obtained an average power of 8.4 mW in a 500 k Ω load. Therefore, the electromechanical efficiency for the PVDF stave is 0.5% and for the bimorph is 20% [82].

Shenck et al. presented two electronic circuits to convert the electrical output of the piezoelectric element into a stable dc output voltage. The first circuit consisted on a diode bridge connected to the piezoelectric element to rectify its output. The charge is transferred to a tank capacitor since the moment that the charge exceeds a voltage value. At that moment the tank capacitor is connected to a linear regulator that provides a stable output voltage. In the second circuit, the linear regulator was substituted by a high-frequency forwardswitching regulator in order to improve efficiency. The control and regulation circuitry was not activated until voltage across the tank capacitor, C_b , exceeded a certain voltage value. A starter circuit was included in order to accumulate charge on C_b while there was not enough charge to activate the switches of the circuit. The converter's electrical efficiency was 17.6% [83].

Dielectric elastomers are electroactive polymers (EAPs) that can produce electric power from human activity. The main development area of EAPs are artificial muscles since EAPs hold promise for becoming the artificial muscles of the future. The electrostatic forces due to the electrodes voltage difference squeeze and strech the film [84]. Dielectric elastomers can grow by as much as 400% of their initial size and produce electric power when working in generator mode. When a voltage is applied across the dielectric elastomer which is deformed by an external force, the effective capacitance of the device changes and with the appropriate electronic circuits, electrical energy is generated [85] following the same principles of electrostatic generators as explained in Section 2.1.2. The energy density of these materials is high, some of them can generate about 21 times the specific energy density of single-crystal piezoelectrics [84]. DARPA and the U.S. Army funded the development of a heel-strike generator based on dielectric elastomers to power electronic devices in place of batteries. The claimed power generated is 1 W during normal walking activity [85]. The Media MIT Laboratory also developed another technique for extracting energy from foot pressure: an electromagnetic generator. Kymissis et al. analyzed an electromagnetic generator made by the Fascinations Corp. of Seattle that was mounted in the outside of a shoe. The first design obtained an average power of 0.23 W in a 10 Ω load, which matched its impedance, for a 3 cm stroke which interferes with walking [86]. J.Y. Hayashida et al. present an unobtrusive magnetic generator system integrated into common footwear [87]. The average power obtained was 58.1 mW, with a peak power reaching 1.61 W, in a 47 Ω load. The width of the pulses is around 110 ms. The average power is lower than in the previous design due to the fact that for the 90% of the stride, no power is harvested by the generator.

T. von Büren et al. optimize inertial micropower generators also for human walking activity obtaining power densities in the range of $8.7 - 2100 \ \mu W/cm^3$ depending on the kind of generator, its size and its location on the human body [88].

The number of commercial products that employ human power and more specifically arm motion has significantly increased since the 1990s. In 1992, Seiko introduced the Kinetic, a wrist watch powered by a micro generator that converts the motion of the watch while it is worn by its user into electrical energy stored in a capacitor. The idea was not new but Seiko improved the technology. The average power output generated when the watch is worn is $5 \,\mu$ W. However, when the watch is forcibly shaken, the power generated is 1 mW. After Seiko Kinetic, the Swatch Group launched another watch that is selfpowered, the ETA Autoquartz Self-Winding Electric Watch that is discontinued since 2006 [89].

The Seiko Thermic watch, also discontinued, employs a thermoelectric generator to convert heat from the wrist into electrical energy. The watch absorbs body heat through the back of the watch. It was the first watch powered by energy generated between the body and environment temperature. The watch was first produced in December 1998 but nowadays it is no longer manufactured. The system consists on a thermoelectric generator converts the temperature difference into electricity to power the watch. The thermoelectric generator produces a power of 1.5 μ W or more when the temperature difference is 1°C-3°C. A boosting and controlling circuit connects the thermoelectric generator to the titanium-based lithium-ion rechargeable battery of 1.5 V. The battery supplies power to the motors of the watch and the movement driver that controls them. For this device, Seiko Instruments Inc. developed at the time the world's smallest π -type Peltier cooling element [59].

Applied Digital Solutions (ADS) developed in 2001 a miniature thermoelectric generator that converts body heat flow into 1.5 V. The thermoelectric generator known as Thermo Life has several applications: attachable medical devices, electronic wrist watches, self powered heat sensors, and mobile electronics [90]. Thermo Life has a volume of 95 mm^3 and delivers with a $\Delta T = 5K$ a maximum power of $28\mu W$ at 2.6 V. The material employed for this TEG is Bi_2Te_3 which presents the best thermoelectrical properties to work in the range of room temperature [61]. Leonov et al. also showed an application where a watch-size thermoelectric wrist generator powers a radio transmitter [54]. It is also explained a simple thermal equivalent circuit for the TEG placed on the skin and different ways for increasing the output voltage given by the TEG. It is obtained a power of $250 \ \mu W$ which corresponds to about $20 \ \mu W/cm^2$ when the output load matches the internal resistance of the TEG with $\Delta = 20K$. The open circuit voltage obtained with these conditions is 1.8 V (an output voltage of 0.9 V for a matched load). This result implies that more power per square centimeter can be generated with TEGs than with solar cells in indoor applications [54].

It can be concluded that some efforts have been done in the last years in the human passive energy harvesting topic. However, most of the work done in the energy harvesting field is related with harvesting energy from the environment instead of doing it from the human body. Moreover, an exhaustive formal analysis for the energy harvesting transducers that allows to increase the mechanical coupling with the human body and the electrical coupling with the power management unit is not available. The analysis of the energy harvesting transducers is centered on their formal equations and electrical equivalent circuits. Furthermore, an analytical study allows to generate a simulation environment for energy harvesting power supplies where it is possible to size the mechanical and electrical components as a function of the power requirements of the electronic load. In summary, the thesis deals with the energy harvesting topic from the formal side.

2.3 Energy Storage Elements

The two parameters employed to evaluate a storage element are energy and power density. Both of them are expressed in terms of weight or volume. Thus, energy density is the measure of the available energy in terms of weight (gravimetric energy density) or volume (volumetric energy density). The expression for gravimetric energy density is given by Equation (2.1) and for volumetric energy density by Equation (2.2):

Gravimetric Energy Density =
$$\frac{\text{Capacity} \times \text{Nominal Voltage}}{\text{Cell Weight}}$$
 (2.1)

Volumetric Energy Density =
$$\frac{\text{Capacity} \times \text{Nominal Voltage}}{\text{Cell Volume}}$$
 (2.2)

Therefore, the energy density defines the amount of energy that can be stored in a certain volume or weight whereas the power density is a measure of the speed of the energy storage element to be charged or discharged. High values of power density indicates that the charge and discharge of the energy storage element is fast whereas low values of power density indicates that the charge and discharge is slow. The ideal energy storage element must have both high energy and power density. In batteries, energy density is high whereas power density is low. On the other hand, capacitors are the opposite case of batteries with high values of power density and low values of energy density. An alternative to capacitors and batteries are supercapacitors (or ultracapacitors) since they are a compromise between batteries and capacitors offering both a high energy and power density. Some gravimetric, volumetric energy density and power density values for secondary batteries, capacitors and supercapacitors are summarized in Table 2.5 [91,92].

Table 2.5: Energy and power density of energy storage elements

Parameter	NiCd	NiMH	Li-ion	Capacitor	Supercapacitor
Volumetric Energy	90-150	160-310	200-280	-	-
Density (Whl ^{-1})					
Gravimetric En-	30-60	50-90	90-115	0.02-0.08	1-9
ergy Density					
$(WhKg^{-1})$					
Gravimetric Power	150		1800	6000-8000	1000-7000
Density (WKg^-1)					

2.3.1 Batteries

Primary (non rechargeable) batteries compared to secondary (rechargeable) batteries are relatively long lasting. However, a large-scale adoption would result in important environmental issues. Rechargeable batteries require that the user can access to the electrical grid to recharge them which is not always available even in urban areas.

The demand of primary and secondary batteries is rising due to the generation of energy-hungry portable devices like digital cameras, camera phones, PDAs, etc. Lithium-ion (Li-Ion) batteries are nowadays (and probably also in the future) the secondary batteries leader of the market for powering portable devices. NiCd batteries market is shrinking and is being replaced by NiMH for environmental reasons [93].

Battery Terminology

Definitions concerning battery terminology are given to properly understand the behavior and characteristics of batteries [91, 93, 94]:

- Ampere-hour. It is the amount of electric charge carried by a current of 1 A flowing during 1 hour.
- Capacity (C) or Nominal Capacity (NC). It is the amount of charge expressed in Ampere-hour that can be delivered by a battery. It is usually specified at room temperature and at a low discharge current (0.1C).
- Charge rate. A charge or discharge current of a battery is measured in C-rate. A discharge current of 1C draws a current equal to the rated

capacity. For example, a battery rated at 1000mAh provides 1000mA for one hour if discharged at 1C rate.

- Cycle life. The number of cycles that a battery can be charged and discharged. Primary batteries or non-rechargeable batteries have a unitary cycle life whereas secondary batteries also called rechargeable batteries have a cycle life greater than one, dependent on the battery chemistry.
- Cut-off-voltage. The lowest voltage of a discharged battery. When the battery voltage is equal to this value, the discharge process has to finish to assure the integrity of the battery. It is also known as the end of discharge voltage (EODV) or the end of life (EOL) voltage by some manufacturers.
- Nominal voltage. The nominal voltage also called average discharge voltage is defined as the mid-point voltage of the battery voltage range during charge or discharge. For example, a battery with a voltage range of 1.8V to 2.8V has a nominal voltage of 2.3V.
- State of charge (SOC). Is the percentage of the maximum possible charge that is present inside the battery.
- Self-discharge. Capacity loss during storage due to the internal leakage. The self-discharge of Li-Ion batteries is not relevant.

It is usual to present the capacity of a battery in a figure where it appears the voltage as a function of the percentage of the nominal capacity for different discharge rate values, see Figure 2.2. The discharge curve of Li-Ion batteries can be considered flat during almost all the battery voltage range and is flatter for low discharge C-rates. Therefore, employing Li-Ion batteries the electronic device to power must tolerate less voltage variations of the supply source. For low discharge currents, the capacity of the battery is greater (an approximately equal to the nominal capacity of the battery) than for high discharge currents [95]. This unexpected variation of the energy dispensed by the battery as a function of the discharge C-rate is due to energy loss that occurs inside the battery and a drop in voltage that causes the battery to reach the low-end voltage cut-off sooner. The internal resistance of the battery is the cause of the discrepancy in the capacity for different discharge C-rates. If the battery has a low internal resistance, the differences in the nominal capacity for different discharge C-rates is in the range of only a few percentage points whereas if the battery has a high internal resistance, the difference in the capacity is around a 10 percent or more.

Another factor that has an influence over the battery capacity is the temperature. Temperatures near the room temperature $(23 \,^{\circ}C)$ with a discharge rate of 0.1C have a capacity around the 100 percent of the nominal capacity. For higher temperatures, the capacity is even greater than the 100 percent of the nominal capacity (but only a few percentage) whereas for lower temperatures, the capacity is reduced in the range of tens of percentage. However, using the batteries at high temperatures decreases their cycle life.



Figure 2.2: Li-Ion battery capacity for different discharge currents.

Battery Management

The behavior of batteries is characterized by the charge and discharge curves. There are several methods employed to charge and discharge batteries without damaging them. This section is focused in Li-Ion batteries since they are the most common rechargeable batteries for portable devices.

There are three different voltage regulator topologies to use in devices powered by batteries: switching regulators, linear voltage regulators and chargepumps [96]. The use of linear voltage regulators is appropriate for batteries with a flat discharge curve during almost all the battery voltage range. Nevertheless, a higher conversion efficiency is obtained by switching regulators [97] in the case of batteries with steep discharge curves. For the case of Li-Ion batteries, discharge curves are more flat with low discharge currents than with high discharge currents, see Figure 2.2. There are also battery chargers for Li-ion batteries that employ charge-pump technique [98]. Moreover, switching regulators are very popular to recharge battery powered devices [97].

It is better for Li-ion battery partial discharge cycles than deep discharge cycles. Up to 1000 cycles can be achieved if the battery is only partially discharged. Besides cycling, the performance of the Li-ion is also affected by aging. Different discharge methods, notably pulse discharging, also affect the longevity of some battery chemistries. While NiCd and Li-ion are robust and show min-

imal deterioration when pulse discharged, the NiMH exhibits a reduced cycle life when powering a digital load [99].

2.3.2 Capacitors and Supercapacitors

The following table summarizes a comparison between batteries and capacitors.

Table 2.0. Comparison between batteries and capacitors			
	Batteries	Capacitors	
Advantages	+ High capacity	+ High power density	
	+ Flat discharge curve	+ No charging circuit	
		needed	
Disadvantages	- Voltage as a function of	- Low energy density	
	chemistry		
	- Charging circuit needed	- Voltage proportional to	
		the stored energy	

Table 2.6: Comparison between batteries and capacitors

Supercapacitors are rated in units of 1 F and higher. The gravimetric energy density is 1 to 10Wh/kg. This energy density is high in comparison to the electrolytic capacitor but lower than batteries. The supercapacitor provides the energy of approximately one tenth of the NiMH battery. Whereas the electrochemical battery delivers an almost constant voltage during the discharge cycle, the voltage of the supercapacitor drops linearly from full voltage to zero volts without the flat voltage discharge curve that characterizes most of chemical batteries. Due to this linear discharge behavior, the supercapacitor is unable to deliver the full charge to the load. The percentage of charge that is available to give depends on the supply voltage of the load to power [99].

A supercapacitor is modeled as a constant capacitor, C_o , with a parallel capacitor, C_u , which has a linear dependence on the voltage u. Two other parameters are conventional for a supercapacitor model. The first one is the series resistor, R_s that induces voltage drops during charge and discharge. Its value influences the energy efficiency of the component and its power density. The second conventional parameter is the leakage resistor, R_l that induces load losses when the component is in a stand-by mode [100].

Generally, the charge of supercapacitors is realized with a constant current from a dc voltage source. In particular cases, the charge, but most currently the discharge are realized with a constant power.

Table 2.7 summarizes the advantages and limitations of supercapacitors.

 Table 2.7: Advantages and disadvantages of supercapacitors.

 Advantages

 Disadvantages

Advantages	Disadvantages
+ Virtually unlimited cycle life	- Unable to deliver the full energy
(not subject to the wear and ag-	stored since the voltage discharge
ing experienced by the electro-	curve is not flat.
chemical battery).	
+ Low impedance (enhances	- Low energy density (typically
pulse current handling by par-	holds one-fifth to one-tenth the
alleling with an electrochemical	energy of an electrochemical bat-
battery).	tery)
+ Rapid charging (low-	- Cells have low voltages (serial
impedance supercapacitors	connections are needed to obtain
charge in seconds).	higher voltages. Voltage balanc-
	ing is required if more than three
	capacitors are connected in se-
	ries).
+ Simple charge methods	- High self-discharge (the self-
(voltage-limiting circuit com-	discharge is considerably higher
pensates for self-discharge; no	than that of an electrochemical
full-charge detection circuit	battery).
needed).	
+ Cost-effective energy stor-	
age(lower energy density is com-	
pensated by a very high cycle	
count).	

Chapter 3

Piezoelectric Energy Harvesting Generator

Piezoelectric materials have multiple applications due to their properties. They are employed as sensors, actuators and energy harvesting generators. The piezoelectric effect was discovered by Jacques and Pierre Curie in 1880. Curie's brothers found that certain materials, when subjected to mechanical strain, suffered an electrical polarization that was proportional to the applied strain. If the electrodes are not short-circuited, a voltage associated with the charge appears. This is the piezoelectric effect used for mechanical to electrical energy conversion. Therefore, piezoelectrics are materials that allow conversion between mechanical and electrical energy. This property is used for harvesting energy from the environment. The materials which have this property also have the inverse piezoelectric effect that consists of the deformation of the material when an electrical field is applied.

There is previous research related with the topic of piezoelectric energy harvesting generators from different approaches. Applications for WSNs employ piezoelectric generators to convert mechanical vibrations to electrical energy at the resonance frequency of the piezoelectric material. Another approach is made by J. Paradiso et al. that transform the mechanical strain suffered by a piezoelectric material inserted inside a shoe into electrical energy [83]. Papers [13,19,20] focus on energy harvesting from walking activity since it has a high amount of energy associated [52,80]. However, some of the results that appear in [13,18–20] can be extrapolated to other mechanical activities associated with the human body (like the movement of the arm, knee, et cetera).

This section continues the approach to the generation of electrical energy from passive human power employing piezoelectric materials. Additional and extended results related with each paper presented in the thesis and related with this topic are included in this section.

3.1 Piezoelectric Equivalent Model

Section 4.2 *Piezoelectric generator* [18] explains the piezoelectric effect and the piezoelectric constitutive equations employing Einstein notation. These general equations are expressed in a matrix form.

$$S_{i} = s_{ij}^{E}T_{j} + d_{li}E_{l}$$

$$D_{m} = \varepsilon_{mn}^{T}E_{n} + d_{mk}T_{k}$$
 for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ and $l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$ (3.1)

There are three other ways to express the piezoelectric phenomenon. Each case differs from the others in the independent state variables and the piezo-electric constants employed:

$$S_{i} = s_{ij}^{D} T_{j} + g_{li} D_{l}$$

$$E_{m} = -g_{mk} T_{k} + \beta_{mn}^{T} D_{n}$$
 for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ and $l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$ (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} T_i &= c_{ij}^D S_j - h_{li} D_l \\ E_m &= -h_{mk} S_k + \beta_{ml}^S D_l \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6 \text{ and } l, m, n = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$
 (3.3)

$$T_{i} = c_{ij}^{E} S_{j} - e_{li} E_{l}$$

$$D_{m} = e_{mk} S_{k} + \varepsilon_{mn}^{S} E_{n}$$
 for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ and $l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$ (3.4)

In these equations, $T \, [\text{N/m}^2]$ is the applied mechanical stress matrix, $E \, [\text{N/C}]$ is the applied electric field matrix, $D \, [\text{C/m}^2]$ is the electric displacement matrix and $S \, [\text{m/m}]$ is the mechanical strain matrix. Table 3.1 gives a definition of the piezoelectric constants employed in the four different piezoelectric constitutive equations shown. The bold characters are employed to represent the matrix notation. Comparing the four different possible ways to express the piezoelectric constitutive equations, the relations between the different constants of the piezoelectric materials can be obtained. These general relations are deduced in Appendix A and summarized in Table 3.2.

The relation between the piezoelectric constants depends on the kind of piezoelectric material employed and the direction of the mechanical stress and the electrical displacement as it is shown in Appendix B. Piezoelectric materials have different working modes related with the axis where the mechanical excitation and the electrical response are obtained. For example, working mode 31 corresponds to a mechanical excitation along axis 1 whereas the electrical response is obtained in the plane perpendicular to axis 3. Table 3.3 shows the relation between the piezoelectric constants for PVDF material in the particular case of working mode 31 that is deduced in Appendix B. In Table 3.3, it is introduced a new piezoelectric constant k_{31} that is the piezoelectric coupling constant for PVDF. Appendix B shows the piezoelectric constitutive equations for the specific case of PVDF and ceramic materials.

1able 3.1:	Demition of the piezoelectric con	stants.
Constant	Dennition	5.1. units
d , piezoelectric charge constant	Dielectric displacement devel- oped when a mechanical stress is developed. E=constant	$\left\lfloor \frac{C}{N} \right\rfloor$
	Strain developed when an electric field is applied. T=constant	$\left[\frac{m}{V}\right]$
g , piezoelectric voltage constant	Electric field developed when a mechanical stress is applied. D=constant	$\left[\frac{Vm}{N}\right]$
	Strain developed when a di- electric displacement is applied. T=constant	$\left\lfloor \frac{m^2}{C} \right\rfloor$
e	Dielectric displacement devel- oped when a mechanical strain is applied. E=constant	$\left[\frac{C}{m^2}\right]$
	Mechanical stress developed when an electric field is applied. S=constant	$\left\lfloor \frac{N}{Vm} \right\rfloor$
h	Electric field developed when a mechanical strain is applied. D=constant	$\left\lfloor \frac{V}{m} \right\rfloor$
	Mechanical stress developed when a dielectric displacement is applied. S=constant	$\left[\frac{N}{C}\right]$
\mathbf{s}^{E} , compliance	Mechanical strain developed when a mechanical stress is ap- plied. E=constant	$\left[\frac{m^2}{N}\right]$
\mathbf{s}^{D} , compliance	Mechanical strain developed when a mechanical stress is ap- plied. D=constant	$\left[\frac{m^2}{N}\right]$
ε^T , permittivity	Dielectric displacement devel- oped when an electric field is applied. T=constant	$\left[\frac{CV}{m}\right] = \left[\frac{F}{m}\right]$
ε^S , permittivity	Dielectric displacement devel- oped when an electric field is applied. S=constant	$\left[\frac{CV}{m}\right] = \left[\frac{F}{m}\right]$
\mathbf{c}^{E}	Mechanical stress developed when a mechanical strain is ap- plied. E=constant	$\left[\frac{N}{m^2}\right]$
c ^D	Mechanical stress developed when a mechanical strain is ap- plied. D=constant	$\left[\frac{N}{m^2}\right]$
β^T	Electrical field developed when a dielectric displacement is ap- plied. T=constant	$\left\lfloor \frac{m}{CV} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{F} \right\rfloor$
β^{S}	Electrical field developed when a dielectric displacement is ap- plied. T=constant	$\left\lfloor \frac{m}{CV} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{F} \right\rfloor$

Table 3.1: Definition of the piezoelectric constants.

 Table 3.2: Relation between piezoelectric matrix constants.

 Relation between piezoelectric constants

Relation between piezoelectric consta	ar
$\left[\mathbf{s} ight]^{E}=\left[\mathbf{c}^{E} ight]^{-1}$	
$\left[\mathbf{d} ight] = \left[\mathbf{c}^{E} ight]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{e} ight] = \left[\mathbf{s} ight]^{E} \left[\mathbf{e} ight]$	
$ig[oldsymbol{arepsilon^T}ig] = ig[eta^Tig]_{_{oldsymbol{\imath}}}^{-1}$	
$\left[\mathbf{d} ight] = \left[oldsymbol{eta}^T ight]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{g} ight] = \left[oldsymbol{arepsilon}^T ight] \left[\mathbf{g} ight]$	
$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}^D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^D \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$	
$\left[\mathbf{g} ight] = \left[\mathbf{c}^{D} ight]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{h} ight] = \left[\mathbf{s}^{D} ight] \left[\mathbf{h} ight]$	
$\left[oldsymbol{arepsilon}^{S} ight]=\left[oldsymbol{eta}^{S} ight]_{_{1}}^{^{-1}}$	
$\left[\mathbf{e} ight] = \left[oldsymbol{eta}^{S} ight]^{-1}\left[\mathbf{h} ight] = \left[oldsymbol{arepsilon}^{S} ight]\left[\mathbf{h} ight]$	
$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}^{D} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}^{E} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix}$	
$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^D \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^E \end{bmatrix}^{-1} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix}$	
$\left[\boldsymbol{\beta}^{S}\right]^{-1} = \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}^{T}\right]^{-1} - \left[\mathbf{d}\right] \left[\mathbf{s}^{E}\right]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{d}\right]$	

Table 3.3: Relation between piezoelectric constants for PVDF in mode 31.

Relation between piezoelectric constants $\frac{d_{31} = g_{31}\varepsilon_{33}^{T}}{s_{11}^{E} = 1/c_{11}^{E}} \\
\frac{d_{31} = e_{31}/c_{11}^{E} = e_{31}s_{11}^{E}}{s_{11}^{D} = 1/c_{11}^{D}} \\
g_{31} = h_{31}/c_{11}^{D} = h_{31}s_{11}^{D} \\
e_{31} = h_{31}\varepsilon_{33}^{S} \\
\varepsilon_{33}^{S} = \varepsilon_{33}^{T} \left(1 - d_{31}^{2}/\left(s_{11}^{E}\varepsilon_{33}^{T}\right)\right) = \varepsilon_{33}^{T} \left(1 - k_{31}^{2}\right) \\
s_{11}^{D} = s_{11}^{E} \left(1 - d_{31}^{2}/\left(s_{11}^{E}\varepsilon_{33}^{T}\right)\right) = s_{11}^{E} \left(1 - k_{31}^{2}\right)$

From the piezoelectric constitutive equations, an electromechanical model can be derived. This model consists of a circuit where mechanical and electrical variables present in the piezoelectric effect are related. Figures 3.1(a) and 3.1(b) show an electromechanical piezoelectric model presented in [101] and [102] where the state variables are F, ν , V, and I instead of the state variables (T, \dot{S} , E, and \dot{D}) employed in the model that appears in [18]. For example, Table 3.4 shows the relation between the variables that appear in Figure 3.1(a) and 3.1(b) and the piezoelectric constants for the particular working mode 31. Similar results can be obtained for other working modes and piezoelectric materials. A detailed analysis of the electromechanical piezoelectric model is done in Appendix C.

The analysis done gives as a result an electromechanical piezoelectric model that employs only electrical components (e.g. the force applied over the piezoelectric material is a voltage source). Thus, it is possible to use this model in an electrical simulator, like HSPICE, both the mechanical and the electrical part



Figure 3.1: Piezoelectric coupling circuits, relating mechanical and electrical magnitudes.

 Table 3.4: Relation between piezoelectric constants for PVDF in mode 31.

 Relation between piezoelectric constants

$$\begin{array}{l}
\overline{C_{m31} = \frac{Ls_{11}^E}{Wt_c}} \\
C'_{p31} = C_{p31} - \frac{d_{31}^2L^2}{t_c^2C_{m31}} = C_p \left(1 - \frac{d_{31}^2}{s_{11}^E\varepsilon_{33}^T}\right) = C_{p31} \left(1 - k_{31}^2\right) \\
n_1 = \frac{V}{F_t} = -\frac{C_{m31}t_c}{d_{31}L} \\
C'_{m31} = C_{m31} \left(1 - \frac{L^2d_{31}^2}{t_c^2C_pC_{m31}}\right) = C_{m31} \left(1 - k_{31}^2\right) \\
C_{p31} = \frac{\varepsilon_{33}^2A}{t_c} \\
n_2 = \frac{V_t}{F} = -\frac{d_{31}L}{t_cC_p}
\end{array}$$

of the model.

3.2 Piezoelectric Bending Beam Analysis for Energy Harvesting using Shoe Inserts

This section summarizes and highlights the main results obtained in [19] where a study of different piezoelectric bending beams is done since different structures were not compared thoroughly before. One piezoelectric material that is suitable to be integrated in the insole of shoes and harvest energy from walking activity is a polymer called Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) which is flexible and thus, easier to integrate in a shoe than piezoelectric ceramics. [19] exposes how to obtain the equations for PVDF from the piezoelectric constitutive matrix equation. The result obtained (that is well known) concludes that the efficiency for this material is greater in the working mode 31 than in the two other possible working modes (33 and 32). This fact motivates the analysis of different bending beam structures since the mechanical force exerted during walking activity for the heel of the foot is realized in direction 3 and therefore, bending beams structures are employed to cause a stress in direction 1.

The bending beam structures are analyzed from three different points of view:

- Support. Each end of the beam can be attached to one of the different types of existing supports which provide different reaction forces to the beam.
- Composite beams. A composite beam consists on piezoelectric and non piezoelectric material.
- Width of the beam. The shape of the width of the beam is another variable taken into account.

First of all, an homogeneous triangular and rectangular cantilever are compared and it is concluded that greater values are obtained with the first one in terms of maximum deflection and average strain. In addition, two different composite beams are introduced: the symmetric and asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph. From the comparison between the heterogeneous and homogeneous bimorphs, it is concluded that the most efficient vertical structure is the asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph with a large value of Y_s/Y_c .

The analysis of all the structures is made assuming that the maximum deflection is limited to a fix value D as can be seen in Figure 4 of [19]. Figures 7 and 8 in [19] show that the average strain in the case of the symmetric heterogeneous bimorph depends on the relation between the thickness of the piezoelectric and non-piezoelectric material but not on the relation between their Young's modulus. The average strain calculated in the case of the symmetric cantilever only takes into account a piezoelectric material thickness of $t_c/2$ whereas the average strain calculated for the asymmetric cantilever takes into account a piezoelectric material thickness of t_c . Therefore, with the appropriate electrical connection of the piezoelectric films, the results shown in the graph for the symmetric cantilever can be doubled.

A step forward is done the section titled simply supported beam of [19] to analyze the closer structure to the real case of placing piezoelectric films inside the insole of a shoe placed under the heel of the foot or even to increase the efficiency of placing the films in the beginning of the foot. Therefore, the structure analyzed is a simply supported beam with a distributed load q and rectangular width. The comparison shown in Figure 11 of [19] concludes that the simply supported beam with distributed load is the best of the structures analyzed. The case of simply supported beam with a distributed load q and triangular width was not analyzed in the paper and it is presented here.

3.3 Piezoelectric Beams Measurements

When a person is walking, running, et cetera, the beginning of the foot is stressed basically in direction 1 and thus, no additional mechanical structure is necessary to obtain a notorious improvement in the harvested energy of a piezoelectric material placed in this position. However, when the bimorph is placed in the heel of the shoe, a mechanical structure (a beam) is necessary to convert load force in direction 3 into mechanical stress in direction 1 (axial stress) when walking activity takes place and therefore, to harvest more energy with each step.





Practical measurements were made employing a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam placed at different positions in the insole of a shoe. The beam has been placed at the beginning of the insole of the shoe (Figure 3.2), in the heel of the insole of the shoe (Figure 3.3) and in the same position but practicing a hole in the insole (Figure 3.4) to have the structure of a simply supported beam for testing the structure of a simply supported beam with distributed load.



Figure 3.3: Position of the symmetric heterogeneous bimorph in the shoe.

Different usual activities (walking, running, descending and ascending stairs)

have been stored with the piezoelectric structure described employing a circuit designed to store the voltage signal generated by a piezoelectric film wired to a resistive load during 30 seconds [103]. Figures 3.11, 3.12 and 3.13 show the energy delivered to a 560k Ω resistor by a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph realizing these activities with piezoelectric films placed as appear in Figures 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, respectively.



Figure 3.4: Position of the symmetric heterogeneous bimorph in the shoe.



Figure 3.5: Voltage waveform of two piezoelectric films wired to a load of $100k\Omega$ while a person is walking.

Figure 3.5 shows the voltage waveform obtained when two piezoelectric



Figure 3.6: Cross section of a homogeneous bimorph beam. $t_c/2$ corresponds to a piezoelectric film thickness. Y_c is the Youngs modulus for the piezoelectric material. W_0 is the width of the beam. The neutral axis is placed between the two piezoelectric films.



Figure 3.7: Cross section of symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam. $t_c/2$ corresponds to piezoelectric film thickness whereas t_s corresponds to non-piezoelectric film thickness. Y_c is the Youngs modulus for the piezoelectric material, and Y_s is the Youngs modulus for the non-piezoelectric material. W_0 is the width of the rectangular beam.

films (homogeneous bimorph, see Figure 3.6) are placed at the beginning of a shoe and connected to a $100k\Omega$ resistive load while a person is walking. It can be seen, that there are positive and negative parts in the waveform depending if the piezoelectric film is being compressed or tensed. The first positive part happens when the piezoelectric films are deformed but the foot is still on the ground whereas the first negative part occurs when the foot is already in the air and the piezoelectric films are relaxed (not suffering compression or tension). The second positive and negative peaks are always smaller than the first ones. The second positive peak occurs when the foot is placed again in the ground and the negative peak is the response to the relaxation of the piezoelectric films when the foot is still in the ground.

Figures 3.8, 3.9 and 3.10 show also the voltage waveform obtained but in this case with the storing device developed in [103] where the voltage waveform is stored after a diode bridge. Figure 3.8 is the voltage waveform obtained for the case of the heterogeneous symmetric bimorph placed at the beginning of the shoe. It can be seen in this figure that the period of the signal is approximately 1 second. Comparing Figures 3.9 and 3.10, it is deduced that higher voltages are obtained after employing a simply supported beam in the same location, the heel of the shoe, and developing the same activity (walking).



Figure 3.8: Voltage waveform of an heterogeneous symmetric bimorph placed at the beginning of a shoe with two piezoelectric films wired to a load of $560k\Omega$ while a person is walking.

Figure 3.11 shows that the activity that delivers more energy placing the symmetric heterogeneous bimorph structure at the beginning of the shoe is running followed by walking whereas descending and ascending stairs are the activities that harvest less energy. The curves for ascending and descending stairs, see Figure 3.12, change their slopes at t=14s and t=12.5s, respectively, due to a displacement at the location of the beam structure. Similarly, in Figure 3.13 the walking waveform also has a change in its slope at t=12.5s.

3.4 Conclusions of the Piezoelectric Beam Measurements

Table 3.5 summarizes the energy delivered to a 560k Ω resistor by the three different structures analyzed. $E_{beginning}$ is the energy delivered by the structure that appears in Figure 3.11, E_{heel} is the energy delivered by the structure that appears in Figure 3.12 and the term $E_{heel-hole}$ corresponds to the energy delivered by the structure of Figure 3.13. Table 3.6 repeats the information given by Table 3.5 but assuming no change in the slope of the energy delivered by the different activities.

Comparing the values of the energy delivered by the three different struc-



Figure 3.9: Voltage waveform of an heterogeneous symmetric bimorph placed at the end of a shoe with two piezoelectric films wired to a load of $560 \mathrm{k}\Omega$ while a person is walking.



Figure 3.10: Voltage waveform of an heterogeneous symmetric bimorph simply supported bending beam with distributed load placed at the end of a shoe with two piezoelectric films wired to a load of $560 \text{k}\Omega$ while a person is walking.



Figure 3.11: Energy delivered to a 560k Ω resistor by a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph placed in the position shown by Figure 3.2 for different activities.



Figure 3.12: Energy delivered to a $560 \mathrm{k}\Omega$ resistor by a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph placed in the position shown by Figure 3.3 for different activities.



Figure 3.13: Energy delivered to a $560k\Omega$ resistor by a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph placed in the position shown by Figure 3.4 for different activities.

Table 3.5: Energy delivered by the symmetric heterogenous bimporh structure placed in a shoe after t=30.42s of activity.

Activity	$E_{beginning} \ (\mu J)$	$E_{heel} \ (\mu J)$	$E_{heel-hole} \ (\mu J)$
Running	42.83	4.96	22.9
Walking	26.13	0.771	2.41
Descending stairs	13.51	0.539	6.45
Ascending stairs	5.61	0.219	-

tures that appear in Table 3.6, it can be concluded that placing the bimorph structure at the beginning of the shoe harvests more energy than placing the same structure in the heel of the shoe. The heel of the foot receives mostly an impact in direction 3 but more energy is harvested, if the strain is made in direction 1 [19] as happens with the beginning of the shoe. This is the reason that explains that the results of placing the same bending beam structure at the beginning of the shoe and at the heel give better results for the first location.

A way to increase the energy harvested by piezoelectric transducers placed in the heel of the shoe is to deform also the piezoelectric in direction 1. Therefore, a considerable improvement is done employing the simply supported beam with distributed load as was suggested in [19] and the energy obtained with the simply supported beam structure in the heel of the shoe is in the same range than the energy obtained at the beginning of the shoe. An improvement of 866% for running, 217% for walking and 706% for descending stairs is obtained comparing

Table 3.6: Energy delivered by the symmetric heterogenous bimorph structure placed in a shoe after t=30.42s of activity assuming no change in the slopes.

		0	<u> </u>
Activity	$E_{beginning} \ (\mu J)$	$E_{heel} \ (\mu J)$	$E_{heel-hole} (\mu J)$
Running	42.83	4.96	47.9
Walking	26.13	0.771	2.41
Descending stairs	13.51	0.8	6.45
Ascending stairs	5.61	0.4	-



Figure 3.14: Cross section of symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam. $t_c/2$ corresponds to piezoelectric film thickness whereas t_s corresponds to nonpiezoelectric film thickness. Y_c is the Youngs modulus for the piezoelectric material, and Y_s is the Youngs modulus for the non-piezoelectric material. W_0 is the width of the rectangular beam.

the structure with the hole to the structure without the hole at the heel. The practical measurements done demonstrates that employing mechanical coupling with bending beams improves the harvested energy of piezoelectric films placed in the insoles of shoes.

3.5 Comparison of different Symmetric Heterogeneous Piezoelectric Beams in terms of Electrical and Mechanical Configurations

The electrical output obtained with the measurements done in the previous section, where PVDF films are excited by the walking activity of human body, is in the order of some micro amperes and units of volts. The current generated by the piezoelectric elements is proportional to the average strain done. However, this current can be not enough for the electric load to be powered by the piezoelectric transducer. In order to increase this low current, three different alternatives are presented in this section for using with symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beams.

Figure 3.14 shows the cross section of a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam composed by two piezoelectric elements placed at the top and bottom of the structure with a thickness $t_c/2$ and a Young's modulus Y_c , and a nonpiezoelectric element with thickness t_s and Young's modulus Y_s . In this structure, one piezoelectric film is placed at each side of the neutral axis position, and therefore one of the films is tensed whereas the other one is compressed with an average strain at each side S. First, it is introduced the calculation of the current and power for this structure in working mode 31 in order to can compare the three alternative structures with this one.

Piezoelectric constitutive equations relate the dielectric displacement, the electrical field and the mechanical strain, see Equation (3.5).

$$D_3 = \varepsilon_S^{33} E_3 + e_{31} S_1 \tag{3.5}$$

Integrating the above equation to obtain the mean value along the thickness, it is obtained:

$$\int_{t_s/2}^{(t_s+tc)/2} D_3 dh = \int_{t_s/2}^{(t_s+tc)/2} \varepsilon_S^{33} E_3 dh + \int_{t_s/2}^{(t_s+tc)/2} e_{31} S_1 dh \qquad (3.6)$$

Solving the previous equation and assuming that E = dV/dh the result is:

$$D_3 \frac{t_c}{2} = \varepsilon_S^{33} V_3 + e_{31} S_x \frac{t_c}{2} \tag{3.7}$$

If it is assumed a sinusoidal steady state for the three state variables, Equation (3.7) is rewritten as:

$$D_{3}e^{j\omega t} = 2\varepsilon_{S}^{33} \frac{V_{3}e^{j\omega t}}{t_{c}} + e_{31}S_{x}e^{j\omega t}$$
(3.8)

Multiplying by the area of the triangular beam $(A = W_0 L/2)$, doing the derivative of the previous equation and taking into account that I = dq/dt, it is obtained:

$$-I_3 = j\omega A \left[2\varepsilon_S^{33} \frac{V_3}{t_c} + e_{31}S_1 \right]$$
(3.9)

Assuming that the piezoelectric film is connected to a resistive load, R, the relation between the current generated and the voltage on the load is $V_R = I_3 R$. Therefore, the following expressions represent the current and voltage generated.

$$I_{3} = \frac{-j\omega A e_{31} S_{1}}{j\omega \frac{2A\varepsilon_{S}^{33}}{t_{o}} R + 1}$$
(3.10)

$$V_R = \frac{-j\omega A e_{31} S_1 R}{j\omega \frac{2A\varepsilon_{33}^3}{t_c} R + 1}$$
(3.11)

Following the notation shown in Section 3.1, it is known that $C'_p = \frac{2A\varepsilon_{33}^2}{t_c}$ and therefore the current and voltage equations can be rewritten as:

$$I_{3} = \frac{-j\omega A e_{31} S_{1}}{j\omega C_{p}' R + 1}$$
(3.12)

$$V_R = \frac{-j\omega A e_{31} S_1 R}{j\omega C'_p R + 1} \tag{3.13}$$

The expressions for the modulus of the current and voltage are:

$$|I_3| = \frac{Ae_{31}\omega S_1}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2 C_p'^2 R^2}}$$
(3.14)

$$|V_R| = \frac{Ae_{31}\omega S_1 R}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2 C_p'^2 R^2}}$$
(3.15)

The mean electrical power generated by one piezoelectric film is:

$$\langle P_3 \rangle = \frac{|V_R|^2}{2R} = |I_3|^2 2R = \frac{(Ae_{31}\omega S_1)^2 R}{2(1+\omega^2 C_p'^2 R^2)}$$
 (3.16)

The maximum mean electrical power occurs when $R = 1/(\omega C'_p)$.

The average strain for the top or bottom piezoelectric films of a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam is:

$$S_1 = y_{max} \frac{t_c \left(1 + 2t_s/t_c\right)}{2L^2} \tag{3.17}$$

Connecting electrically in parallel the top and the bottom films of the structure shown in Figure 3.14, the capacitance and current will be doubled. Therefore, the mean electrical power dissipated on the load is:

$$\langle P_{3parallel} \rangle = \frac{2 \left(A e_{31} \omega S_1 \right)^2 R}{\left(1 + \omega^2 4 C_p^{\prime 2} R^2 \right)}$$
(3.18)

In this case, the maximum mean electrical power occurs when $R = 1/(2\omega C_p')$

1. Structure A. n piezoelectric symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beams with a piezoelectric film of thickness $t_c/2$ at each side and a non piezoelectric material of thickness t_s , see Figure 3.15. All the beams have the same average strain connected electrically in parallel and the top and bottom films also connected in parallel. Therefore, the current generated with this structure is:

$$I_{3A} = \frac{-j\omega 2nAe_{31}S_1}{1+j\omega 2nC'_p R}$$
(3.19)

The mean electrical power generated by this structure is:



Figure 3.15: Cross section of n piezoelectric symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beams.



Figure 3.16: Cross section of a piezoelectric symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam with a piezoelectric film of thickness $nt_c/2$ placed at each side of the non piezoelectric material with thickness nt_s .

$$\langle P_{3A} \rangle = \frac{(2nAe_{31}\omega S_x)^2 R}{2\left(1 + \omega^2 4n^2 C_{n31}^{\prime 2} R^2\right)}$$
(3.20)

In this case the maximum mean electrical power is generated when $R = 1/(2n\omega C'_p)$.

2. Structure B. A piezoelectric symmetric heterogeneous bimorph with a piezoelectric film of thickness $nt_c/2$ at each side and a non piezoelectric material of thickness nt_s , see Figure 3.16.

The average strain for the top or bottom film of thickness $nt_c/2$ is $S_{1B} = nS_1$. Thus, the current generated by the parallel electrical connection of two piezoelectric films of thickness $nt_c/2$ is:

$$I_{3B} = \frac{-j\omega 2nAe_{31}S_1}{1+j\omega 2/nC'_{p31}R}$$
(3.21)

The mean electrical power is given by the following expression:

$$\langle P_{3B} \rangle = \frac{(2nAe_{31}\omega S_x)^2 R}{2\left(1 + \omega^2 4/n^2 C_p'^2 R^2\right)}$$
(3.22)



Figure 3.17: Cross section of a piezoelectric symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam with a piezoelectric film of thickness $t_c/2$ placed at each side of the non piezoelectric material with thickness $t_s(n)$, see Equation (3.23)

In this case the maximum mean electrical power is generated when $R = n/(2\omega C'_p)$

3. Structure C. This structure is shown in Figure 3.17 where one piezoelectric film of thickness $t_c/2$ is placed at each side of the support film which has a thickness of $t_s(n)$, see Equation 3.23. The total thickness of this structure is the same as the thickness of the two structures described before. However, in this case only one piezoelectric film is placed at the top and bottom of the beam.

$$t_s(n) = nt_{s1} + (n-1)t_c \tag{3.23}$$

The average strain for one of the piezoelectric films placed at the top or bottom of the structure is:

$$S_{1C}(n) = y_{max} \frac{t_c \left(1 + \frac{2t_s(n)}{t_c}\right)}{2L^2} = nS_1 + \frac{y_{max}}{2L^2} t_c (n-1)$$
(3.24)

The total current generated by the parallel connection of the two piezo-electric films of thickness $t_c/2$ is:

$$I_{3C} = \frac{-j\omega 2Ae_{31}S_1\left(n + t_c\left(n - 1\right) / \left(t_c + 2t_s\right)\right)}{1 + j\omega 2C'_p R}$$
(3.25)

The mean electrical power generated with this structure is:

$$\langle P_{3C} \rangle = \frac{\left(2Ae_{31}\omega S_1 \left(n + t_c \left(n - 1\right) / \left(t_c + 2t_s\right)\right)\right)^2 R}{2\left(1 + \omega^2 4C_p'^2 R^2\right)}$$
(3.26)



Figure 3.18: Ratio of the maximum mean electrical power of the parallel connection of the top and bottom piezoelectric elements of the structure shown in Figure 3.14 and the maximum electrical power of the top or bottom piezoelectric elements.

First, it is compared the power generated by the parallel electrical connection of the piezoelectric films located at the bottom and top of the structure of Figure 3.14 with the power generated by one of the piezoelectric films, see Figure 3.18. The results show that the power is doubled with the parallel connection. It must be taken into consideration that for each structure analyzed, the value of R has been substituted by its optimum value that makes maximum the mean electrical power. In order to compare the three different structures presented, the ratio of the maximum mean electrical power obtained with each structure and the maximum mean electrical power generated by the parallel electrical connection of the structure of Figure 3.14 has been plotted employing the values shown in Table 3.7. Figures 3.19 and 3.20 show the ratio as a function of n. Structure B is better than structure A since it generates more power since the strain made with this structure is n times bigger. Figure 3.21 shows the ratio of power for structure C as a function of $\tau = t_s/t_c$ and n. This structure is not better than structure B but it is the better structure in terms of generated power as a function of the number of piezoelectric films employed since the thickness of the non piezoelectric material helps to obtain also a high strain.



Figure 3.19: Ratio of the maximum mean electrical power of structure A and structure of Figure 3.14 versus n.

Table 3.7: Parameters employed for the simulation of structure A, B and C. The piezoelectric constants employed are for the PVDF films of Msiusa [104].

W_0	$4 \mathrm{cm}$
L	$5~\mathrm{cm}$
e_{31}	$0.07 \mathrm{C/m^2}$
t_c	$56~\mu{ m m}$
t_s	$\tau * t_c$
ε^S_{33}	106e-12 $\mathrm{F/m}$



Figure 3.20: Ratio of the maximum mean electrical power of structure B and structure of Figure 3.14 versus n.



Figure 3.21: Ratio of the maximum mean electrical power of structure A and structure of Figure 3.14 versus τ and n.

3.6 Optimum Storage Capacitor for the Direct Discharge Circuit

Whereas the topic of increasing the harvested energy with piezoelectric materials placed in the insole of a shoe has been analyzed from the mechanical point of view in [19], the electrical point of view of the topic is covered by [20].

[20] in Chapter 10 employ an already described circuit [12,82,105], the direct discharge circuit, but with a new approach. The direct discharge circuit consists on the piezoelectric equivalent model for low frequencies wired to a full-wave diode rectifier connected to a storage capacitor, C_2 . The piezoelectric equivalent model at low frequencies is shown in Figure 1 of [20]. It is composed basically by a current source, i_p , and a capacitor, C_1 . [20] and Chapter 10 use a realistic current source, derived from measurements done during walking activity, for the calculation of the optimum value of C_2 . However, all the equations are given as a function of the generated charge during walking activity where the generated charge is known.

The main contribution of [20] and Chapter 10 is the calculation of the optimum storage capacitor and the number of piezoelectric films connected in parallel that give the needed energy to power a low-power electronic device (like an RF transmitter) with a discontinuous load profile in the minimum time between operations. This section gives a more detailed qualitative explanation of the results obtained

Figure 16 of [20] shows the initial number of mechanical cycles needed to power on the electric load the first time, k_{ini} , the number of mechanical cycles needed to power on the electric load after the first time, k_s , and the value of the storage capacitance, C_2 . It can be also shown in Figure 16 of [20] that there is a value for the graph k_s that gives the required energy with the minimum number of mechanical cycles. This optimal value is named $k_{s,opt}$ and the voltage at which the electric load must be turned on is called $V_{on,opt}$. The initial number of steps and the storage capacitance are selected for $V_{on,opt}$. Therefore, the values of V_{on} and C_2 are selected to assure that the electric load will be turned on in the minimum time between operations.

An application example where an RF communication module is powered intermittently to send a sensing data is given in section 5 of [20] to prove validity of the obtained results. The circuit operation is as follows. As the piezoelectric element is repetitively stressed, the voltage in the storage capacitor increases. Whenever this voltage reaches a certain limit (V_{on}) , the capacitor is connected to the regulator input and it is discharged to a lower voltage, V_{off} , providing the energy required to sense and transmit the data, E_{req} (including the energy needed by the regulator). The capacitor is then disconnected from the load once more, allowing it to be recharged as can be shown in Figure 3.22. The value of V_{off} is determined by the voltage supply of the load and V_{on} is determined by the energy required by the load to operate. Therefore, as it is represented in Figure 3.22, the number of initial steps k_{ini} is bigger than k_s and an RF transmission can be done every Tk_s seconds (after the first one), where T corresponds to the period of one mechanical cycle.



Figure 3.22: Working mode of the direct discharge circuit with control and regulator circuit to supply power to a load.

3.7 System-level simulation with piezoelectric energy harvesting

Chapter 13 [13] presents a complete simulation at system level using Verilog-A language. The energy harvesting transducer employed is PVDF piezoelectric film. The structure of the piezoelectric transducer employed during simulations corresponds to a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph with triangular shape, see Figure 3.23. A more detailed explanation of the equations and constants that are employed to model the piezoelectric transducer in Verilog-A is given in this section.

The mechanical conditions required to operate in mode 31 are: $T_2 = T_3 = 0$ and $S_2 = S_3 = 0$. Therefore, mechanical stress is only applied in direction 1, T_1 (length expansion working mode) and the electric displacement obtained is:

$$D_3 = d_{31}T_1 \tag{3.27}$$

In length expansion working mode, mechanical stress and strain are related by:

$$T_1 = Y_{11}S_1 \tag{3.28}$$

where Y_c corresponds to the Youngs modulus of the piezoelectric material that is defined as the mechanical stress obtained when a strain is applied. For Equation (3.28), the Young's modulus of the piezoelectric material corresponds to Y_{11} since the mechanical stress and the strain are in direction 1.

$$Q_3 = D_3 A \tag{3.29}$$



Figure 3.23: Structure of a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph with triangular shape.

where A is the area of the piezoelectric film. For the particular case shown in Figure 3.23, $A = W_0 L/2$.

Combining Equations (3.29) and (3.28), it is obtained:

$$Q_3 = d_{31} Y_{11} S_1 A \tag{3.30}$$

In [19], it was calculated the maximum deflection, y_{max} , and the average strain, S_1 , for different bending beams structures including the structure shown in Figure 3.23. For the case of a triangular symmetric heterogeneous bimorph cantilever, S_1 is:

$$S_1 = \frac{y_{max}t_c}{2L^2} \left(1 + 2\tau\right) \tag{3.31}$$

where $\tau = t_s/t_c$, t_c is the piezoelectric material thickness, t_s is the non-piezoelectric material thickness and L is the length of the beam structure.

The maximum deflection is given by the following expression:

$$y_{max} = \frac{6FL^3}{Y_{11}W_0 t_c^3} \frac{1}{1+3\tau+3\tau^2+\eta\tau^3}$$
(3.32)

where $\eta = Y_s/Y_{11}$, Y_{11} is the piezoelectric material Young's modulus, Y_s is the non-piezoelectric material Young's modulus and W_0 is the base of the triangular cantilever.

For the piezoelectric generator model in Verilog-A, the mechanical input for the beam structure can be selected between the input force, F_1 , and the maximum deflection of the beam, y_{max} . One variable depend on the other and are related by k_e , the elastic constant of the beam:

$$F_1 = k_e y_{max} \tag{3.33}$$

The charge generated by the top and bottom piezoelectric films, Q_3 , is proportional to the maximum mechanical deflection and the charge constant, k_q of the beam.

$$Q_3 = k_q y_{max} \tag{3.34}$$

As it was shown in Section 3.6, the electrical model for low frequencies of a piezoelectric transducer is composed by a current source in parallel with a capacitor. The current source value is related with the mechanical part of the model by:

$$i = \frac{\mathrm{d}Q_3}{\mathrm{d}t} = k_q \dot{y}_{max} \tag{3.35}$$

The expression for k_q and k_e depends on the structure of the beam selected. From Equations (3.33) and (3.32), it is deduced that the value for k_e is:

$$k_e = \frac{Y_c W_0 t_c^3}{6L^3} \left(1 + 3\tau + 3\tau^2 + \eta\tau^3\right)$$
(3.36)

Substituting into Equation (3.30), the value of S_1 given by Equation (3.31) and comparing the result with Equation (3.34), it is obtained the value of k_q .

$$k_q = \frac{W_0 t_c}{2L} d_{31} Y_{11} \left(1 + 2\tau\right) \tag{3.37}$$

where $\tau = t_s/t_c$, $\eta = Y_s/Y_{11}$, Y_c is the piezoelectric material Young's modulus, Y_s is the non-piezoelectric material Young's modulus, W_0 is the base of the triangular cantilever.

The capacitance of one of the piezoelectric films with thickness $t_c/2$ is:

$$C_1 = \frac{2\varepsilon_{33}^T W_0 L}{t_c} \left(1 - k_{31}^2\right)$$
(3.38)

where ε_{33}^T is the dielectric displacement developed in a plane perpendicular to direction 3 when an electric field is applied in direction 3 with a constant mechanical stress and k_{31} is the electromechanical coupling coefficient for the length expansion working mode.

These equations are included in the piezoelectric transducer Verilog-A model. If the top and the bottom piezoelectric films are connected in parallel (as in the simulations developed in the present paper), the current generated is two times the current given in Equation (3.35) as well as the total capacitance is two times the capacitance shown in Equation (3.38).

Chapter 4

Inductive Energy Harvesting Generator

The principle governing inductive generators is the well known Faraday's law. Any change in the magnetic environment of a coil of wire will cause a voltage to be induced in the coil, of magnitude given by Faraday's law:

$$\epsilon_{induced} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_m}{\mathrm{d}t} \tag{4.1}$$

where ϕ_m is the flux of the magnetic field through the surface of the coil. The change could be produced by changing the magnetic field strength, moving a magnet toward or away from the coil, moving the coil into or out of the magnetic field, rotating the coil relative to the magnet, etc.

In the case of a fixed magnet causing the magnetic field, an inductive generator can be designed to harvest kinetic energy, since vibrations can modify the position of the coil with respect to the magnet. Most of the applications of electromagnetic transducers based on this principle are designed to harvest energy from vibrating machinery to power WSNs.

Previous works modeling electromagnetic induction microgenerators [31,35, 106–108] describe the response of the system in the frequency domain. The frequency-domain analysis gives insight on important design parameters, especially regarding the mechanical resonance oscillation frequency of the converter in relation to the frequency spectrum of input accelerations. It is indeed possible to obtain from frequency-domain the analysis of some electrical magnitudes (e.g. peak power), but other magnitudes, like accumulated energy, can only be obtained from time-domain analysis. In addition, frequency-domain models rely on linear approximations of the mechanical and electrical system (small swing oscillations of the mass in the magnetic field, and a linear electrical load) and therefore, large swing oscillations are not adequately modeled. These facts motivate the time-domain analysis made in [21].

For the time domain analysis, it is necessary to do real acceleration measurements of different parts of human body in order to analyze the use of induc-



Figure 4.1: Mica 2 sensor board employed for the human body acceleration measurements.

tive energy harvesting generators that recover energy from human body vibrations. Next sections explain the method employed for the sensor calibration and present the measurements obtained. The acceleration measurements obtained placing the sensors at the knee of a person while the person was walking were presented and analyzed in [21]. However, this section gives the results of the complete set of measurements done placing the accelerometers at different parts of the human body doing different activities.

4.1 Accelerometer sensor calibration

The measurement setup employs Mica2 motes with its sensor board, see Figure 4.1. This sensor board has a 2-axis accelerometer, ADXL202JE [109], with a range of $\pm 2g$. The accelerometer has an analog and a digital output with a duty cycle proportional to the measured acceleration. The analog sensitivity is of 167 mV/g while the digital sensitivity is 11 % duty cycle change per g and a resolution of 2 mg. Only the digital output was employed for the acceleration measurements done. The duty cycle outputs are connected to the microprocessor counter of the Mica2 motes that has 10 bit resolution.

Before starting the measurements, it is necessary to calibrate the accelerometers [110–112]. Tables 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 show the measurements obtained from the digital output to calibrate the 2-axis accelerometer included in the sensor board. It is necessary to calculate the offset, o, and the sensitivity, s, of the sensors for each one of its axis. Equations (4.2-4.5) allow to calculate these two parameters for x-axis and y-axis and thus, to calibrate the accelerometers. The calibration process is done by rotating the sensor into known accelerations. When the axis of the sensor is placed in the same direction and sense that the
gravity, 1g, the value obtained is named X_{1g} and Y_{1g} for x-axis and y-axis, respectively. When the axis of the sensor is placed in the opposite direction of the gravity, -1g, the value measured is called X_{-1g} and Y_{-1g} for x-axis and y-axis, respectively.

By rotating the sensor into a $+1~{\rm G}$ and a $1~{\rm G}$ position, the offset and sensitivity can be calculated to within

Position	X-axis $[g]$	X-axis [count]	Y-axis $[g]$	Y-axis [count]
1	0	519	0	476
2	-1	461	0	476
3	1	574	0	475
4	0	519	1	532
5	0	521	-1	417

Table 4.1: Calibration measurements for sensor board 1

Table 4.2: Calibration measurements for sensor board 2, first measurement

Position	X-axis $[g]$	X-axis [count]	Y-axis $[g]$	Y-axis [count]
1	0	517	0	450
2	-1	461	0	450
3	1	580	0	449
4	0	515	1	510
5	0	515	-1	390

Table 4.3: Calibration measurements for sensor board 2, second measurement

Position	X-axis $[g]$	X-axis [count]	Y-axis $[g]$	Y-axis [count]
1	0	520	0	452
2	-1	462	0	452
3	1	584	0	454
4	0	521	1	512
5	0	520	-1	392

$$o_x = \frac{X_{1g} + X_{-1g}}{2} \tag{4.2}$$

$$s_x = \frac{X_{1g} - X_{-1g}}{2} \tag{4.3}$$

$$o_y = \frac{Y_{1g} + Y_{-1g}}{2} \tag{4.4}$$

$$s_y = \frac{Y_{1g} - Y_{-1g}}{2} \tag{4.5}$$

The results obtained for offset and sensitivity from the calibration measurements done are presented in Table 4.4 where the subindex indicates the sensor board employed. The results for sensor board 2 have been calculated with the mean value of the two measurements done.

Table 4.4: Calibration results for sensor board 1 and 2. O_{x1} s_{x1} o_{y1} s_{y1} o_{x2} s_{x2} o_{y2} s_{y2} 517.556.5521.75 474.557.559.7545160

Employing the measured number of counts (X for an x-axis measurement and Y for an y-axis measurement), the measured acceleration expressed in g, Acc, is calculated as:

$$Acc_x = \frac{X - o_x}{s_x} \tag{4.6}$$

$$Acc_y = \frac{Y - o_y}{s_y} \tag{4.7}$$

4.2 Acceleration Measurements on the Human Body

Human activities like walking, ascending and descending stairs were done with the sensor nodes placed on the knee, ankle and wrist of a person. Some of the graphs of the acceleration measurements are shown in this section whereas the rest are in Appendix D.

Figure 4.2 shows the acceleration measurements of X-axis and Y-axis of an accelerometer placed on a knee while a person was walking. Figure 4.3 and Figure 4.4 show a zoom of the previous figure for X-axis and Y-axis, respectively, where it is possible to observe the periodicity of the signal. The period of the signal is approximately 1 s.

Figure 4.5 shows the acceleration measurements of X-axis and Y-axis of an accelerometer placed on a knee while a person was ascending and descending stairs. Figure 4.6 and Figure 4.7 show a zoom of the previous figure for X-axis and Y-axis, respectively.

S. Roundy [105] analyzed different vibration sources present in everyday objects like microwaves, refrigerator, etc. He concludes that the vibrations have a sinusoidal nature and therefore, it is possible to work with a transducer model in the frequency domain. However, it can be observed in the acceleration graphs shown in this section that the human body movements are not periodical movements with sinusoidal nature. It is also deduced from the graphs that the movements are somehow similar and that have associated low frequencies.

Two representative acceleration spectrum of a sensor placed on a knee while a person was walking and going up and down stairs are shown in Figures 4.8,



Figure 4.2: Acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on a knee while a person was walking with $T_s=0.013$ s.



Figure 4.3: X-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on a knee while a person was walking with $T_s=0.013$ s.

4.9 and 4.10 respectively, in order to obtain more information about the acceleration behavior in the frequency domain. The acceleration spectrum graphs were obtained applying a Hamming window and the FFT algorithm to the acceleration measured data. The acceleration spectrum graphs show acceleration amplitudes in the same order of magnitude at different frequencies lower than 3 Hz. Therefore, mechanical movements of the human body have associated as



Figure 4.4: Y-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on a knee while a person was walking with $T_s=0.013$ s.



Figure 4.5: Acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on a knee while a person was descending and ascending stairs with $T_s=0.013$ s.

expected low frequencies.

The magnitude and frequency spectrum of the human body accelerations are very different from one activity to another and they also change throughout the same activity even taking into account the same point of the human body. However, from the amplitudes obtained it can be deduced that the wrist is a worst location than the ankle or the knee for harvesting energy. The frequency



Figure 4.6: X-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on a knee while a person was descending and ascending stairs with T_s =0.013s.



Figure 4.7: Y-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on a knee while a person was descending and ascending stairs with $T_s=0.013$ s.

domain can obtain the peak power harvested by the converter but other important magnitudes like the accumulated energy, can only be obtained from time-domain analysis. Thus, it has been demonstrated from the measurements that a model of the inductive energy harvesting generator for converting human



Figure 4.8: Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained by placing an accelerometer on the knee of a person that was walking with $T_s=0.013$ s for X-direction.

movements must be done in the time domain. Next section shows the results obtained with time domain simulations employing the acceleration data measured as input parameter.

4.3 Simulation results in the time domain

As it is explained in [21] and Chapter 11, frequency-domain models assume implicitly a linear approximation of the mechanical and electrical system (small swing oscillations of the mass in the magnetic field, and a linear electrical load). Therefore, large swing oscillations are not adequately modeled. A linear and a non linear model were implemented in [21] and Chapter 11 employing the mixed simulator Ptolemy [15] in order to compare their results in the time domain and evaluate when the linear approximation for the model is valid. It was concluded that the linear model approximation is not valid for small swing oscillations of the mass in the magnetic fied. Thus, the simulation results shown in this section are done employing the non linear model.

Table 4.5 to Table 4.10 complete the simulations results obtained in [21] and Chapter 11 employing the non linear model and the acceleration measurements stored for different human activities.



Figure 4.9: Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained by placing an accelerometer on a knee while a person was descending and ascending stairs with T_s =0.013s for X-direction.

4.4 Conclusions of the Simulation Results obtained with Acceleration Measurements of the Human Body

Table 4.11 shows a summary of the previous tables with the highest average power of each location at the human body while a human activity takes place. It is selected the average power for comparison between the different activities since the duration of each recorded activity was different.

The results shown in Table 4.11 were obtained for $z_0=10$ mm and the lowest value of the spring constant, k=400 N/m or k=600 N/m. In some simulations the magnet collides with the coil and for this reason, the spring constant is increased from 400 N/m to 600 N/m.

From the simulation results summarized in Table 4.11, it is deduced that the location of the knee, while a person is walking, is the one that generates more energy per second, $20.34\mu J$, with the simulation parameters k = 600N/m, $z_0 = 10mm$ and b = 0.1. The acceleration measurements, the simulated position of the proof mass of the inductive generator and the energy dissipated on the load for this case are shown in Figure 4.11, Figure 4.12 and Figure 4.13, respectively. With the same simulation parameters (k = 600N/m, $z_0 = 10mm$ and b = 0.1)



Figure 4.10: Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained by placing an accelerometer on a knee while a person was descending and ascending stairs with T_s =0.013s for X-direction.

the energy per second dissipated on the load, if the microgenerator is located in the ankle while a person is walking, is $11.68 \mu J$. Placing the inductive generator in the knee while a person is ascending and descending stairs is the activity that generates less energy per second.

Therefore, it is concluded that the lower parts of the human body, knee and ankle, are the best ones to place inductive microgenerators and harvest energy from human activities like walking.

	<i>z</i> ($p = 50 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	$b_0 = 2.5)$			
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{28sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
30	6.1	822.7E-9	3.11	3.9	1.57E-7	4.1E-2
50	8.84	810.1E-9	2.37	5.03	1.21E-7	3.2E-2
400	3.24	450.4E-9	462E-3	14.2	4.29E-8	1.1E-2
2000	2.17	95.3E-9	135.5E-3	31.8	1.92E-8	5E-3
	$z_{(}$	$p = 10 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	$B_0 = 0.5)$			
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{28sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
400	60.8	144E-6	462E-3	14.2	3.2E-4	1.1E-2
2000	40.4	32E-6	134.7E-3	31.8	1.43E-4	5E-3
8000	15.2	9.1E-6	28.7E-3	63.7	7.16E-5	2.5E-3
80000	4.82	588.5E-9	2.57E-3	201.3	2.26E-5	7.9E-4
	2	$z_0 = 2 \text{ mm} (\beta_0)$	$_{0} = 0.1)$			
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{28sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
2000	47.3	29.84E-6	112.9E-3	31.8	6.4E-3	5E-3
8000	19	9.31E-6	27.6E-3	63.7	3.2E-3	2.5E-3
80000	6.3	566.2E-9	2.45E-3	201.3	1E-3	7.9E-4

Table 4.5: Non linear model simulation results from acceleration x-axis measurements of the knee of a person while is going up and down stairs with b = 0.1.



Figure 4.11: X-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the knee of a person when is walking.

	z_0	$\beta = 50 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	$G_0 = 2.5)$			
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{28sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
50	127	79.3E-6	4.48	5.03	1.21E-7	3.2E-2
400	3.66	415E-9	0.495	14.2	4.29E-8	1.1E-2
2000	0.735	14.9E-9	65E-3	31.8	1.92E-8	5E-3
	z_0	$_{0} = 10 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	$C_0 = 0.5)$			
k (N/m)	$V_{peak} (\mathrm{mV})$	E_{28sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
400	72.6	145E-6	0.488	14.2	3.2E-4	1.1E-2
2000	13.68	5.13E-6	65E-3	31.8	1.43E-4	5E-3
8000	14.75	6.44E-6	25.5E-3	63.7	7.16E-5	2.5E-3
80000	2.54	143.9E-9	1.89E-3	201.3	2.26E-5	7.9E-4
	z	$_0 = 2 \text{ mm} (\beta_0)$	$_{0} = 0.1)$			
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{28sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
2000	15.3	4.33E-6	59.8E-3	31.8	6.4E-3	5E-3
8000	17.2	5.17E-6	22.36E-3	63.7	3.2E-3	2.5E-3
80000	2.66	114.1E-9	1.62E-3	201.3	1E-3	7.9E-4

Table 4.6: Non linear model simulation results from acceleration y-axis measurements of the knee of a person while is going up and down stairs with b = 0.1.

Table 4.7: Non linear model simulation results from acceleration x-axis measurements of the knee of a person while is walking with b = 0.1.

	2	$t_0 = 50 \text{ mm} \ (\mu$	$\beta_0 = 2.5$)			
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{89sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
30	20.2	7.01E-6	4.54	3.9	1.57E-7	4.1E-2
50	14.1	5.47E-6	3.27	5.03	1.21E-7	3.2E-2
400	11.9	6.39E-6	1.34	14.2	4.29E-8	1.1E-2
2000	2.11	238E-9	0.165	31.8	1.92E-8	5E-3
8000	2.12	329.8E-9	66.5E-3	63.7	9.6E-9	2.5E-3
	2	$x_0 = 10 \text{ mm} (\mu$	$\beta_0 = 0.5)$			
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{89sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
600	144	1.81E-3	730.5E-3	17.43	2.61E-4	9.1E-3
2000	36.65	80E-6	163.5E-3	31.8	1.43E-4	5E-3
8000	39.6	112.1E-6	65.5E-3	63.7	7.16E-5	2.5E-3
80000	6.88	1.61E-6	3.5E-3	201.3	2.26E-5	7.9E-4
	ţ	$z_0 = 2 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	$B_0 = 0.1)$			
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{89sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
2000	59.77	76.21E-6	154.55E-3	31.8	6.4E-3	5E-3
8000	48.45	91.84E-6	60E-3	63.7	3.2E-3	2.5E-3
80000	8.93	1.51E-6	3.8E-3	201.3	1E-3	7.9E-4

	$z_0 = 50 \text{ mm} (\beta_0 = 2.5)$					
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{89sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
60	55.44	62.67E-6	3.69	5.51	1.1E-7	2.9E-2
400	5.36	1.91E-6	688E-3	14.2	4.29E-8	1.1E-2
2000	1.6	125.3E-9	115.5E-3	31.8	1.92E-8	5E-3
8000	1.11	85.33E-9	34.5E-3	63.7	9.6E-9	2.5E-3
	2	$x_0 = 10 \text{ mm} (\mu$	$\beta_0 = 0.5)$			
k (N/m)	$V_{peak} (mV)$	E_{89sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
400	107.7	671.03E-6	679.5E-3	14.2	3.2E-4	11.2E-3
2000	29.97	42.9E-6	115.5E-3	31.8	1.43E-4	5E-3
8000	20.8	29.2E-6	34E-3	63.7	7.16E-5	2.5E-3
80000	4.46	893.6E-9	3E-3	201.3	2.26E-5	7.9E-4
	;	$z_0 = 2 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	$B_0 = 0.1)$			
k (N/m)	$V_{peak} (\mathrm{mV})$	E_{89sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
2000	34.31	30.8E-6	102.88E-3	31.8	6.4E-3	5E-3
8000	24.8	23.68E-6	31E-3	63.7	3.2E-3	2.5E-3
80000	5.46	756.1E-9	2.5E-3	201.3	1E-3	7.9E-4

Table 4.8: Non linear model simulation results from acceleration y-axis measurements of the knee of a person while is walking with b = 0.1.

Table 4.9: Non linear model simulation results from acceleration x-axis measurements of the ankle of a person while is walking with b = 0.1.

	;	$z_0 = 50 \text{ mm} \ (\beta)$	$\beta_0 = 2.5$)			
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{131sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
30	16.88	4.18E-6	4.81	3.9	1.57E-7	4.1E-2
50	15.73	10.57E-6	3.45	5.03	1.21E-7	3.2E-2
400	4.47	2.22E-6	575E-3	14.2	4.29E-8	1.1E-2
2000	3.25	936.2E-9	203.5E-3	31.8	1.92E-8	5E-3
8000	0.52	29.78E-9	5.055E-3	63.7	9.6E-9	2.5E-3
	;	$z_0 = 10 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	$B_0 = 0.5)$			
k (N/m)	$V_{peak} (\mathrm{mV})$	E_{131sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
400	86.8	704.37E-6	573.9E-3	14.2	3.2E-4	11.2E-3
2000	60.6	315.25E-6	202.45E-3	31.8	1.43E-4	5E-3
8000	21.05	42.54E-6	44.1E-3	63.7	7.16E-5	2.5E-3
80000	9.76	10.13E-6	5E-3	201.3	2.26E-5	7.9E-4
		$z_0 = 2 \text{ mm} (\beta_0)$	$_{0} = 0.1)$			
k (N/m)	$V_{peak} (\mathrm{mV})$	E_{131sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
2000	69.9	313.2E-6	169E-3	31.8	6.4E-3	5E-3
8000	26.9	36.1E-6	40.2 E-3	63.7	3.2E-3	2.5E-3
80000	11.77	7.62E-6	4.76E-3	201.3	1E-3	7.9E-4

	$z_0 = 50 \text{ mm} (\beta_0 = 2.5)$					
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{131sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
30	113.5	66.18E-6	4.49	3.9	1.57E-7	4.1E-2
50	39.66	19.02E-6	3.51	5.03	1.21E-7	3.2E-2
400	9.77	5.47E-6	1.07	14.2	4.29E-8	1.1E-2
2000	4.31	1.64E-6	250E-3	31.8	1.92E-8	5E-3
8000	1.87	263.2E-9	56.5 E-3	63.7	9.6E-9	2.5E-3
	, A	$z_0 = 10 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	$B_0 = 0.5)$			
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{131sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
600	148.4	1.53E-3	679.5E-3	17.43	1.3E-4	9.1E-3
2000	82.37	561.8E-6	249.5E-3	31.8	1.43E-4	5E-3
8000	35.1	89.9E-6	56.5 E-3	63.7	7.16E-5	2.5E-3
$z_0 = 2 \text{ mm} (\beta_0 = 0.1)$						
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{131sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
2500	76.9	218.9E-6	159.81E-3	35.59	5.7E-3	4.5E-3
8000	42.85	70.48E-6	51.5E-3	63.7	3.2E-3	2.5E-3
80000	16.5	10.48E-6	6.55 E-3	201.3	1E-3	7.9E-4

Table 4.10: Non linear model simulation results from acceleration y-axis measurements of the ankle of a person while is walking with b = 0.1.

Table 4.11: Summary table with the highest average power for measurements done in different parts of the human body while various activities where realized.

i	$P(\mu W)$	k (N/m)	$V \rightarrow (mV)$	$\Delta \beta$	f_{0} (Hz)
	<u> (µ)</u>	<i>n</i> (11/11)	v peak (III v)		J0 (112)
$Knee-Stairs_x$	5.14	400	60.8	462E-3	14.2
$Knee-Stairs_y$	5.18	400	72.6	488E-3	14.2
$Knee - Walking_x$	20.34	600	144	730.5E-3	17.43
$Knee - Walking_y$	7.54	400	107.7	679.5E-3	14.2
$Ankle - Walking_x$	5.38	400	86.8	573.9E-3	14.2
$Ankle - Walking_y$	11.68	600	148.4	679.5E-3	17.43



Figure 4.12: Position of the proof mass when the external acceleration of Figure 4.11 is applied to the microgenerator. The parameters employed during the simulation are k = 600N/m, $z_0 = 10mm$ and b = 0.1.



Figure 4.13: Energy dissipated in the load when the external acceleration of Figure 4.11 is applied to the microgenerator. The parameters employed during the simulation are k = 600N/m, $z_0 = 10mm$ and b = 0.1.

Chapter 5

Thermoelectric Generator

The thermoelectric generator (TEG) also called thermogenerator basically consists of one or more thermocouples, each one being composed of a p-type and n-type semiconductor connected electrically in series and thermally in parallel. The TEG is based on the Seebeck effect and produces an electrical voltage proportional to the temperature difference between hot and cold junctions and to the number of thermocouples since the electrical connection allows to add the voltage obtained at each thermocouple. The heat flux that enters or leaves a junction of a thermoelectric device is generated by two mechanisms: the presence of a temperature gradient at the junction and the absorption or liberation of energy due to the Peltier effect [49].

This section shows the electrical model of the thermogenerator, characterizes some commercial thermogenerators in order to select one of them and finally presents a power management unit designed to supply power to an application where a sensor unit and an RF transmitter send the sensing data with the energy generated by a TEG that converts the heat flow existing between the human hand and the ambient, see Figure 5.1.

5.1 Electrical model of a Thermocouple

A Peltier device can be employed as a thermocooler (TEC) or as a thermogenerator (TEG). In the first case, an electrical energy is applied to the TEC that is able to create a temperature gradient between its two sides. In the second case, a voltage is generated with the temperature gradient present between the two sides of the TEG. An electrical model of a TEG allows to simulate the complete energy harvesting generator based on a thermoelectric transducer to predict its behavior. Therefore, electrical and thermal circuits can be simulated together and it can be predicted the minimum temperature difference necessary to start up the power management unit or the response of the circuit to variations in the thermal source. It is first introduced the TEC electrical model since it appears in the literature and afterwards it is presented the electrical model for the TEG,



Figure 5.1: The thermogenerator converts the heat flow existing between the human hand and the ambient in electrical energy.

based in the previous one.

5.1.1 Thermocooler Electrical Model

Chavez et al. in [1] and Lineykin et al. in [2] presented two equivalent SPICE models for TECs. In the TEC, the heat is emitted by the cold side and it is absorbed by the hot side when electrical energy is applied to the Peltier device. Figure 5.2 shows the TEC equivalent circuit based on the model presented by Chavez et al. whereas Figure 5.3 shows the TEC circuit based on the model presented by Lineykin et al. Two different circuits can be distinguished in both models. On one hand, the circuit that models the thermal behavior and on the other hand, the circuit that models the electrical behavior. The circuit that models the TEC electrical response has a temperature dependent voltage source, V_{α} , and a resistor, R_m . The value of the voltage source is proportional to the temperature gradient between the hot side, T_H , and the cold side, T_C of the TEC and to the Seebeck's coefficient, α_m . R_m represents the equivalent electric resistance of the thermoelectric module. For the case of a TEC, an external current source (I in Figures 5.2 and 5.3) or voltage source must be connected to the electrical circuit in order to create a temperature gradient between both sides of the thermoelectric module, TEM.

Some definitions of thermal parameters are necessary in order to understand the thermal part of the model. Specific heat capacity, also known simply as specific heat, c, is the measure of the heat energy required to raise the temper-



Figure 5.2: Thermocooler equivalent circuit [1].

ature of one gram of a substance by one Kelvin. Volumetric heat capacity, c_v , is the measure of the heat energy required to raise the temperature of one cubic meter of a substance by one Kelvin. It is different from specific heat capacity in that the volumetric heat capacity depends on the volume of the material, while the specific heat depends on the mass of the material. Thermal mass (also called heat capacity), C, is a measurable physical quantity that characterizes the ability of a body to store heat as it changes in temperature:

$$C = mc = Vc_v \left[J/K \right] \tag{5.1}$$

Thermal conductivity, k, is the property of a material that indicates its ability to conduct heat. It is defined as the quantity of heat, ΔQ , transmitted during time Δt through a thickness L, in a direction normal to a surface of area A, due to a temperature difference ΔT , under steady state conditions and when the heat transfer is dependent only on the temperature gradient.

$$k = \frac{Q}{t} \frac{L}{A\Delta T} \left[W/\left(mK\right) \right] \tag{5.2}$$

Thermal conductance, K, is the ability of a material to transfer heat per unit time:

$$K = \frac{kA}{L} \left[W/K \right] \tag{5.3}$$



Figure 5.3: Thermocooler equivalent circuit [2].

Thermal resistance, Θ , is the difference of temperature between opposite faces due to 1W of heat flowing in a unit time through a plate of area A and thickness L.

$$\Theta = \frac{L}{kA} = \frac{1}{K} \left[K/W \right] \tag{5.4}$$

The thermal part of the model, illustrated by Figures 5.2 and 5.3, is composed by two capacitors at each side of the TEM, C_H and C_C . C_H is the thermal mass of the hot side of the Peltier element whereas C_C is the thermal mass of the hot side of the Peltier element. A resistor that represents the thermal conductance of the material, K_m , and two voltage controlled current sources also appear in the model whereas model based on [1] whereas the model based on [2] employs a voltage-controlled voltage source and the thermal resistance, Θ_m , instead of the thermal conductance. Both models are equivalent since $k_m = 1/\Theta_m$.

 q_C is the heat absorbed by the cold side and q_H is the heat emitted at the hot side. The thermal part of the TEC model is illustrated by Figure 5.2 and described by Equations (5.5) and (5.6).

$$q_C = \alpha_m T_C I - \frac{I^2 R_m}{2} - K_m \Delta T \tag{5.5}$$

where $\alpha T_C I$ corresponds to the Seebeck's power generation, $\frac{1}{2}I^2 R_m$ corresponds to the Joule heating effect, the term $K_m \Delta T$ (and $\frac{\Delta T}{\Theta_m}$) is due to thermal con-

Table 5.1: Thermal parameters.

Parameter	Definition	Unit
C_H	Thermal mass of the hot side of the Peltier module	[J/K]
C_C	Thermal mass of the cold side of the Peltier module	[J/K]
K_m	Thermal conductance	[W/K]
Θ_m	Thermal resistance	[K/W]
R_m	Electrical resistance	$[\Omega]$
α_m	Seebeck's coefficient	[V/K]

vection and $\Delta T = T_H - T_C$. Thompson phenomenon $(1/2\tau_m I \Delta T)$ is small and therefore is neglected in the models.

$$q_H = \alpha_m T_H I + \frac{I^2 R_m}{2} - K_m \Delta T \tag{5.6}$$

The electrical characteristic of the thermoelectric module can be modeled by a voltage source proportional to the temperature gradient between the hot and the cold side.

$$V_{\alpha} = \alpha \left(T_H - T_C \right) = \alpha \Delta T \tag{5.7}$$

When the TEM is employed as a TEC both sides of the TEM will be at ambient temperature at the beginning. Therefore, in order to simulate the model, it is necessary to give the ambient temperature as voltage initial conditions of capacitors C_H and C_C . The current or voltage delivered at the electrical part of the circuit will generate a temperature difference between the two sides of the TEM.

5.1.2 Thermogenerator Electrical Model

Figure 5.4 shows the equivalent circuit for a TEG based on the model explained in the previous section for a TEC. In the case of a TEG the electrical circuit is connected to the electrical load to power (modeled in the figure by resistor R_{load}) instead of an electrical energy source. Moreover, the sense in the heat fluxes and the current dependent sources is also different with respect to the previous model. In addition, taking into account that in the TEG, the heat is absorbed by the hot side and it is emitted by the cold side, the equations for the thermal part of the circuit are:

$$q_C = \alpha_m T_C I + \frac{I^2 R_m}{2} + k_m \Delta T \tag{5.8}$$

$$q_H = \alpha_m T_H I - \frac{I^2 R_m}{2} + k_m \Delta T \tag{5.9}$$

The equation for the electrical part of the TEG is:



Figure 5.4: Thermogenerator equivalent circuit based in the model of Chavez et al. [1].

$$V_{load} = V_{\alpha} - IR_m \tag{5.10}$$

The open circuit voltage is the Seebeck coefficient for the TEG times the temperature gradient.

$$V_{oc} = \alpha \Delta T \tag{5.11}$$

$$I_{opt} = \frac{\alpha \Delta T}{R\left(m'_{opt} + 1\right)} \tag{5.12}$$

The output power delivered to the load, R_{load} , is:

$$P_o = I^2 R_{load} \tag{5.13}$$

If a transient response of the TEG is not necessary and it is only required a static characterization, the capacitors C_H and C_C can be removed in the equivalent circuit.

5.2 Design Considerations

The first step is the selection of the n- and p-type materials that form the TEM. A parameter to maximize in its design is the thermal efficiency, η_{TEG} , is defined as the ratio of the electrical power output, P_o , to the thermal power absorbed by the hot junction, q_H [49].

$$\eta_{TEG} = P_o/q_H \tag{5.14}$$

The output power is:

$$P_o = I^2 R_{load} \tag{5.15}$$

The current that flows through the electrical load, R_{load} , is:

$$I = \frac{\alpha_m \Delta T}{R_m + R_{load}} \tag{5.16}$$

Therefore, Equation (5.14) can be expressed as:

$$\eta_{TEG} = \frac{I^2 R_{load}}{k_m \Delta T + \alpha_m T_H I - \frac{1}{2} I^2 R_m}$$
(5.17)

A new variable m' that relates R_{load} and R_m is introduced in order to calculate how to maximize the thermal efficiency of the TEG.

$$m' = \frac{R_{load}}{R_m} \tag{5.18}$$

Expressing Equation (5.17) as a function of m':

$$\eta_{TEG} = \frac{m' \left(\Delta T/T_H\right)}{\frac{(1+m')^2}{T_H} \frac{Rk_m}{\alpha^2} + (1+m') - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta T}{T_H}}$$
(5.19)

If it is considered that all parameters of Equation (5.19) remain constant except the product $R_m k_m$, the smaller this parameter is, the higher efficiency is obtained.

The following analysis relates the product $R_m k_m$ with the dimensions and properties of the thermogenerator material. The thermal conductance of the TEG is:

$$k_m = \lambda_n A_n / l_n + \lambda_p A_p / l_p = \lambda_n \gamma_n + \lambda_p \gamma_p \tag{5.20}$$

where A_n is the cross sectional area of n-type material, A_p is the the cross sectional area of n-type material, l_n is the length of the n-type material, l_p is the cross sectional area of p-type material, λ_n is the thermal conductivity of n-type material [W/(mK)], λ_p is the thermal conductivity of p-type material, γ_n is the ratio A_n/l_n [m] and γ_p is the ratio A_p/l_p .

The internal resistance of the TEG is:

$$R_m = \rho_n / \gamma_n + \rho_p / \gamma_p \tag{5.21}$$

where ρ_n and ρ_p are the electrical resistivity $[\Omega m]$ of the n-type and p-type material, respectively.

$$R_m k_m = \lambda_n \rho_n + \lambda_n \rho_p \left(\gamma_n / \gamma_p\right) + \lambda_p \rho_n \left(\gamma_p / \gamma_n\right) + \lambda_p \rho_p \tag{5.22}$$

Doing the derivative of $R_m k_m$ with respect to γ_n / γ_p and equaling to zero, it is obtained that the minimum value of the product is obtained when:

$$\gamma_n / \gamma_p = \left[\left(\rho_n \lambda_n \right)^{1/2} + \left(\rho_p \lambda_p \right)^{1/2} \right]^2$$
(5.23)

The expression for the figure of merit, Z, of a Peltier element is defined as:

$$Z = \frac{\alpha_m^2}{R_m k_m} = \frac{\alpha_m^2 \Theta_m}{k_m} = \frac{\alpha_m^2 \sigma_m}{\lambda_m}$$
(5.24)

The selection of the materials employed to fabricate the thermopair depends on the temperature ranges the thermogenerator must cope with. Altenkirch [113] concluded that good thermoelectric materials have large Seebeck coefficients, α , and electrical conductivity, σ , whereas they have low thermal conductivity, λ . These three variables are related with the figure of merit Z, (5.24).

Another way to define the figure of merit is ZT since Z varies with the temperature. Therefore, the ideal materials for a TEG employed at ambient temperatures should have a large value of ZT under these working conditions.

The expression for the figure of merit for a combination of materials that form a couple is:

$$Z = \frac{\left(|\alpha_n| + |\alpha_p|\right)^2}{\lambda_n \rho_n + \lambda_n \rho_p \left(\gamma_n / \gamma_p\right) + \lambda_p \rho_n \left(\gamma_p / \gamma_n\right) + \lambda_p \rho_p}$$
(5.25)

Substituting in Equation (5.25) the result obtained for $(R_m k_m)_{min}$ deduced from Equations (5.23) and (5.22), it is obtained the expression for the figure of merit that provides the maximum efficiency of the TEG when its geometry is optimized, Z^* .

$$Z* = \frac{(|\alpha_n| + |\alpha_p|)^2}{\left[(\rho_n \lambda_n)^{1/2} + (\rho_p \lambda_p)^{1/2}\right]^2}$$
(5.26)

These equations fix geometrical conditions to maximize the efficiency of the TEG and therefore, the efficiency can be optimized in the design step. If Equation (5.26) substitutes the value $\alpha_m^2/(R_m k_m)$ in Equation (5.19), it is obtained the thermal efficiency of a TEG that has optimum geometry.

$$\eta_{TEG-maxgeometry} = \frac{m' \left(\Delta T/T_H\right)}{\frac{\left(1+m'\right)^2}{Z^*T_H} + \left(1+m'\right) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta T}{T_H}}$$
(5.27)

Once the TEG is designed to work with the maximum efficiency, the load resistance can also be properly selected to maximize the efficiency. The optimum value of m', m'_{opt} is obtained doing the derivative of Equation (5.27) with respect to m' and equaling to zero.

$$m'_{opt} = (1 + Z^* T_{av})^{1/2}$$
(5.28)

where $T_{av} = (T_C + T_H)/2$

Therefore, if the efficiency is optimized in the design step of the TEG and in the selection of the load, it is obtained the maximum efficiency of the TEG, $\eta_{TEG-max}$.

$$\eta_{TEG-max} = \frac{\left(m'_{opt} - 1\right)\left(\Delta T/T_H\right)}{m'_{opt} + T_C/T_H}$$
(5.29)

The maximization of the TEG efficiency requires to optimize it in the design phase. However, if it is not possible to do this, an improvement can be done maximizing the output power of the TEG. The output power, P_o , as a function of the resistance ratio m' is expressed as:

$$P_{o} = \frac{(\alpha_{m}\Delta T)^{2} m'}{(1+m')^{2} R_{m}}$$
(5.30)

The maximum output power is obtained for m' = 1 ($R_{load} = R_m$). Thus, the efficiency obtained for maximizing the output power is:

$$\eta_{TEG-maxp} = \frac{\Delta T/T_H}{4/(ZT_H) + 2 - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta T/T_H)}$$
(5.31)

The maximum output power is obtained from Equation (5.30) when m' = 1:

$$P_{o-max} = \frac{(\alpha_m \Delta T)^2}{4R_m} = \frac{(\alpha_m \Delta T)^2}{4[\rho_n l_n / A_n + \rho_p l_p / A_p]}$$
(5.32)

If it is assumed that $A = A_n + A_p$ and $l_n = l_p = l$, Equation (5.32) can be simplified to:

$$P_{o-max} = \frac{\left(\alpha_m \Delta T\right)^2 A}{4l \left[\rho_n + \rho_p\right]} = \frac{\left(\alpha_m \Delta T\right)^2 A}{4l\rho}$$
(5.33)

From Equation (5.33), it is deduced that the maximum output power generated by a TEG is proportional to A/l where A is the cross-sectional area and l is the length of a p-n thermoelectric leg couple. Therefore, decreasing the length of the thermocouples and increasing their section, the maximum output power generated will be increased. Thus, maintaining the same volume in the TEG, higher output power values can be obtained increasing its area and decreasing its length.

5.3 Characterization of Thermoelectric Modules (TEMs)

The characterization of the TEM is of special interest for the design of the power management unit of the TEG. Once the TEM to employ is characterized, it is possible to predict the voltage and power generated for different temperature gradients, as well as, the internal electrical resistance of the TEG. Moreover, once a power management unit is designed, it can be evaluated the percentage of the total available power that is extracted with the converter.



Figure 5.5: Voltage as a function of current for the 17 A 1015 H 200 Peltron thermogenerator.

A TEG can be modeled taking into account three of its parameters: the Seebecks coefficient, α_m , the internal resistance, R_m , and the thermal resistance, Θ_m . The parameters of a TEG can be extracted following the methodology described in [114]. Nevertheless, most of the manufacturers of thermoelectric modules give this information in their datasheets. Sometimes, this information is not available in a direct way and it has to be calculated from another parameters [2, 115]. However, these parameters are dependent of the temperature and this dependence is not taken into account either by the models employed in [1,2, 115] or by the manufacturers.



Figure 5.6: Power as a function of current for the 17 A 1015 H 200 Peltron thermogenerator.



Figure 5.7: Voltage as a function of current for the 128 A 1030 Peltron thermogenerator.



Figure 5.8: Power as a function of current for the 128 A 1030 Peltron thermogenerator.

Table E.1 and Table E.2 included in Appendix E show the measurements obtained employing two different thermogenerators. From these measurements, the parameters α_m and R_m of the TEGs needed to its model can be calculated. The results obtained for the characterization of the two different TEGs [116] are presented in two different figures. Figure 5.5 and Figure 5.7 show the voltage as a function of current for different ΔT for the models 17 A 1015 H 200, 128 A 1030 and 128 A 0020 respectively, whereas Figure 5.6 and Figure 5.8 show the power of the TEG as a function of current for the same values of ΔT . The value of the internal resistance, R_m , of the TEG was calculated for every ΔT and the maximum output power is obtained when the load resistance connected to the TEG is equal to R_m .

The Peltron thermogenerator 128 A 1030 was selected to power the application presented in [22] (Chapter 12) since it delivers higher output power and voltage than the other model for the same temperature gradients. For indoor applications, the temperature gradient between the human body and the ambient will be lower than 6 K, around 3 K-5 K. Then, this TEG, that has a Seebeck coefficient of 49.71 mV/K, is expected that provides a voltage between 149 mV and 249 mV in open circuit with the proposed temperature gradient. When the TEG is delivering the maximum power, its output voltage is half of its open circuit voltage. Thus, if the power management circuit is working in the maximum power point, the output voltage of the TEG will be between 74.5 mV and 124.5 mV.

5.4 Power Management Unit for Thermogenerators

From the results of the characterization of the thermogenerators presented in the previous section, it is found that there is a gap between the output of thermoelectrical energy transducers and the minimum required input voltage of state-of-the- art voltage converters. For example, commercial DC-DC boost regulators have a minimum input voltage of 500 mV [117]. In energy harvesting generators, it is possible to employ a battery or a capacitor to store the energy that is not immediately consumed by the electronic load. However, an energy harvesting system must not be dependent on the energy storage element to be able to start its operation. Therefore, a start-up circuit to assure initial voltage conversion only employing a thermoelectric transducer is included in power management circuit designed in [22, 118] and Chapter 12. The starter circuit consists of a charge-pump in conjunction with a step-up DC-DC converter. The power management unit presented is designed to convert input voltages of the order of few hundreds of millivolts to the voltage supply required by Enocean RF transmitter (3-4 V) employed as a load. However, better results are obtained with a step-up converter based on coupled inductors in terms of efficiency, above 70% for input voltages higher than 300 mV, and lower start-up voltage (116 mV) [119].

The approach presented in [22,118] and Chapter 12 is focused in the design of a power management unit able to operate with the low output voltages obtained with low temperature gradients applied to TEGs. The IMEC has developed a different approach where the main point is the design of an optimum multistage structure for the TEGs. The objective of this configuration is to have a minimum output voltage on the TEG, once it is connected to the power management unit, of 0.7 V that is a value that can be step-up converted by the state of the art converters. Different power management units that are used with this multistage structure have been designed and tested [54, 120, 121]. Moreover, it is tested which location of the wrist is the optimum one for placing a TEG.

5.4.1 Energy Storage Element

An energy storage element can be employed for two reasons. On one hand, it permanently accumulates the available energy delivered by the thermogenerator, even though no continuous power is demanded by the electronic application. On the other hand, if excessive power bursts, e.g. upon start-up or during transmission, are required, they can be drawn out of the energy buffer. Moreover, in the designed circuit, the charge-pump needs in the order of 100 seconds to charge its output capacitor. Therefore, to avoid this delay, the battery can be connected at the beginning as input to the power management circuit.

The output voltage that must be provided by the power management unit depends on the supply voltage range required by the electrical load. The energy storage element must also be selected according to this criterion and the energy requirements of the load as well. Two possible storage elements are batteries and large capacitors.

- Batteries. For a supply voltage range between 2V and 2.8V two-cell-NiMH [122] or one-cell-lithium-polymer with lithium-titanium-oxide [123] batteries can be taken into account. For higher supply voltages up to 4.2V, typical 3.7V lithium batteries can be selected.
- Capacitors. High value capacitors (Supercaps, Gold Caps, Ultra Caps) can replace the batteries. However, their capacity is generally lower than those of batteries, they don't have a flat discharge curve and the limited voltage rating has also to be considered. An advantage is the higher power density, such that they can withstand higher current pulses.

The selected energy storage element for the power management unit is the battery in order to have the option of reducing the start-up time of the circuit (as it is explained in the next section) connecting the battery to the input of the circuit instead of the TEG.

5.4.2 Power Management Circuit

Figure 5.9 shows the power management circuit designed to power an RF communication module [22, 118] (Chapter 12). This section gives additional data about this circuit that is not presented in (Chapter 12). The main blocks of the power management circuit are a charge pump and a step-up converter. At first moment, the charge-pump is employed to increase the input voltage of the TEG to a value that can be operated by the boost converter.

The charge pump was first tested at several input voltages under no load condition. An external power supply, V_{in} was employed as input energy source. The recorded input currents, I_{in} , for the charge-pump are shown in Table 5.2. An external power supply V_{in} was also employed as input energy source while the output of the step-up converter was adjusted to 2.8 V in order to calculate the efficiency of the power management unit, see Table 5.3, attached to two-cell NiMH battery pack with an open circuit overall voltage of 1.8 V. I_{bat} corresponds to the current flowing into the battery.

$V_{in}(mV)$	I_{in}
300	$350\mu A$
250	$1.5 \mathrm{mA}$
200	$3.5 \mathrm{mA}$
150	$2.6 \mathrm{mA}$
140	$6 \mathrm{mA}$
130	$6.4 \mathrm{mA}$

 Table 5.2: Measurements of the input voltage and charge-pump current consumption.



Figure 5.9: Low-input voltage power management circuit.

Table 5.3: Measurements of the input voltage and current, output voltage and current of the switching regulator and efficiency with an external power supply.

$V_{in}(mV)$	$I_{in}(mA)$	$\mathcal{V}_{out}(V)$	$I_{bat}(\mu A)$	$\eta(\%)$
300	10.33	2.44	897	70.63
250	9.8	2.42	659	65.09
200	9.35	2.408	436	56.14
150	8.8	2.381	227	40.95
140	8.66	2.374	186	36.42
130	8.45	2.365	146	31.43

Table 5.4: Measurements of the TEG voltage and current, output voltage and current of the switching regulator and efficiency with a thermogenerator power supply for a regulated output voltage of 2.5 V.

$V_{in}(mV)$	$I_{in}(mA)$	$V_{out}(V)$	$I_{bat}(\mu A)$	$\eta(\%)$
265	10.16	2.49	695	64.28
254	10	2.476	645	62.87
246	9.76	2.43	646	65.38
236	9.88	2.489	550	58.71

$V_{in}(mV)$	$I_{in}(mA)$	$V_{out}(V)$	$I_{bat}(\mu A)$	$\eta(\%)$
400	9.15	3.77	346	35.63
300	13.28	3.745	344	32.34
250	17	3.695	339	29.47
220	16.01	3.33	306	28.93
150	8.69	2.058	189	29.83

Table 5.5: Measurements of the TEG voltage and current, output voltage and current of the switching regulator and efficiency with a thermogenerator power supply for a regulated output voltage of 3.8 V.

Parameter	Symbol	Conditions	Min	Тур	Мах	Unit
Min. Input Voltage (after startup)	Vin	Battery connected, V _{out} =3.8 V		0.12		V
		No battery connected, V _{out} =3.8 V, no load		0.22		V
		No battery connected, V_{out} =3.8 V, output load 10 k Ω		0.28		
		No battery connected, V _{out} =2 V, no load		0.13		V
		No battery connected, $V_{out}=2$ V, output load 10 k Ω		0.17		V
Minimum input voltage at startup	V _{in}	No battery connected		0.29		V
Startup time	t _{startup}	No battery connected, V _{out} =3.8 V				
		V _{in} =0.29 V	100		150	S
		V _{in} =0.4 V	6		15.8	S
		V _{in} =0.5 V	2.4		5.1	S
Max. Input Voltage	V _{in}			5.5		V
Output voltage range	V _{out}		2		5.5	V
Quiescent current		V _{in} =0.5 V V _{out} =2V		0.8		mA
		V _{in} =0.5 V V _{out} =3.8		3.2		mA
		V _{in} =0.3 V V _{out} =2V		1.3		mA
		V _{in} =0.3 V V _{out} =3.8V		7		mA

Table 5.6: Electrical characteristics of the Power Management Unit

The external supply was replaced by the 128 A 1030 thermoelectric module from Peltron. By means of human body to ambient temperature difference the circuit was powered-up after around 100 seconds providing a regulated output of 2.8 V. Upon power-up, the output voltage of the TEG dropped from 350mV to 250mV. The step-up converter kept regulating down to an input voltage of 150mV. Table 5.4 and 5.5 shows the measured data as well as the calculated efficiency output voltages of 2.5 V and 3.8 V, respectively. The efficiency of the converter is lower for the case of the output voltage fixed at 3.8 V. Moreover, the output voltage in this case is not regulated when the TEG provides an output voltage lower than 250 mV.



Figure 5.10: Efficiency versus output current for $V_{out}=2V$

Table 5.6 summarizes the electrical characteristics of the designed power management unit while Figures 5.10 and 5.11 show the efficiency versus output current for an output voltage of 3.8 V and 2 V. The curves of both figures stop at a point where the power management circuit was not regulating its output anymore for the load connected. First row of Table 5.6 show the values of the minimum input voltage at which the power management circuit kept regulating for different output voltages. The minimum input voltage after startup decreases with the output voltage and increases with the value of the load connected. The typical minimum input voltage for starting to operate the converter circuit is 290 mV after 100 s to 150 s. The startup time decreases with input voltage dramatically, e.g. for an input voltage of 400 mV, the startup time is between 6 s and 15.8 s.

It is possible to connect the power management circuit to a battery in order to charge it. However, this connection does not require to make use of a conventional battery charger (that includes a current-limit, a voltage-limit regulation loop and a time-limited charge termination circuit) and thus energy and area can be saved. A current-limit regulation loop is usually required to



Figure 5.11: Electrical characteristics of the Power Management Unit

avoid overcharging during the fast charging stage of NiMH and lithium batteries [94]. The designed circuit takes advantage of the intrinsic current limiting characteristics of the TEG for charging the battery without the need of dedicated hardware. Additionally, the voltage-limit regulation loop is undertaken by the boost DC-DC converter since it provides the required charging voltage at the output of the power management unit. Time-limited charge termination circuitry is also avoided by selecting a regulated voltage slightly below the maximum topping voltage specified by battery manufacturers. A battery charger is replaced though by a diode, D1, connected between the output of the regulator and the battery. This approach is more advisable for lithium-polymer than for NiMH accumulators, since the latter suffer from memory effect degradation when the charging procedure is not completed. This topology can be enhanced in terms of reliability by placing a Zener diode that limits the charging voltage in case of failure. It is also possible to connect the battery to the input of the power management circuit in order to reduce the startup time and afterwords connect the TEG as input voltage for the power management circuit.

More detailed information about the power management unit and the application designed to power a wireless communication data that is able to sense and transmit information once every second is given in [22, 118] (Chapter 12).

Chapter 6

System-level Simulation

The amount of available power in a system that employs an energy harvesting transducer as a power supply is limited and it is not constant with time. If an energy storage element is employed, the requirement to fulfill is to generate enough energy to supply the electronic load connected to the energy harvesting system.

The evolution in the area of microprocessors and transceivers has made possible communication modules with different power consumption modes depending on its state. There are several power consumption modes: standby, transmission, reception, sleep, etc. and some of them need a minimum time to be operative.

In a given time interval, it is desirable to make equal the energy harvested by the generator and the energy consumed by the load to assure an energy neutral operation, in other words, to assure that there will always be available energy to supply the load. This is the same as stating that the average power in the time interval generated and spent must be the same for neutral operation. A system-level simulation allows to design and modify a complete system (from the transducer to the load) that employs energy harvesting power supplies in the same simulation environment. Furthermore, it is possible to simulate how a modification in the transducer affects the available energy for supplying power to the load. During the thesis, two different simulation environments that combine mechanical and electrical models have been developed. An inductive generator model was analyzed in [21] (Chapter 11) employing the Ptolemy simulator whereas a piezoelectric generator model described with Verilog-A was presented in [13] (Chapter 13). Both system-level simulations are done in the time domain instead of the frequency domain.

Each one of the blocks that compose the complete system-level simulation of [13] (Chapter 13) must be modeled and included in the simulation of the complete energy harvesting generator. The energy transducer (a piezoelectric transducer), the voltage regulator (a linear regulator) and the electronic load (an RF transmitter) are modeled at a behavioral level whereas the battery and the converter were modeled at a circuit level. The power consumption profile of the electronic load can be changed in two different ways. The first one is the replacement of some hardware of the communication module (microprocessor, transceiver,) and the second one is a change in the power consumption mode of the communication module.

6.1 Energy Harvesting Transducer and Load Energy Profile

In the section titled 7. Power Conditioning Circuits of [18] (Chapter 8), the two operation modes of an electronic load powered by an energy harvesting generator are explained: continuous and intermittent. An energy storage element is not necessary if the power consumption of the electronic device is lower than the power generated by the energy harvesting generator and is only operated when there is generated power. For the rest of the cases, an energy storage element is necessary , e.g. a battery.

The application and the harvested and consumed energy will determine the operating mode to select. The objective of this chapter is to present a way to calculate the initial charge of the storage element before starting operation as well as, the maximum quantity of energy that is required to store. The method is based on the works made by Kansal et al. presented in [124] and [30]. This technique consists of a model to characterize environmental sources and electronic loads that allows to determine the size of the energy storage element employed as a function of the power consumption profile of the load to assure energy neutral operation.

When the difference between the last maximum and minimum of the mean power delivered by the energy harvesting transducer to the energy storage device is Δ , this means that the error to calculate the energy neutral operation point has an error margin of $\pm \Delta$ and that no more stored power data are necessary [124]. Therefore, this method is feasible for periodical or quasi-periodical energy harvesting sources but not for non-periodical ones. Kansal et al. developed this method for solar panels in outdoors applications where there is power available only under sunlight and the cycles of converted power caused by day and night alternation are quasi-periodic. Nevertheless, this behaviour is not limited to solar energy since mechanical energy sources or thermal energy sources can also be periodical or quasi-periodical.

First of all, it is necessary to define the energy delivered by the transducer to the energy storage element and the energy consumed by the load in a mathematical way. Figure 6.1 shows the power harvested by an energy harvesting transducer and delivered to the energy storage element, P_s , as a function of time. The mean power, ρ_s , is defined as

$$\rho_s = \frac{1}{T} \int_T P_s(t) \mathrm{d}t \tag{6.1}$$

where T is the interval of time considered for the calculations that gives an error of $\pm \Delta$.



Figure 6.1: Power delivered by the energy harvesting transducer to the energy storage element as a function of time.

If it is assumed that T_{lows-i} is the i-th contiguous time duration for which $P_s(t) \leq \rho_s(t)$, then σ_2 is defined as the maximum deficit of energy of the energy harvesting transducer, see Figure 6.1.

$$\sigma_2 = max_i \left\{ \int_{T_{lows-i}} \rho_s - P_s(t) dt \right\}$$
(6.2)

If it is assumed that $T_{highs-i}$ is the i-th contiguous time duration for which $P_s(t) \geq \rho_s(t)$, then σ_1 is defined as the maximum excess of energy of the energy harvesting transducer, see Figure 6.1.

$$\sigma_1 = max_i \left\{ \int_{T_{highs-i}} P_s(t) - \rho_s \mathrm{d}t \right\}$$
(6.3)

The energy harvested by the transducer and delivered to the energy storage element will be inside a certain margin limited by E_{smin} and E_{smax} :

$$E_{smin} \le \int_T P_s(t) \mathrm{d}t \le E_{smax} \qquad \forall t$$
 (6.4)

where E_{smin} is the lower limit and E_{smax} is the upper limit of the energy delivered by the energy harvesting transducer to the energy storage element. $E_{smin}(T)$ and $E_{smax}(T)$ are piecewise functions defined as:

$$E_{smin}\left(T\right) = \begin{cases} \rho_s T - \sigma_2 \frac{T}{T_{lows-i}} & \forall T \le T_{lows-i}, \\ \rho_s T - \sigma_2 & \forall T \ge T_{lows-i}. \end{cases}$$
(6.5)

$$E_{smax}\left(T\right) = \begin{cases} \rho_s T + \sigma_1 \frac{T}{T_{highs}} & \forall T \le T_{highs-i}, \\ \rho_s T + \sigma_1 & \forall t \ge T_{highs-i}. \end{cases}$$
(6.6)

Figure 6.2 shows the power consumption of an electronic load, P_l , as a function of time. ρ_l is the average power consumption of the load. P_1 is the power



Figure 6.2: Power consumption of the load as a function of time.

consumption of the load in the highest power consumption mode (e.g., transmission mode in a communication module) and it takes place during a time interval t_1 . P_2 is the power consumption of the load in the lowest power consumption mode (e.g., standby mode in a communication module) and it takes place during a time interval t_2 . Therefore, the electronic load consumption can be defined as a function of the parameters ($\rho_l, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$).

$$\rho_l = \frac{1}{T} \int_T P_l(t) \mathrm{d}t \tag{6.7}$$

If it is assumed that $T_{highs-i}$ is the i-th contiguous time duration for which $P_l(t) \geq \rho_l(t)$, then σ_3 is defined as the maximum overconsumption of energy made by the load, see Figure 6.2.

$$\sigma_3 = max_i \left\{ \int_{T_{highl-i}} P_l(t) - \rho_l dt \right\}$$
(6.8)

If it is assumed that $T_{highs-i}$ is the i-th contiguous time duration for which $P_l(t) \geq \rho_l(t)$, then σ_4 is defined as the maximum less consumption of energy made by the load, see Figure 6.2.

$$\sigma_4 = max_i \left\{ \int_{T_{lowl-i}} \rho_l - P_l(t) dt \right\}$$
(6.9)

The lower and upper limits of the energy consumed by the electronic load are:

$$E_{lmin}\left(T\right) = \begin{cases} \rho_l T - \sigma_4 \frac{T}{T_{lowl-i}} & \forall \ T \le T_{lowl-i}, \\ \rho_l T - \sigma_4 & \forall \ T \ge T_{lowl-i}. \end{cases}$$
(6.10)

$$E_{lmax}(T) = \begin{cases} \rho_l T + \sigma_3 \frac{T}{T_{highl-i}} & \forall T \leq T_{highl-i}, \\ \rho_l T + \sigma_3 & \forall T \geq T_{highl-i}. \end{cases}$$
(6.11)
6.2 General Conditions for Energy Neutral Operation

When a wearable device, e.g., a node of a WSN, employs an energy harvesting system to be powered, the objective to achieve is to eliminate the need to replace or recharge externally their battery. Thus, it is necessary to assure energy neutral operation or in other words, to assure that the battery will have always the energy required by the electronic device. Thus, the energy storage element is defined with two parameters that are its initial charge when it is connected to the energy harvesting system, B_0 , and the amount of energy that can be stored, B. The value of both parameters are calculated in this section.

In order to achieve energy neutral operation, the total energy in the system, ΣE , has to be greater than zero since the energy harvesting transducer, E_s , plus the initial energy stored in the battery, B_0 has to be greater than the energy consumed by the electronic load, E_l . Moreover, it is desirable in an energy harvesting system to assure that no energy is wasted or that the battery is damaged due to overcharge. These two conditions can be expressed as:

$$\Sigma E \ge 0 \tag{6.12}$$

$$\Sigma E \le B$$
 (6.13)

The available energy in the system is equal to the initial energy stored in the battery plus the energy harvested by the transducer minus the energy consumed by the load and minus the leakage energy due to the energy storage element.

$$\Sigma E = B_0 + E_s - E_l - \int_T P_{leak} T \mathrm{d}t$$
(6.14)

where P_{leak} is the leakage power of the energy storage element.

The value of B_0 can be calculated using the condition expressed by Equation (6.12). This condition is evaluated when the worst case takes place, that is when the generated energy by the harvesting transducer to the energy storage device is minimum, E_{smin} , and the energy consumed by the electronic load is maximum, E_{lmax} .

$$B_0 + E_{smin} - E_{lmax} - \int_T P_{leak} T dt \ge 0$$
(6.15)

The above condition can be expressed as:

$$B_0 + E_{smin} - E_{lmax} - \rho_{leak}T \ge 0 \tag{6.16}$$

where ρ_{leak} is the mean leakage power of the energy storage element.

In a similar way, the value of B can be calculated using the condition expressed by Equation (6.13). This condition is evaluated when the worst case takes place, that is when the generated energy by the harvesting transducer

is maximum, E_{smax} , and the energy consumed by the electronic load is minimum, E_{lmin} . In this case, the energy storage element will have at its maximum capacity.

$$B_0 + E_{smax} - E_{lmin} - \int_T P_{leak} T dt \le B$$
(6.17)

The above condition can be expressed as:

$$B_0 + E_{smax} - E_{lmin} - \rho_{leak}T \le B \tag{6.18}$$

If the value of T tends to infinity for the two conditions expressed by Equation (6.16) and Equation (6.18), it is obtained:

$$\rho_s - \rho_l - \rho_{leak} \ge 0 \tag{6.19}$$

$$\rho_s - \rho_l - \rho_{leak} \le 0 \tag{6.20}$$

Equation (6.19) and Equation (6.20) can be simplified as:

$$\rho_s - \rho_l - \rho_{leak} = 0 \tag{6.21}$$

Substituting in Equation (6.16), the value given for E_{smin} and E_{lmax} by Equation (6.5) and Equation (6.11), respectively, and taking into consideration the previous expression, it is obtained:

$$B_0 - \sigma_2 \frac{T}{T_{lows}} - \left(\sigma_3 \frac{T}{T_{highl}}\right) \ge 0$$

$$\forall T \le T_{lows} \text{ and } \forall T \le T_{highl}$$
(6.22)

$$B_0 - \sigma_2 \frac{T}{T_{lows}} - (\sigma_3) \ge 0$$

 $\forall T \le T_{lows} \text{ and } \forall T \ge T_{highl}$ (6.23)

$$B_0 - \sigma_2 - \left(\sigma_3 \frac{T}{T_{highl}}\right) \ge 0$$

 $\forall T \ge T_{lows} \text{ and } \forall T \le T_{highl}$ (6.24)

$$B_0 - \sigma_2 - (\sigma_3) \ge 0$$

 $\forall T \ge T_{lows} \text{ and } \forall T \ge T_{highl}$ (6.25)

There are two conditions that give as a result the worst scenario and therefore, the minimum value of B_0 . One of these conditions occurs when T is equal to T_{lows} and this value is greater than T_{highl} . The second condition occurs when T is equal to T_{highl} and this value is greater than T_{lows} . For both cases the same expression is obtained:

$$B_0 \ge \sigma_2 + \sigma_3$$

$$\forall T = T_{lows} \text{ and } \forall T \ge T_{highl}$$

$$\forall T = T_{highl} \text{ and } \forall T \ge T_{lows}$$
(6.26)

When the conditions given by Equation (6.26) and Equation (6.21) are accomplished, the energy harvesting system can operate forever.

The conditions to avoid overcharging the energy storage element are obtained substituting in Equation (6.18), the value given for E_{smax} and E_{lmin} by Equation (6.6) and Equation (6.10), respectively, it is obtained a piecewise expression:

$$B_0 + \sigma_1 \frac{T}{T_{highs}} - \left(-\sigma_4 \frac{T}{T_{lowl}}\right) \le B \tag{6.27}$$

 $\forall T \leq T_{highs} \text{ and } \forall T \leq T_{lowl}$

$$B_0 + \sigma_1 \frac{T}{T_{highs}} - (-\sigma_4) \le B$$

 $\forall T \le T_{highs} \text{ and } \forall T \ge T_{lowl}$ (6.28)

$$B_0 + \sigma_1 - \left(-\sigma_4 \frac{T}{T_{lowl}}\right) \le B$$

 $\forall T \ge T_{highs} \text{ and } \forall T \le T_{lowl}$ (6.29)

$$B_0 - \sigma_2 - (\sigma_3) \le B$$

 $\forall T \ge T_{highs} \text{ and } \forall T \ge T_{lowl}$ (6.30)

The maximum amount of stored energy B will occur when the maximum delivered energy by the transducer and the minimum spent energy by the load are coincident in time. In this case, it is obtained the expression:

$$B_0 + \sigma_1 + \sigma_4 \le B \tag{6.31}$$

Combining (6.26) and (6.31), it is deduced that:

$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \sigma_4 \le B \tag{6.32}$$

When this condition is accomplished, then no waste energy is produced from the energy harvesting transducer since all the energy generated can be stored in the energy buffer.

6.2.1 Conditions for Energy Neutral Operation with two Power Consumption Modes

The electronic load is defined in terms of ρ_l , σ_3 and σ_4 whereas the energy harvesting transducer is defined in terms of ρ_s , σ_1 and σ_2 . However, the consumption of the load can be chosen. This selection is made to assure that there will be enough energy in the system to power the load. Nowadays, it is possible that electronic loads like microprocessors and RF transceivers work in different power consumption modes. Therefore, if the electronic load is for example a communication module, it is possible to adapt its power consumption to the harvested energy by the transducer. This adaptation can be made in terms of the duration of a certain mode (sleep mode, stand by mode, transmission mode, reception mode, etc.) and the combination of the available operating modes. This section analyzes how to adapt the electronic load to the available harvested energy.

For this first approach, it is assumed that the electronic load works with two different consumption modes: P_1 and P_2 ($P_1 > P_2$), each one with a duration t_1 and t_2 , respectively. The consumption profile shown in Figure 6.2 is repeated with period τ . Next section gives a more general analysis with N different consumption modes for the electronic load.

The mean power consumed by the load, ρ_l , is:

$$\rho_l = x_1 P_1 + x_2 P_2 \tag{6.33}$$

where $x_2 = 1 - x_1$, $t_1 = x_1 \tau$ and $t_2 = x_2 \tau$.

The contiguous time duration for which $P_l(t) \ge \rho_l(t)$ is t_1 . Therefore, σ_3 is expressed as:

$$\sigma_3 = \int_{t_1} (P_1 - \rho_l) \,\mathrm{d}t \tag{6.34}$$

Substituting in the above equation the value of ρ_l given in Equation (6.33), it is obtained:

$$\sigma_3 = (1 - x_1) x_1 \tau \left(P_1 - P_2 \right) \tag{6.35}$$

In a similar way, it is obtained the value for σ_4 :

$$\sigma_4 = (1 - x_1) x_1 \tau \left(P_1 - P_2 \right) \tag{6.36}$$

To assure energy neutral operation, the value of ρ_l must be equal to ρ_t minus $\rho_{leakage}$. Taking this fact into account as well as the fact that the values for P_1 and P_2 are fixed by the electronic load selected, the value of x_1 can be calculated.

$$x_1 = \frac{\rho_s - \rho_{leak} - P_2}{P_1 - P_2} \tag{6.37}$$

Thus, the value of x_2 is:

$$x_2 = \frac{P_1 - \rho_s + \rho_{leak}}{P_1 - P_2} \tag{6.38}$$

Once the value of x_1 is calculated, the value of B, B_0 and τ must be selected in a compromise way that allows proper operation of the electronic load and the selection of an energy storage elements that fit with the requirements of the system (for example, in terms of dimensions and weight). There is a minimum time needed for the tasks associated to power consumption P_1 and P_2 that must be respected in order to allow the proper operation of the load. Therefore, a minimum value for τ is fixed by the requirements of the load.

$$t_1 \ge x_1 \tau_{min} \tag{6.39}$$

$$t_2 \ge x_2 \tau_{min} \tag{6.40}$$

For the case of only two different consumption modes, σ_3 is equal to σ_4 and thus, the conditions for the battery capacity and initial charge are:

$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + 2(1 - x_1)t_1(P_1 - P_2) \le B \tag{6.41}$$

$$\sigma_2 + (1 - x_1) t_1 (P_1 - P_2) \le B_0 \tag{6.42}$$

6.2.2 Conditions for Energy Neural Operation with N Power Consumption Modes



Figure 6.3: Power consumption of the load as a function of time.

Figure 6.3 shows a load with N different power consumption modes. P_N is the lowest power consumption mode whereas P_1 is the highest power consumption mode. The rest of the power consumption modes between these two values increase consecutively their power consumption from P_N to P_1 . When this assumption is accepted, for the general case of N different consumption modes, it is calculated that:

$$\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \tau \tag{6.43}$$

where τ is period of the power consumed by the load and x_i is the percentage of τ where the power consumed by the load is P_i .

$$\rho_l = \sum_{i=1}^N P_i x_i \tag{6.44}$$

The expressions for σ_3 and σ_4 are:

$$\sigma_3 = \tau \left(\left(P_1 - \rho_l \right) x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \left\langle P_i - \rho_l \right\rangle x_i \right) = \tau \sum_{i=1}^N \left\langle P_i - \rho_l \right\rangle x_i \tag{6.45}$$

$$\sigma_4 = \tau \left(\left(\rho_l - P_N \right) x_N \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \left\langle \rho_l - P_i \right\rangle x_i \right) = \tau \sum_{i=1}^N \left\langle \rho_l - P_i \right\rangle x_i \tag{6.46}$$

The addition of σ_3 and σ_4 gives as a result:

$$\sigma_{3} + \sigma_{4} = \tau \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \rho_{l} - P_{i} \rangle x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle P_{i} - \rho_{l} \rangle x_{i} \right)$$
$$= \tau \left((P_{1} - \rho_{l}) x_{1} \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \langle \rho_{l} - P_{i} \rangle x_{i} + \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \langle P_{i} - \rho_{l} \rangle x_{i} + (\rho_{l} - P_{N}) x_{N} \right)$$
(6.47)

 $\left(\rho_l-P_N\right)x_N\tau$ can be expressed as a function of the rest of the power consumption modes:

$$(\rho_l - P_N) x_N \tau = \rho_c T \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} x_i \right) - P_N x_N T$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(P_i - \rho_c \right) x_i \right) \tau$$
(6.48)

Therefore, substituting the above expression in Equation 6.47, it is obtained:

$$\sigma_3 + \sigma_4 = 2\tau \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left\langle \rho_l - P_i \right\rangle x_i \tag{6.49}$$

Therefore, the conditions to be fulfilled for energy neutral operation by a electronic load with N consumption modes are summarized here employing expressions (6.26) and (6.32).

$$\sigma_2 + T \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \langle P_i - \rho_l \rangle \, x_i \le B_0 \tag{6.50}$$

$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + 2T \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \langle P_i - \rho_l \rangle \, x_i \le B \tag{6.51}$$

6.3 System-level Simulation Example

A complete system-level simulation is shown in [13]. The schematic of the electronic circuit simulated, see Figure 6.4, is composed by five different blocks which have their functionality described with Verilog-A. The block called *triangpos* has a description of the deflection done by the piezoelectric element. The second block, *piezofilm*, contains a description of the behavior of a triangular cantilever with a concentrated load applied at its end and composed with piezoelectric and non-piezoelectric material. Between the two output terminals of the block *piezofilm*, it is obtained the voltage generated by the bending beam. The variables of these two blocks have an appropriate value for simulating the step of a person walking. Then, a voltage doubler is employed as rectifier with Schottky diodes. Afterwards, a capacitor stores the energy delivered by the piezoelectric bending beam. The block *GenRegulator* is a linear regulator that when it is active charges the battery. The electronic device selected as electronic load is an RF transmitter.



Figure 6.4: Schematic of a battery-powered RF transmitter

The simulation is first done without a load connected in order to measure ρ_s , σ_1 and σ_2 . Therefore, the mean power delivered by the energy harvesting transducer to the energy storage device is measured with the voltage doubler and the linear regulator connected. In this way, it is not necessary any assumption about the efficiency of the electronics which depends on the voltage and current delivered by the transducer and the fixed input and output voltages for the operation of the linear regulator. This kind of simulation environment allows to make a complete simulation of an energy harvesting system. Thus, it is possible to predict for example, when the electronic load is a RF transmitter module, how often it is achievable to transmit data without discharging the battery, how changes in the piezoelectric element modify the electrical energy generated and calculate the appropriate parameters of the battery to employ. A second simulation with the load, a transmitter module, connected is done in order to prove the theoretical analysis done with the calculated parameters.

Parameter	Value
SOC_i	500 mV
W_0	30 mm
t_s	$1 \mathrm{mm}$
n	10
L	$30 \mathrm{~mm}$
vreg	3.2 V
v lim sup	10 V
vliminf	vreg+0.1 V
$R_{int-reg}$	$150~\mathrm{m}\Omega$
T	400 ms
t_r	$350 \mathrm{ms}$
t_f	$125 \mathrm{ms}$
i consreg	$10\mu A$
cintreg	$10\mu F$
cap	$10\mu F$
amplm	$6 \mathrm{mm}$
C_{BAT}	2mAh

Table 6.1: Parameters employed for the simulation.

The parameters employed for the simulation are summarized in Table 6.1 where SOC_i corresponds to the initial state of charge of the battery, W_0 is the width of the piezoelectric beam, t_s is the thickness of the non piezoelectric material that composes the beam, T is the period of the triangular mechanical excitation, t_r is the rise time for the mechanical excitation, t_f is the fall time of the mechanical excitation, n is the number of piezoelectric films connected in series, vreg is the minimum voltage needed by the linear regulator, vliminfis the minimum voltage at which the energy transducer gives electrical energy to the battery and the load, vlimsup is the maximum voltage at which the capacitor is charged, *iconsreg* is the consumption of the linear regulator, *cintreg* is the internal capacitor of the linear regulator and *cap* is the value of the capacitor connected after the voltage doubler and before the linear regulator. Employing the parameters shown in Table 6.1, it is obtained a value of ρ_s = 14.88 μ W. Therefore, the power that is delivered from the transducer to the battery is known.

The power consumption profile of the load is always a well known data. The wireless transmitter employed in the simulations has a power consumption in sleep mode, P_{sleep} , of 5.79 μ W and a power consumption in transmission mode, P_{Tx} , of 27 mW. The minimum transmission time, T_x , required is 300 μ s whereas the time between transmissions, τ , will be calculated taking into account the energy delivered by the transducer. If it is considered that the mean leakage power of the battery is zero, the power generated by the transducer and consumed by the load must be equal, see Equation 6.21, $\rho_l = \rho_s$, the following expression relates the mean power generated by the energy harvesting transducer with the parameters of the load.

$$\rho_l = \frac{1}{\tau} \left[P_{Tx} T x + P_{sleep} \left(\tau - T x \right) \right] \tag{6.52}$$

From Equation (6.52) it is deduced that time between transmissions, τ , is:

$$\tau = \frac{(27mW - 5.79\mu W) \, 0.3ms}{14.88\mu W - 5.79\mu W} = 891ms \tag{6.53}$$

The values of σ_3 and σ_4 are calculated in Equations 6.54 and 6.55.

$$\sigma_3 = (P_{Tx} - \rho_s) Tx = (27mW - 14.88\mu W) 0.3ms = 8.1\mu J$$
(6.54)

$$\sigma_4 = (\rho_s - P_{sleep}) (\tau - Tx) =$$

= (14.88\mu W - 5.79\mu W) (891ms - 0.3ms) = 8.1\mu J (6.55)

With the data extracted from the simulation done without load connected to the energy harvesting system, the values of σ_1 and σ_2 are calculated employing Equation 6.3 and Equation 6.2, respectively. The values obtained are $\sigma_1=127 \ \mu$ J and $\sigma_2=185.1 \ \mu$ J. Therefore, applying Equation 6.41 and Equation 6.42, the parameters of the battery are calculated. The values obtained are $B \geq 330.28 \ \mu$ J and $B_0 \geq 194.19 \ \mu$ J. Thus, C_{BAT} and SOC_i can be deduced employing Equations (6.56) and (6.57), respectively.

$$C_{BAT} = \frac{3600B}{V_{dload}} \tag{6.56}$$

$$SOC_i = \frac{3600B_0}{V_{dload}C_{BAT}} \tag{6.57}$$

However, the values chosen for the initial state of charge of the battery, SOC_i , and the capacity of the battery, C_{BAT} , were different, see Table 6.1,

since the values obtained were very small and the values to use just must be greater than these values. 2 mAh of capacity is a value for lithium coin batteries. Simulations with values of SOC_i and C_{BAT} close to the minimum ones are done later. The simulation results with the parameters of Table 6.1 and an RF transmitter connected as a load are shown in Figures 6.5 and 6.6. From these simulation results it is deduced that the time between transmission is the appropriated one since the state of charge of the battery almost remains constant as well as the voltage on the battery. The transmissions are done periodically every 891 ms from the first moment.



Figure 6.5: Simulation results with load for the parameters summarized in Table 6.1. The waveform called *SOC* shows the state of charge of the battery with a voltage range from 0 to 1 V. *IRPB4* is the current flowing into the battery. *vinreg* is the voltage at the input of the linear regulator and *vdload* is the voltage of the battery that is supplied to the RF transmitter.

Another simulation has been realized. The number of piezoelectric elements connected in parallel has been doubled from the previous simulation but the period of the mechanical excitations has been tripled. The results obtained from this simulation are summarized in Table 6.2. Figure 6.7 shows the results obtained with no load connected to the system. From this simulation, the values shown in Table 6.2 have been calculated. The change in the period of the mechanical excitation causes that less electrical energy is generated by the



Figure 6.6: Zoom view of the simulation results with load for the parameters summarized in Table 6.1.

transducer and therefore stored in the battery despite the fact of incrementing the number of piezoelectric films from 10 to 20. Figure 6.8 shows the results for the simulation with the Enocean transmitter connected and a time between transmissions of 1.45 s.

 Parameter	Value
0	11.30 µW
$p_s \ au$	$145 \mathrm{e}$
Г (Та	1.40 S
03	$8.1 \ \mu J$
04 T	12264μ
σ_1	$155.04 \ \mu J$ $178.96 \ \mu J$
D_2	238.1μ
D_{min}	328.1 μJ 196.26 μT
D_{0min}	100.00 μ J

Table 6.2: <u>Results obtained from the</u> simulation.

In the two previous simulations, the values obtained for the capacity and initial charge of the battery are small since the mechanical excitation of the energy harvesting transducer is done periodically. Next simulation shows a



Figure 6.7: Simulation results without charge for n=20, T=1.2 s and the rest of parameters summarized in Table 6.1. The waveform called *SOC* shows the state of charge of the battery with a voltage range from 0 to 1 V. *IRPB4* is the current flowing into the battery, *vinreg* is the voltage at the input of the linear regulator and *vdload* is the voltage of the battery that is supplied to the RF transmitter.

different scenario where the energy harvesting transducer is not excited periodically. Therefore, there is not mechanical excitation over the transducer between 0 and 100 s and also between 450 s and 500 s. Moreover, there are three different mechanical excitations connected through an adder to the piezoelectric transducer to create a non-periodic mechanical excitation as can be seen in the schematic simulated, Figure 6.9. Each one of these three different mechanical excitations has values of rise time, t_{r1} , t_{r2} , t_{r3} and fall time, t_{f1} , t_{f2} and t_{f3} . The value selected for the rise and fall time of the mechanical excitations is the same while the period of the three mechanical excitations is related since $T_2 = 2T_1$ and $T_3 = 3T_1$. The input and output of the block called piezofilm are shown in Figure 6.10. The first waveform corresponds to the voltage at the output of the piezoelectric elements connected in parallel and the second waveform is the mechanical excitation applied to the piezoelectric films.

The parameters employed during the simulation are shown in Table 6.3 and the simulation waveforms obtained without electrical load are displayed in Figure 6.11. The results obtained from the simulation are summarized in Table 6.4.



Figure 6.8: Simulation results with charge for n=20, T=1.2 s and the rest of parameters summarized in Table 6.1. The waveform called *SOC* shows the state of charge of the battery in per one, IRPB4 is the current flowing into the battery, *vinreg* is the voltage at the input of the linear regulator and *vdload* is the voltage of the battery that is supplied to the Enocean transmitter.



Figure 6.9: Schematic of a battery-powered RF transmitter simulated with the parameters of Table 6.3.

Parameter	value
SOC_i	500 mV
W_0	$30 \mathrm{mm}$
t_s	$1 \mathrm{mm}$
n	10
L	$30 \mathrm{mm}$
vreg	3.2 V
vlimsup	10 V
vliminf	vreg+0.1 V
$R_{int-reg}$	5Ω
T_1	400 ms
T_2	$2T_1$
T_3	$3T_1$
t_{r1}	$350 \mathrm{\ ms}$
t_{r2}	$350 \mathrm{\ ms}$
t_{r3}	$350 \mathrm{\ ms}$
t_{f1}	125 ms
t_{f2}	$125 \mathrm{\ ms}$
t_{f3}	$125 \mathrm{\ ms}$
i consreg	$10\mu A$
cintreg	$10\mu F$
cap	$10\mu F$
$amplm_1$	$6 \mathrm{mm}$
$amplm_2$	$1 \mathrm{mm}$
$amplm_3$	$1 \mathrm{mm}$
C_{BAT}	300 nAh
	$\begin{array}{c} SOC_i\\ W_0\\ t_s\\ n\\ L\\ vreg\\ vlimsup\\ vlimsup\\ vliminf\\ R_{int-reg}\\ T_1\\ T_2\\ T_3\\ t_{r1}\\ t_{r2}\\ t_{r3}\\ t_{f1}\\ t_{f2}\\ t_{f3}\\ iconsreg\\ cintreg\\ cap\\ amplm_1\\ amplm_2\\ amplm_3\\ C_{BAT} \end{array}$

Table 6.3: Parameters employed for the simulation.

The mean power generated is in the same order of magnitude than in the two previous simulations as well as σ_3 , σ_4 and the period between transmissions. Nevertheless, the values for B_{0min} and B_{min} have increased one order of magnitude since there is no mechanical excitation during all the simulating time. Thus, the simulation with the RF transmitter connected would allow to probe the validity of Equation 6.51 and Equation 6.50. The RF transmitter block described in Verilog-A employs a resistor of 132 Ω and a resistor of 633 k Ω to simulate the power consumed when the transmitter is sending data and in sleeping mode, respectively. Therefore, the power consumed by this load depends on the voltage supplied by the battery and this voltage also depends on the state of charge of the battery. Thus, it has been supposed a battery voltage of 2.05 V for calculating the period between transmissions.

The values for the minimum capacity of the battery and its minimum initial state of charge are calculated from the values obtained for B_{min} and B_{0min} in Table 6.4 and the values obtained are $C_{BATmin}=203$ nAh and $SOC_imin=0.9$. Figure 6.12 shows the simulation results employing those values. The results



Figure 6.10: Total mechanical excitation combined from three different mechanical excitations.

obtained show that the RF transceiver stops to transmit at 100 s since the voltage on the battery is lower than 1.9 V that is the minimum supply voltage of the transceiver. At this moment the state of charge of the battery is 6.3%, the minimum obtained during the simulation. Afterwards the battery is charged a 101% from this minimum state of charge to its maximum state of charge (107.84%) and then decreases again due to the fact that there is no mechanical excitation from 450 s until the end of the simulation. However, the state of charge at the end of the simulation is not the same as at the beginning, what is considered energy neutral operation, due to the fact that the mean voltage of the battery during the simulation is greater than that employed for calculating the time between transmissions.

Figure 6.12 shows the simulation results obtained with $C_{BAT}=1 \ \mu$ Ah and $SOC_i=0.3$. The capacity chosen for the battery is bigger than the 203 nAh obtained as a minimum value and its initial state of charge is also bigger than the minimum initial charge of 182nAh calculated. In this case, the RF transmitter is supplied during all the simulation and the minimum state of charge of the battery 12.7%. The maximum state of charge obtained is of 35.4% at t=450 s and decreases to 0.29% at t=500 s since there is no mechanical excitation in this time interval. In this case the initial state of charge and the final state of charge are almost equal since the mean value of the battery voltage during the



Figure 6.11: Simulation results without load for the parameters summarized in Table 6.3. The waveform called SOC shows the state of charge of the battery in per one. IRPB4 is the current flowing into the battery. *vinreg* is the voltage at the input of the linear regulator and *vdload* is the voltage of the battery that is supplied to the RF transmitter.

simulation is practically the same that the employed for the calculation of the time between RF transmissions. The voltage on the battery is quite constant since the state of charge of the battery is also almost constant since the capacity of the battery in this simulation is five times the minimum capacity calculated. Then, the system works in energy neutral operation.

Parameter	Value
ρ_s	$10.96 \ \mu W$
au	$2.02 \mathrm{~s}$
σ_3	$8.1 \ \mu J$
σ_4	$8.1 \ \mu J$
σ_1	138.06 μJ
σ_2	$1.3 \mathrm{~mJ}$
B_{min}	$1.46 \mathrm{~mJ}$
B_{0min}	$1.31 \mathrm{~mJ}$

 Table 6.4: Results obtained from the simulation.

 Parameter
 Value



Figure 6.12: Simulation results with the Enocean transmitter as a load for the parameters summarized in Table 6.3. The waveform called SOC shows the state of charge of the battery in per one, IRPB4 is the current flowing into the battery, *vinreg* is the voltage at the input of the linear regulator and *vdload* is the voltage of the battery that is supplied to the RF transmitter.



Figure 6.13: Simulation results with the Enocean transmitter as a load for the parameters summarized in Table 6.3. The waveform called SOC shows the state of charge of the battery in per one, IRPB4 is the current flowing into the battery, vinreg is the voltage at the input of the linear regulator and vdload is the voltage of the battery that is supplied to the Enocean transmitter.

Chapter 7

Conclusions

This chapter gives the general conclusions of the thesis whereas each paper included in Part II has its specific conclusions for the addressed problem. There are several general remarks to do for the design of an energy harvesting power supply from human power.

It is important to distinguish between energy harvesting from human body and from the environment. Both cases can use the same transducers and input energies. Nevertheless, the design of the transducer, the power management unit or the electronic load to power is different since the energy input levels in both cases as well as the amplitude and frequency of the signals of mechanical vibrations are not comparable. Normally, the energy levels associated to human body are lower than the energy levels associated to environmental sources which gives as a result that sophisticated techniques must be used to increase the efficiency of the transducer, to control the power management unit and to power the load.

An energy harvesting power supply has to be considered as a complete system where each element must be adapted to accomplish the requirements of the load. A system-level simulation is of special interest because it allows to simulate the mechanical and electrical elements together. This is a great advantage in order to increase the efficiency of both elements in the same simulation environment. Moreover, it is useful to decide the energy profile of the load (continuous or discontinuous operation) and the use of an energy storage element. Furthermore, with a system-level simulation, a trade off between the requirements of the load and the dimensions of the transducer can be easily made.

An application example for energy harvesting systems is to sense and transmit data. Therefore, a communication module with a microprocessor and a transceiver can be considered as a standard load for an energy harvesting system. Taking into account today's energy consumption requirements of low power transmission modules and the amount of energy that is harvested from human body, the most usual scenario is the discontinuous operation of the load. The inclusion of an energy storage element like a lithium ion battery, a capacitor or a supercapacitor must be evaluated taking into account different scenarios and parameters. It must be considered if the load must operate continuously, the different power consumption modes of the load, the minimum operation time that needs each power consumption mode, the maximum time between transmissions, the amount of energy that can be stored in the energy storage element and the size, weight and volume that adds this element to the complete system.

A system-level simulation is ideal to make this kind of decisions since the modeling of the system elements can be done as in [13] with the physical equations of the transducer in order to include the mechanical part of the system and electrical or behavioral models for the rest of the components. In this way, it is simple for example to evaluate different transmission modules only taking into account their voltage supply and power consumption.

Once a general conclusion from the system point of view is made, specific conclusions for each element that is present in an energy harvesting power supply are given. The type of input energy and transducer form a tandem since the election of one imposes the other. The input energy must be measured or modeled in order to include it as the input variable of the system. In the case of TEGs the input energy can be modeled without the help of a previous measurement, only taking into account that the skin temperature is not constant over the body. However, for kinetic energies it is necessary to measure them in order to incorporate this data to a simulation environment or to model them. These measurements allow to include real inputs to the system and therefore to obtain realistic results and predictions. It is important that measurements are done in different parts of the human body doing different physical activities to locate which positions and activities produce more energy. However, some applications force the location of the energy transducer to a specific part of the human body close to the wearable device to supply.

There are several ways to model a transducer. One way consists in the use of the physical equations of the transducer like in [13] (Chapter 13) where the physical equations of a piezoelectric transducer were included directly in the model. A second method is to employ a circuit model that includes the conversion of the available energy into electrical energy as was shown in Chapter 3 where an electrical circuit that contains mechanical and electrical parts is given as well as in Chapter 5 where thermal and electrical parts are combined. The third proposed model presented contains only the electrical part of the complete model like in [20] (Chapter 10). The two first methods allow to improve the coupling between the energy source and the transducer modifying the physical parameters of the transducer. However, the third method only takes into account the electrical response of the transducer without the possibility of rearranging its physical design. Therefore, the two first approaches are indicated when the the coupling between body and transducer wants to be explored and improved whereas the last one is a simplified model that can be employed as the electrical voltage supply for the power management unit.

For all the cases, it is necessary to take into account the location of the energy harvesting transducer that usually depends strongly on the application. Measurements done with the energy harvesting transducer in the selected location are of special importance to adjust and validate the models for the transducers since each location even with the same transducer generates different voltage and current waveforms. For the third way under consideration to model an energy harvesting transducer, the measurements are the only possibility to adjust the electrical source of the model.

7.1 Smart Clothes and Energy Harvesting

Nowadays, there is not yet available a real integration of wearable devices in clothes and it is only possible to attach external portable devices to clothes. Clothes and shoes are in direct contact with body skin. Thus, it is desirable that textiles and clothes are involved in different ways in the human body energy harvesting system:

- Developing comfortable connection technologies to integrate the transducers into fabrics. Furthermore, also the fabrics can be developed to act as an energy human transducers themselves (energy-harvesting fabrics).
- Developing conductive properties to create electronic circuits that connect the different components of the energy harvesting system (the energy harvesting transducers, the power management unit and the electric load).

This gives as a result a step further in the textile sector: e-textiles and smartclohtes. The improvement in these technologies and their merge with energy harvesting supplies employing human energy would be an improvement factor in this field based in the fact that the integration of the transducer in smartclothes or e-textiles would increase the surface of the transducer and its mechanical coupling with human body and therefore, more electrical energy would be generated. The mechanical coupling between the transducer and the human body depends on the location of the transducer and the activity that is done. A specific design taking this into account can increase very much the efficiency of the transducer as has been demonstrated in [19,21] (Chapter 3 and Chapter 4).

7.2 Power Management Unit

The transducer is the element that converts the available human body energy into electrical energy. The combination of transducer and human body location gives as a result different output voltage and current levels generated by the transducer. These levels condition the power management unit design. Low output voltage levels obtained by TEGs and inductive transducers are no longer an issue for the design of power management units with the new technologies in the field of CMOS transistors that have low gate threshold voltages like ALD110800 with a gate threshold voltage of 0 V, ALD110802 [125] with a gate threshold voltage of 0.2 V or BSH105 [126] with a higher threshold voltage (0.57 V) but a lower drain source resistor than the other ones when a discrete design is required. Another already known solution can be the employment of N-channel FETs which are self-conducting with a zero gate voltage [119]. The next step is the integration of the power conditioning circuits on the same chip to be powered. Several CMOS and BiCMOS technologies would be suitable for this purpose. More advances in the field of power management circuits would improve a lot the possibilities and simplify the designs of energy harvesting power supplies employing human body as input energy source.

There are two important factors to take into account in the design of the power management unit. The first one is related with the design of a starter circuit for the main power converter. A starter circuit initially stores enough voltage and/or current level to start switching the transistors of the main power management circuit [119]. A starter circuit must be included when it can not be assured that there will be enough energy for starting the operation of the main DC-DC converter circuit. The second one is related with the efficiency of the power management circuit itself is developing techniques that ensure that the energy extracted from the transducer is the maximum available. For the capacitor for defined load conditions. For other transducers like the TEGs, the maximum power point tracking method can be applied, if the design of a low power consumption control loop can be achieved [127, 128].

Energy harvesting power supplies are able to supply power to present-day low power electronic devices as was demonstrated for example with the application presented in [22, 118]. Obviously, the load must be a low power consumption device and it is preferable to operate in a discontinuous way without a battery. This conclusion is based on the simulation results obtained in [13] (Chapter 13). This method is also employed to supply the RF communication module connected to the TEG in [22, 118] (Chapter 12). However, the evolution in low power transmission modules can change this conclusion depending mostly on the evolution of the power consumption in stand-by mode and the configuration time in transmission operation.

For the case of an energy harvesting power supply from human body it is not required to make use of a conventional battery charger (that includes a current-limit, a voltage-limit regulation loop and a time-limited charge termination circuit) and thus energy and area can be saved. A current-limit regulation loop is usually required to avoid overcharging during the fast charging stage of NiMH and lithium batteries [94] that is not required in our case due to the the intrinsic current limiting characteristics of the TEG, piezoelectric films and inductive generators. Additionally, the voltage-limit regulation loop is undertaken by the power management unit that has to adapt the output voltage level of the transducer to the requirements of the load. Time-limited charge termination circuitry is also avoided by selecting a regulated voltage slightly below the maximum topping voltage specified by battery manufacturers. Hence, for this case, a battery charger is replaced by a diode or a transistor connected between the output of the regulator and the battery to avoid the supply of the converter with the battery. This approach is more advisable for lithium-polymer than for Ni-MH accumulators, since the latter suffer from memory effect degradation when the charging procedure is not completed [118]. This topology can be enhanced in terms of reliability by placing a Zener diode that limits the charging voltage in case of failure.

7.3 Energy and Power requirements of Applications

It is better to do the quantification of energy harvesting power supplies in terms of energy than power since it is a more meaningful magnitude when dealing with the discontinuous events of the load and the source of the system. The design of the electronic load to power with the energy harvesting power supply is not an objective of the thesis. Nevertheless, considering a communication module as the load, some conclusions and suggestions can be given. An important factor related with the energy consumption of the load is the communication protocol employed by its transceiver.

The particular needs of the application must be evaluated before assuming the employment of a de-facto standard communication protocol like for example ZigBee. The communication protocols can be compared in terms of radio efficiency (defined as the ratio between the payload and the gross data rate) and in terms of Time on Air (defined as the duration of the data transmission) [118]. In [118] a comparison between the Nordic proprietary solution and ZigBee was made in these terms and it was concluded that the Nordic protocol was the best one for the particular needs exposed (less than 200 bits of payload).

It is also interesting to notice that the energy consumption of the microprocessor (that form part of the communication module) can be reduced applying changes in its code. It was demonstrated in [129] that a code optimization of the operating system employed by wireless sensor networks reduce their energy consumption.

Part II Included Papers

Chapter 8

Paper 1: Review of Energy Harvesting Techniques for Microelectronics

Loreto Mateu and Francesc Moll

Proceedings of SPIE Microtechnologies for the New Millenium, pp. 359–373, Sevilla, 2005.

Review of Energy Harvesting Techniques and Applications for Microelectronics

Loreto Mateu and Francesc Moll

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya Dept. of Electronic Engineering Barcelona, Spain

ABSTRACT

The trends in technology allow the decrease in both size and power consumption of complex digital systems. This decrease in size and power gives rise to new paradigms of computing and use of electronics, with many small devices working collaboratively or at least with strong communication capabilities. Examples of these new paradigms are wearable devices and wireless sensor networks. Currently, these devices are powered by batteries. However, batteries present several disadvantages: the need to either replace or recharge them periodically and their big size and weight compared to high technology electronics. One possibility to overcome these power limitations is to extract (harvest) energy from the environment to either recharge a battery, or even to directly power the electronic device. This paper presents several methods to design an energy harvesting device depending on the type of energy available.

Keywords: Energy harvesting, wearable devices, wireless sensor networks

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important trends in the electronic equipment technology from its origins has been the reduction in size and the increase in functionality. Nowadays small, handheld, though very powerful devices are commercially available that allow the user to play music, to wirelessly communicate or to compute practically everywhere or, in other words, ubiquitously. In the next years there will be new products available providing vision and other extended functions to the wearer. The size of such devices is becoming so small that instead of portable devices they are becoming wearable devices that can be integrated in everyday use objects like watches, glasses, clothes, etc.¹

All those units, based on today's microelectronic technology, need an external power supply. The size of the electronic circuit and the energy needed to perform a single (binary) operation² has been drastically reduced during the last decades, following Moore's Law. Therefore, advances in low power design open the possibility to harvest energy from the environment to power electronic circuits.

This work presents current approaches of using energy extracted from the environment to power microelectronic devices. The electrical energy to power the electronics is generated from either kinetic, electromagnetic or thermal energy. The obtained energy can then be used to recharge a secondary battery or, in some cases, to power directly the electronics. The output voltage and current of the generators is transient and discontinuous in nature, and must be converted to a DC signal. Therefore it is necessary to design a converter and/or storage circuit that needs to take into account the output signal of the generator and its impedance.

The structure of the paper is as follows: First, in section 2, the technology trends for both battery (energy generation) and electronic devices (energy consumption) are briefly sketched. Then, energy harvesting devices are classified in section 3 according to source and type of energy. Sections 4 through 6 review the principles behind different types of energy conversion. In section 7 it is discussed the power conditioning needed by electronic devices relying on energy harvesting, depending on their consumption and energy generation possibilities. Finally, in section 8, the conclusions of the work are presented.

Further author information: (Send correspondence to F. Moll)

F. Moll: E-mail: moll@eel.upc.edu

L. Mateu: E-mail: mloreto@eel.upc.edu

VLSI Circuits and Systems II, edited by José Fco. López, Francisco V. Fernández, José María López-Villegas, José M. de la Rosa, Proceedings of SPIE Vol. 5837 (SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2005) 0277-786X/05/\$15 · doi: 10.1117/12.613046 130

rubio 1. Characteribites of Batteries:				
Battery type	Vol. Energy density	Grav. Energy density	Self-discharge	Cycle Life
	$\rm Wh/dm^3$	$\rm Wh/kg$	% per year	no.
Alkaline	300	125	4%	1
Ni-Cd	100	30-35	15 - 20%	300
Ni-MH	175	50	20%	300
Li-ion	200	90	5 - 10%	500

Table 1. Characteristics of batteries

2. TECHNOLOGY TRENDS

2.1. Battery evolution

In the great majority of today's wearable or portable devices, the energy necessary for their operation is provided by batteries. Batteries are a significant fraction of the total size and weight of the system. As technology scales down, this fraction is expected to further increase. Also very important is the requirement for proper maintenance of batteries, with the need to either replace or recharge them. This is a serious limitation to computing paradigms like ubiquitous computing or sensor networks, in which there are dozens or hundreds of small systems with batteries to maintain.

Of course, these inconvenients do not hide the advantages of batteries as a reservoir energy source. For example, we can characterize the batteries by their energy density, with respect to volume and weight, called volumetric and gravimetric energy density respectively. Table 1 shows some typical values of energy densities and self-discharge values for commercial batteries. It is seen how the most modern batteries (Li-ion) present better characteristics of energy density, self-discharge current and number of cycles.

It is worth noting that these values of energy density are the best option available today. Recent advances in capacitor technology have led to the development of the so-called ultracapacitors, with a capacitance value of the order of kF. Such impressively large capacitors, however, present an energy density around 3 Wh/kg, very far from average battery values.³ The main advantages of ultracapacitors is the supplied peak power, and the number of cycles. These characteristics make them more oriented to automotive applications than to low power electronic devices, where batteries are still the choice for energy storage.

Still, battery technology has evolved very slowly compared to electronic technology.⁴ For example, while disk storage density has increased over 1,200 times since 1990, battery's energy density has increased only about 3 times. New approaches are on the way for very small size portable batteries that may enable the size and weight reduction of wearable systems and sensor networks. They are based on new technologies as the thin-film Lithium-ion or Lithium polymer cells and some of them are still under development.⁵ One of the most promising alternatives is the fuel cell, power generators that use chemical fuels (i.e. Hydrogen or Methanol). The gravimetric energy density of fuel cells is expected to be three to five times larger than Li-ion cells and more than ten times better than Ni-Cd or Ni-MH batteries whereas the volumetric energy density is six to seven times larger than Li-ion.⁶ However, the maintenance problem is not solved; these cells need to be refueled or alternatively they have to be manufactured carrying enough fuel to sustain the battery operation during all its expected lifetime.

Secondary batteries are in principle a better choice for ubiquitous or wearable systems because they can be recharged in several ways, in many cases without extracting the battery from the system. Actually, one of the possibilities to recharge such batteries is to use energy harvested from the environment.⁷ In this sense, energy harvesting is not trying to replace batteries, but instead alleviating some of their drawbacks, especially in relation with the maintenance issue.

2.2. Power consumption of microelectronic devices

Following Moore's law, integrated circuit technology evolves following a transistor size shrinking trend. Together with this trend and because of reliability reasons the supply voltage (V_{DD}) is also reduced. The net result is a reduction in energy consumption due to the reduction in the size of parasitic components. For a scale reduction

Table 2. Classes of energy narvesting devices.		
Energy Source	Type of Energy	
Human	Kinetic, Thermal	
Environment	Kinetic, Thermal, Radiation	

 Table 2. Classes of energy harvesting devices.

with a factor α ($\alpha > 1$), the energy consumed by a given shrunk circuit performing a given task is reduced by $(1/\alpha)^3$, as discussed elsewhere.⁸

It is also important to consider the use model of the electronic device. For example, the power consumption of a wearable can be scaled following two different scenarios:

- 1. Maximum performance use: the improvement in technology allows to reduce the time per service, but it is used to give a higher number of services. In this case the total power consumption for the processing functions is scaled as $(1/\alpha)^2$.
- 2. Constant number of services: the improvement in technology reduces the time per service and the power consumption but the user does not increase the number of required services. The power consumption for the processing functions is scaled as $(1/\alpha)^3$.

Current portable electronic devices have different low power or sleep modes to save energy during times of inactivity. The management of these modes is very important in relation with an energy harvesting strategy, allowing to "refill" the energy reservoir of the system during these periods of low activity. This means that generally, a discontinuous operation use model is mandatory for the energy harvesting approach.

3. ENERGY HARVESTING DEVICES

Before starting to discuss the different methods of energy harvesting, let us look at the definition of an energy harvesting device as understood in this paper.

An energy harvesting device generates electric energy from its surroundings using some method of what is called in the literature Direct Energy Conversion techniques.⁹ Therefore, the energy harvesting devices here considered do not consume any fuel or substance, so that the maintenance problem mentioned above does not apply. On the other hand, as the environment energy levels are very low (at least for today's electronic devices requirements), the use models mentioned in the previous section are very important.

3.1. Classification of Energy Harvesting devices

We may classify the different energy harvesting devices in two ways: considering who or what provides the energy for conversion, and what type of energy is converted. Table 2 relates the two classification schemes.

In the first classification scheme we can distinguish between two kinds of devices. First, devices that use part of the energy of the user of the electronic appliance. It will usually be a human, but it could be also an animal, for example for a remote monitoring device. We call this first kind of devices Human Energy* devices.¹⁰ The second kind of energy harvesting device gets its energy from the environment, and thus we call them Environment Energy devices. This classification takes into account that, following the first principle of thermodynamics, a greater amount of energy must be spent to obtain a certain amount of electrical energy. In the case of Human Power it is the user that in some way or other provides this energy and, though the energy levels are very small, the effect may prove noticeable when several devices depend on the activity of a single user. In order to calculate the energy involved in a human step,¹¹ obtaining around 40 J. In comparison, the energy of a short RF transmission can be evaluated in the order of 100 μ W. This means that the extra energy demanded to obtain

^{*}In most of the literature the term Power is used instead of Energy. We prefer the term Human Energy instead of Human Power because energy is a more meaningful magnitude when dealing with discontinuous events, as discussed in subsection 7.1.

enough energy is very small for some applications, and therefore it makes sense to consider human beings as a possible energy source.

The second classification scheme may consider three types of energy: kinetic, electromagnetic radiation (including light and RF), and thermal.

For Human Energy devices only kinetic and thermal energy are available. In the case of kinetic Human energy, one may distinguish between those actions made specifically to generate energy and casual movements made during normal behavior. These two cases are called by the Human Power research group of the Delft University of Technology¹² Active and Passive Human Energy respectively. Following this definition, thermal Human Energy is always passive.

Environment Energy sources include kinetic energy in the form of vibrations, radiation as solar energy or RF radiation, and thermal energy. The energy harvesting devices may pick up vibrations when located on machines, building elements or other places near vibrating sources. Radiation may come from natural or artificial sources. Thermal energy depends on the existence of a temperature gradient. While the transducing methods may be similar to the Human Energy devices, the excitation magnitudes, frequency spectra and periodicity are very different, and therefore each case must be studied separately. This will also have consequences in the electrical power conditioning circuit.

3.2. Some working examples

There are several examples, both commercial and in the research stage that apply energy harvesting to power electronic products.

3.2.1. Human Active Energy

This is an old concept that has revived recently, basically improving the ratio of time of use with respect to time of charge.

Devices in the market using human energy as the only energy source include radio receivers, electric torches and phone battery chargers.^{13–15} These devices use kinetic energy provided by winding a hand crank, or shaking the device, and they offer a good ratio between charging time and use time.¹⁶

3.2.2. Human Passive Energy

While Human active energy is interesting as an industrial concept, it is Human Passive Energy that presents a real challenge and is most attractive because it eliminates the power maintenance problem in portable and wearable devices.

Among commercial products, the first devices were wristwatches, because they have a very low power consumption. Both kinetic and thermal energy powered watches have been commercialized, although currently, only the kinetic is being manufactured by several companies. For kinetic energy, the power output is 5 μ W in normal conditions, and up to 1 mW when the watch is forcibly shaken. For thermal conversion, around 1.5 μ W or more is generated when the temperature difference is 1–3°C.¹⁷

Miniature thermoelectric generators are also developed¹⁸ that converts body heat flow into electricity. It is claimed that it can generate 40 μ W at 3 V with a 5 degree difference in temperature. Potential applications include attachable medical devices, electronic wrist watches, self powered heat sensors, and mobile electronics.

Another application already commecialized for energy harvesting is in the remote control area. The mechanical force employed to push down the switch is used to bend a cantilever piezoelectric ceramic.¹⁹ This kind of devices is considered as Human Passive energy harvesting because the same movement of pushing the switch is used to generate the energy for a wireless communication.

3.2.3. Environment Energy

Several approaches have been made during the last few years in order to harvest energy from the environment to power wireless sensor networks. Batteries are not a recommended power source for wireless sensors since the power source would limit the lifetime of the sensor. The energy needed by a wireless sensor is in the order of hundreds of micro watts. The main power sources studied for wireless sensor networks are solar power (outdoors or indoors) and mechanical vibration. Roundy et al.²⁰ analyzed and fabricated a bimorph piezoelectric (PZT) generator with a steel center shim. The cantilever structure has an attached mass. The volume of the total structure is 1 cm³. A model of the developed piezoelectric generator was made and validated. For an input vibration of 2.25 m/s² at about 120 Hz, power from 125 μ W to 975 μ W were generating depending of the load. The power recovered was analyzed connecting the generator directly to a resistive load or to a capacitive load. Later, a DC-DC converter was included and the generator supplied power to a low power transceiver. The radio transmits at 1.9 GHz and consumes 10 mA at 1.2 V and the vibration source was 2.25 m/s² at 60 Hz.

4. KINETIC ENERGY

Kinetic energy is one of the most readily available energy source, both for Human and for Environment energy harvesting devices. This section briefly explains the principles of the different transducers for obtaining electrical energy from kinetic energy.

4.1. Types of kinetic energy transducers

The principle behind kinetic energy harvesting is the displacement of a moving part or the mechanical deformation of some structure inside the energy harvesting device. This displacement or deformation can be converted to electrical energy by three methods, that are explained in subsequent subsections: by a piezoelectric material (subsection 4.2), by electrostatic energy (subsection 4.3) and by magnetic induction (subsection 4.4).

With respect to mechanical structures, there are two types of possible converters. One responds to the kinetic energy with a vibration or displacement of a proof mass. The energy obtained will depend on this mass, and therefore we will call this first class Inertial converters. Mitcheson et al.²¹ have classified inertial converters in function of the force opposing the displacement of the proof mass as Voltage Damped Resonant Generators (VDRG), appropriate to describe magnetic induction transducers, Coulomb Damped Resonant Generators (CDRG), which describe vibrating electrostatic transducers, and Coulomb Force Parametric Generators (CFPG) that correspond to displacement electrostatic generator type. There are also inertial converters based on piezoelectric, in which an accelerated mass causes a deformation of a piezoelectric material, either by impact or vibration.

Many inertial converters are based on a spring-mass system that resonates at a particular frequency. When the mechanical stimulus vibrates at that resonance frequency, the enery obtained is maximum. However, as the converters are miniaturized to integrate them on microelectronic devices, the resonance frequency increases, and it becomes much higher than characteristic frequencies of many everyday mechanical stimuli. For example, typical acceleration frequencies of the human body in movement are below 20 Hz.²² As was recognized by Mitcheson et al.²¹ for such cases, either a CFPG type of converter, or a non inertial converter is best suited.

In the second case (Non-Inertial converters), an external element applies pressure that is transformed as elastic energy, causing a deformation that is converted to electrical energy by a piezoelectric material. In this case, the obtained energy does not depend on the mass of the converter, but generally, on the rate of deformation, thus given by mechanical constraints like Young's modulus or geometric dimensions.²³

4.2. Piezoelectric generator

The piezoelectric effect was discovered by Jacques and Pierre Curie in 1880. Curie's brothers found that certain materials, when subjected to mechanical strain, suffered an electrical polarization that was proportional to the applied strain. This is the piezoelectric effect used for mechanical to electrical energy conversion.

The phenomenon of piezoelectricity is described by the following equations:

$$\{S_i\} = \{\frac{1}{Y_{c,ij}}\}\{T_j\} + \{d_{ik}\}\{E_k\}$$

$$\{D_l\} = \{\epsilon_{lm}\}\{E_m\} + \{d_{ln}\}\{T_n\}$$
 for $j, n = 1, \dots, 6$ and $i, k, l, m = 1, 2, 3$ (1)

Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837 363



Figure 1. Piezoelectric coupling circuits, relating mechanical and electrical magnitudes.

In these equations, subscripts correspond to the 6 directions of the axes, three cartesian directions plus the shear around the three axes, as shown in Table 3. Repeated subscripts in the products imply a summation over the different components: T, applied mechanical stress $[N/m^2]$. E, applied electric field [N/C]. d, piezo strain tensor $[(C/m^2)/(N/m^2)]$. ϵ , permittivity tensor [F/m]. D, electric displacement $[C/m^2]$. S, mechanical strain [m/m]. Y_c , Young's modulus tensor $[N/m^2]$.

A piezoelectric material mechanically stressed at a low frequency can be modelled electrically by a timedependent charge source, that is accumulated in a capacitor.

If the piezoelectic constitutive equations are transformed to the Laplace domain, the following equations are obtained:

$$\dot{S} = j\omega \frac{T}{\nabla} + j\omega dE \quad \dot{D} = j\omega \epsilon^T E + j\omega dT \tag{2}$$

This relationship is represented by the piezoelectric coupling circuit of Figure 1(a). The transformer relates mechanical magnitudes (stress) and electrical magnitudes (electric field).

$$C_{p}^{'} = \epsilon^{T} \left(1 - \frac{d^{2}Y_{c}}{\epsilon^{T}} \right) = \epsilon^{T} (1 - k^{2})$$

$$\tag{3}$$

Another possibility for the representation of the piezoelectric coupling circuit is shown in Figure 1(b), where capacitors C'_m and C_p are given by:

$$C'_m = \frac{1}{Y_c} \left(1 - \frac{d^2 Y_c}{\epsilon} \right) = \frac{1}{Y_c} (1 - k^2) \quad ; \quad C_p = \frac{\epsilon^T A}{t} \tag{4}$$

The two most common types of piezoelectric materials are PVDF, polyvinylidene fluoride, and PZT, lead zirconate titanate. There are three different ways to excite a piezoelectric material in order to generate electrical energy: by compression, slap and bending.

For the analysis of the piezoelectric response, it is assumed that electrical terminals are located along direction 3, parallel to the poling axis (the direction of the polar molecules that form the piezoelectric effect). The two most common ways to employ piezoelectric materials are modes 31 and 33. In mode 31, the stress is applied in

364 Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837

Table 4. Voltage V_3 and charge q_3 obtained applying a mechanical stress in direction 1, mode 31, and in direction 3, mode 33. Constants g_{ij} are defined as d_{ij}/ϵ .

	Mode 31	Mode 33
V_3	$g_{31}\frac{F_1}{W}$	$g_{33} \frac{F_3}{WL} H$
q_3	$d_{31} \frac{F_1 L}{H}$	$d_{33}F_{3}$

direction 1 whereas the electric field (voltage mode) or the electric displacement (charge mode) are in direction 3. In mode 33, the stress is applied in direction 3.

Table 3 gives the obtained voltage or charge resulting from an applied force in a certain direction, F_1 or F_3 for each mode.

If the same force is applied in direction 3 and in direction 1 over a piezoelectric material with similar dimensions in length L, width W and thickness H, mode 33 excitation can generate more charge and voltage than mode 31 because d_{33} is usually larger than d_{31} . However, the geometrical dimensions of the material play a very significant role. For example, in a thin PVDF film, the ratio L/H is on the order of 1000, while $d_{31} = 23 \cdot 10^{-12} m/V$ and $d_{33} = -33 \cdot 10^{-12} m/V$.²⁴ If it is considered again that F_1 is equal to F_3 , the obtained value for V_3 and q_3 for the mode 31 will be on the order of 700 times greater than for the mode 33. Therefore, the mechanical structure must take into account both the geometry and the mechanical coupling in order to apply the deformation in the optimum way.

Piezoelectric thin films are used for several systems due to their adaptability. In this case, the most advantageous way of excitation is by bending piezoelectric materials configured in cantilever-like structures. There are different kinds of support, and different vertical structures of the material that is going to be bent. The combination of these structures generates several options.²³

When several piezoelectric elements are present in the structure, they can be connected either in parallel or in series. In a parallel connection, the charge generated by the piezoelectrics is added whereas in a series connection, the charge generated corresponds to the strain of one of the piezoelectric elements connected, and the voltage of the piezoelectric elements is added. In order to adequately connect the piezoelectric elements in series or parallel, the orientation of the poling axis has to be taken into account.

In summary, piezoelectric converters have most of the advantages of inductive and electrostatic generators, and they are also very robust. On the other hand, piezoelectric converters are difficult to implement on micromachined processes, and therefore to miniaturize.²⁰

4.3. Electrostatic energy generator

The principle of electrostatic generators is that the moving part of the transducer moves against an electrical field, thus generating energy.

Meninger et al.²⁵ of MIT presented an electrostatic generator that employs a variable micromachined capacitor. Two different designs were studied: a parallel capacitor operated with a constant charge and a comb capacitor operated with a constant voltage. These generators are also called Coulomb-damped resonant generators (CDRGs) because they are based on electrostatic damping. If the charge on the capacitor is maintained constant while the capacitance decreases (e.g. reducing the overlap area of the plates or increasing the distance between them), the voltage will increase. If the voltage on the capacitor is maintained constant while the capacitance decreases, the charge will decrease.

Figure 2 illustrates the process of charging and discharging the capacitance following constant charge (path A-B-D-A) or constant voltage (path A-C-D-A) approaches. The energy enclosed by the total path is the energy extracted in the process.

The charge constrained conversion cycle starts when the micromachined capacitance (given by the slope of the Q-V curve) is maximum. At this moment, a voltage source charges the MEMS capacitor to an initial voltage, V_{start} , that has a smaller value than V_{max} , and therefore the cycle conversion goes from point A to point B. The path B-D corresponds to the plates moving from maximum capacitance, C_{max} , to minimum capacitance, C_{min}

Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837 365



Figure 2. Diagram explaining electrostatic energy conversion. Adapted from Meninger et al.²⁵

with constant charge, Q_0 . As the capacitor decreases and charge is maintained constant, the voltage increases its value. The charge is returned to the reservoir in path D-A. The net energy out is equal to the area A-B-D.

The voltage constrained conversion cycle starts when the micromachined capacitance is maximum. At this moment, a voltage source charges the MEMS capacitor to an initial voltage, V_{max} , and therefore the cycle moves from point A to point C. The path C-D corresponds to the plates moving from maximum capacitance, C_{max} , to minimum capacitance, C_{min} . Path D-A shows the discharge of the capacitor. The mechanical vibration that takes place in path C-D is converted to electrical energy with a constant voltage. The net energy gained corresponds to the area A-C-D.

The energy gained in the conversion process is pumped from the MEMS capacitor along path DA for both charge and voltage constrained cycle. As shown graphically, the mechanical energy converted into electrical energy is greater if the voltage across the capacitor is constrained than if the charge across the capacitor is constrained. However, the initial voltage source needed has a smaller value for the constant charge case. A way to increase the electrical energy for the charge constrained method is to add a capacitor in parallel, C_{par} with the MEMS capacitor, C_{MEMS} . The disadvantage of this solution is that the initial voltage source has to increase its value.

As explained, the proper operation of the switches, or when the charges are transferred, is critical for a good efficiency. The operation of the switches must be synchronized with the mechanical oscillation. The frequency of the mechanical oscillation depends on the resonance frequency of the mechanical structure. For best results, then, the mechanical source must have a vibration with a frequency close to that of the resonance frequency of the transducer, which is in the order of kHz for miniaturized components.

Other approaches use non-resonant structures 21 that are more suited for mechanical excitations at lower frequencies.

4.4. Magnetic induction generator

The magnetic induction transducer is based on Faraday's law. The variation in magnetic flux, Φ_m through an electrical circuit causes an electric field. This flux variation can be realized with a moving magnet whose flux is linked with a fixed coil or with a fixed magnet whose flux is linked with a moving coil. The first configuration is preferred to the second one because the electrical wires are fixed.

As the relevant magnitude here is the magnetic flux through a circuit, the size of the coil is inversely related to the obtained electric field and therefore, to the generated energy. This means that big transducers with large

366 Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837



Figure 3. Magnetic induction transducer model. Adapted from Ching et al.²⁶

area coils will perform better than smaller transducers, unless a larger time derivative is involved with the small scale generators.

We briefly present the analysis of a simple generator.²⁶ When the generator vibrates, the oscillating mass has a relative displacement with respect to the housing. The magnetic induction generator converts this relative displacement into electrical energy. The transducer is modelled as a damped spring-mass system, since the energy extraction damps the mass movement with a factor B_m . The mass m, the magnet, which is joined to a spring with a spring constant k moves through a constant magnetic field, B, when the generator oscillates.

The relative displacement, z(t), is related to the voltage across the coil by a first order system as can be shown in Figure 3(a). L is the inductance of the coil, R_c is the parasitic resistance of the coil, l is the length of the coil, and R is the load resistance.

Figure 3(b) shows the transfer function block diagram that relates the mechanical input force with the output voltage. The current induced in the coil generates an electromechanical force, f_e that dampes the movement of the magnet. From the Newton's second Law of motion, the transfer function between the input mechanical force, f_m , and the relative displacement of the mass can be obtained. After some algebra, the transfer function that relates the output voltage V, across the load resistor with the input mechanical force f_m is:

$$\frac{V(s)}{f_m(s)} = \frac{(BlR)s}{(Ls + R + R_c)(ms^2 + B_m s + k) + (Bl)^2 s}$$
(5)

The third order system can be simplified to a second order system by assuming that the electrical time constant is much smaller than the mechanical time constant. With this assumption, the transfer function is expressed as:

$$\frac{V(s)}{f_m(s)} = \frac{\frac{(Bl)s}{m}}{(s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2)} \tag{6}$$

where ζ and ω_n are the damping factor and the spring natural frequency, respectively.

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \tag{7}$$

$$\zeta = \frac{B_m R + (Bl)^2}{2R\sqrt{mk}} = \frac{B_m}{2\omega_n m} + \frac{(Bl)^2/R}{2\omega_n m} = \zeta_m + \zeta_e \tag{8}$$

Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837 367
The damping factor is expressed as the addition of a mechanical damping factor ζ_m , and an electrical damping factor, ζ_e .

The power generated for a sinusoidal input displacement, $y(t) = Y_0 \cos(\omega t)$, is given by:

$$P = \frac{m\zeta_e Y_0^2 (\omega/\omega_n)^3 \omega^3}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}^2\right)\right]^2 + 2\zeta \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2} \tag{9}$$

The output power is maximum at resonance, and proportional to the third power of ω_n , while the corresponding voltage V_{pmax} is proportional to ω_n :

$$P_{max} = P(\omega_n) = \frac{m\zeta_e Y_0^2 \omega_n^3}{4\zeta^2} \tag{10}$$

$$V_{pmax} = \sqrt{2P_{max}R} = \frac{BlY_0\omega_n}{2\zeta} \tag{11}$$

Several examples following this principle can be found in the literature.

Amirtharajah et al.²⁷ designed with an electromagnetic VDRG built using discrete components which generates a power on the order of 400 μ W using human walking as a vibrational power source.

Williams et al.²⁸ from the University of Sheffield fabricated an electromagnetic MEMS VDRG. A mm scale demonstrator, implementing an electromagnetic micro-generator, generates 0.3 μ W from a 4 MHz excitation input.

Li et al.²⁹ from the University of Hong Kong have fabricated an electromagnetic MEMS VDRG with a volume of 1 cm³. The micro-generator generates 10 μ W power at 2 V DC with 64 Hz input frequency and 1000 μ m input vibration amplitude.

5. ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION

Another source of energy present in the environment is electromagnetic radiation, either in the form of light (known as solar energy), or lower frequency RF radiation. Both methods are extensively used in many present devices, and only some comments will be made here, regarding their prospect as energy harvesting strategies.

5.1. Solar energy

Solar energy is a mature technology for large scale energy generation. Photovoltaic systems are found from the Megawatt to the milliwatt range producing electricity for a wide range of applications: from wristwatch to grid-connected PV systems. The application of photovoltaics in portable products is a valid option under the appropriate circumstances.

Outdoors, solar radiation is the energy source of the PV system. Solar radiation varies over the earth's surface due to weather conditions and the location (longitude and latitude). For each location exists an optimum inclination angle and orientation of the PV solar cells in order to obtain the maximum radiation over the surface of the solar cell. Yearly irradiance is for example 992 kWh/ m^2 in The Netherlands and 2026 kWh/ m^2 in Tanzania. However, indoor irradiance is smaller, around 3.5 to 20 W/ m^2 . Nowadays, the majority of solar cells are made from semi-conductor materials: crystalline silicon (89%), amorphous silicon (10%), cadmium telluride (0.5%), copper indium, diselenide and gallium arsenide. The power conversion efficiency of a PV solar cell is defined as the ratio between the solar cell output power and the solar power (irradiance) impinging the solar cell surface. For a solar cell of 100 cm², 1 W can be generated, if the solar irradiation is 1000 W/m² and the efficiency of the solar cell is 10%.

368 Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837

5.2. RF radiation

RF radiation is employed to power ID cards by directing high power electromagnetic energy to the devices from a nearby source. In addition to energy, it is possible to send information as well.

However, the term energy harvesting implies that it is the same device which gets its energy from the environment. In cities and very populated areas there is a large number of potential RF sources: broadcast radio and tv, mobile telephony, wireless networks, etc. The problem is collecting all these disparate sources and converting them in useful energy. The conversion is based on a rectifying antenna (*rectenna*), constructed with a Schottky diode located between the antenna dipoles.

The energy levels actually present are so low that no present electronic device can use them. However, future technologies may allow the fabrication of lower power devices that would "recycle" RF energy generated for other purposes by different elements.³⁰

6. THERMAL ENERGY

Thermal energy is the other form of energy readily present in the environment. Thermal energy harvesting devices could use the thermal energy of different sources: persons and animals, machines or other natural sources.

A thermoelectric generator basically consists of a thermocouple, comprising a p-type and n-type semiconductor connected electrically in series and thermally in parallel. The thermogenerator (based on the Seebeck effect) produces an electrical current proportional to the temperature difference between the hot and cold junctions. An electrical load is connected in series with the thermogenerator creating an electric circuit. The Seebeck coefficient is positive for p-type materials and negative for n-type materials. The heat that enters or leaves a junction of a thermoelectric device has two reasons: 1) the presence of a temperature gradient at the junction 2) the absorption or liberation of energy due to the Peltier effect.⁹

Carnot efficiency puts an upper limit on the heat energy that can be recovered. In the case of temperature difference between the human body and the environment, e.g. room temperature $(20^{\circ}C)$, Starner³¹ estimates that the Carnot efficiency with this temperature conditions is 5.5%. In a warmer environment the Carnot efficiency drops while in a colder environment the Carnot efficiency rises. The recoverable energy yields 3.7-6.4 W of power. However, evaporative heat loss account for 25% of the total heat dissipation, and therefore the maximum power available drops to 2.8-4.8 W. The previous calculations are made assuming that all the heat radiated by the human body can be recovered and transformed into electrical power, so that the obtained power is overestimated. Another problem is the location of the device dedicated to the capture of the heat of the human body. When the skin surface detects cold air, a rapid constriction of the blood vessels in the skin reduces the skin temperature to the temperature of the cold air. Therefore, the efficiency of the Carnot device will drop. Some research is needed to more accurately evaluate the feasibility of thermal energy harvesting from human activity. A thermal human body model is necessary in order to know how much heat energy can be harvested from a part of the human body without disturbing the user.

7. POWER CONDITIONING CIRCUITS

The energy present in the environment that can potentially be harvested is discontinuous in nature. Therefore, not only the voltage level must be adjusted, but, because at times there is no energy at all, there must be some way to store the energy for use at a convenient time. This storage element can be a capacitor, or a secondary battery.

7.1. Operation modes

The discontinuous nature of energy harvesting has consequences on the way the electronic devices powered by energy harvesting are operated. The electronic device will be able to operate only when there is enough energy in its energy storage element. In principle, we can distinguish two situations:

1. The power consumption of the electronic device is lower than the average power provided by the environment. In this case, the electronic device may operate continuously.

Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837 369



Figure 4. Case of continuous (a) and discontinuous (b) operation. In the case of discontinuous operation, the device must be OFF until enough energy is collected in the storage element.



Figure 5. Generated and spent power when the device operation is only at times when there is energy generation.

2. The power consumption of the device is greater than the power provided by the environment. The operation must be discontinuous, and the time between operations depends on the stored energy of the device.

Figure 4 schematically shows the two cases. It is seen how for both cases the energy storage element is necessary to provide energy during the moments where transiently the generated power is zero. A special case would be one in which the device is operated exclusively during the time when there is generated power, and the power consumption is at all times smaller than generated power (Figure 5). In this case, the energy storage element is not necessary, although voltage regulation is.

In the general case of discontinuous operation, energy is a more relevant magnitude than power when discussing generation, because it is generated energy which determines the time between operations.

7.2. Power conditioning for piezoelectric energy harvesting

A piezoelectric generator can produce voltages on the order of two to tens of volts and currents on the order of two to tens of microAmps.²⁰ These are the voltage and current levels that the piezoelectric power conditioning circuit has to manage. In addition, a piezoelectric source for human walking activity has low frequency (approximately one cycle per second) and low-duty-cycle current pulses.

370 Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837



Figure 6. Diagram explaining electrostatic energy conversion circuit (adapted from Meninger et al.²⁵).

The simplest method of power conversion is by direct discharge.³² The piezoelectric element is connected to a diode bridge to rectify its output. The charge is transferred to a tank capacitor, C2 where the electrical energy is stored for use by the electronic system to be powered. This circuit is appropriate for applications where a low power device has to be power supplied at tens-second intervals. The storage capacitor C2 is connected to the electronic system each time that its energy reaches a value enough to power the application.

In this scheme, each time that the piezoelectric device is stressed, a certain amount of current flows, charging the piezoelectric element capacitor, C1, as well as the storage capacitor, C2. If the piezo element is cyclically excited and the current is rectified, the observed voltage in C2 will increase its value for each cycle, until a limit is asymptotically reached. The choice of the storage capacitor and the range of voltage when it should be connected are not arbitrary, but depend on the energy requirements of the load and the piezoelectric characteristics.

Ottman et al.³³ presented a circuit that uses a switch-mode dc-dc converter after the tank capacitor. They present an analysis of the converter in order to obtain the optimal duty cycle of the converter that maximizes the harvested power, taking in to account the generated current and internal capacitance of the piezoelectric. The use of the system proposed increases the harvested power by 325% as compared to when the battery is directly charged with the piezoelectric rectified source. However, the switch mode converter also spends some energy. They present a solution making a two-mode converter circuit. When the generated energy is too low to power the switching converter, it is accumulated in the battery in a manner similar to the direct discharge method. When there is enough energy, the switching converter starts up to increase the efficiency of the conversion.

7.3. Power conditioning for the electrostatic energy generator

The MEMS variable capacitor designed by Meninger et al. presents a power electronics circuit. The low-power controller directs energy conversion cycles by generating the timing pulses to control the gates of the FETS of the power circuit. It is responsible for charging the MEMS capacitor to V_{start} and V_{max} during the charge and the voltage constrained case, respectively.

Fig 6 shows the converter and the associated waveforms for the charge constrained case. t_1 starts when it is detected that $C_{MEMS} = C_{max}$. During t_1 SW2 is ON and SW1 is OFF, and therefore energy is transferred from the initial voltage source to the inductor L. During t_2 SW1 is ON and SW2 is OFF, so the energy is transferred from L to MEMS capacitor. During t_3 both switches are OFF and the MEMS capacitor changes its value from C_{max} to C_{min} due to mechanical vibration. During t_4 SW1 is ON, SW2 is OFF, and $C_{MEMS} + C_{PAR}$ transfer electrical energy to inductor L. t_4 corresponds to the path D-A of Figure 2. During t_5 , SW2 is ON and SW1 is OFF and the energy stored in the inductor is transferred to the reservoir capacitor, C_{res} .

Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837 371

8. CONCLUSIONS

Nowadays there is an active research area investigating a number of alternatives to extract energy energy from the environment and convert it to electrical energy to power an electronic device. Taken one by one, each alternative still provides a low level of energy compared to the power requirements of today's electronic devices, except for specific applications. However, it is expected that energy harvesting will have an important role in future microelectronic devices for a number of reasons.

First, it is possible to increase the generated energy using new transducer designs or new materials, as well as innovative power conditioning circuits and energy storage elements. Also, the combination in the same device of several energy harvesting strategies will increase its capabilities to obtain energy in different situations. However, as observed with the comparison between past evolution of batteries and electronic technology, it is in the part of electronic consumption that there are more possibilities to close the gap between generated and spent energy. Electronic technology will continue its evolution of decreasing energy consumption thanks to continuing scaling down of devices, nanotechnology and eventually, molecular electronics. New processing and communication techniques will also help reducing power consumption.

Finally, the trend of increasing mobility and autonomy of electronic devices has a natural step in the energetic independence from the user. In this sense, such electronic devices will be completely autonomous entities, blurring the frontier between live beings and inanimated objects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology and the Regional European Development Funds (FEDER) from the European Union through project TEC2004-03289.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Billinghurst and T. Starner, "Wearable devices. new ways to manage information," *IEEE Computer* **32**, pp. 57–64, January 1999.
- 2. J. Meindl, "Low power microelectonics: retrospect and prospect," *Proceedings of the IEEE* 83, pp. 619–635, April 1995.
- 3. G. Zorpette, "Super charged," IEEE Spectrum 42, pp. 26–31, January 2005.
- T. E. Starner, "Powerful change part 1: batteries and possible alternatives for the mobile market," *IEEE Pervasive computing* 2, pp. 86–88, October-December 2003.
- 5. R. Hahn and H. Reichl, "Batteries and power supplies for wearable and ubiquitous computing," in *Third Intl Symp. on Wearable Computers*, 1999.
- 6. A. Hansen, S. van Leeuwen, and A. Stevels, "Design of a fuel cell powered radio, a feasibility study in alternative power sources for portable products," in *IEEE Intl. Symp. on Electronics and the Environment*, 2000.
- 7. T. Starner, "Human-powered wearable computing," IBM Systems Journal 35(3&4), 1996.
- 8. J. L. Gonzalez, A. Rubio, and F. Moll, "Human powered piezoelectric batteries to supply power of wereables electronic devices," *Int. J. Soc. Mater. Eng. Resour.* **10**(1), pp. 34–40, 2002. http://pmos.upc.es/blues/publications/EnergyEfficientSystems/papers_EnergyEfficientSystems.html.
- 9. S. Angrist, Direct Energy Conversion, Allyn & Bacon, 1982.
- T. Starner and J. Paradiso, "Human generated power for mobile electronics," in Low Power Electronics Design, C. Piguet, ed., ch. 45, CRC Press, 2004.
- 11. F. Moll and A. Rubio, "An approach to the analysis of wearable body-power systems," in *Mixed Signal Design Workshop*, *MIXDES'00*, 2000.
- 12. A. Jansen, S. Fridstedt, and A. Weernink, "A batteryless remote control for Volvo, results of a feasibility study," in *ISATA 2000 Conf. on Automotive and Transportation Technology*, 25-29 September 2000.
- 13. J. Drake, "The greatest shoe on earth," Wired , February 1996.
- 14. Freeplay Energy Plc. http://www.freeplayenergy.com.
- 15. Atkin Design and Development Ltd. http://www.windup-powerup.co.uk.
- 372 Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837

- A. Stevels and A. J. Jansen, "Renewable energy in portable radios: an environmental benchmarking study," *The Journal of Sustainable Product Design*, January 1998.
- 17. Seiko Instruments Inc. http://www.sii.co.jp/info/eg/thermic_main.html.
- 18. Applied Digital. http://www.adsx.com/prodservpart/thermolife.html.
- 19. Enocean. http://www.enocean.com.
- S. Roundy, P. K. Wright, and J. M. Rabaey, Energy scavenging for wireless sensor networks with special focus on vibrations, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- P. D. Mitcheson, T. C. Green, E. M. Yeatman, and A. S. Holmes, "Architectures for vibration-driven micropower generators," J. of Microelectromechanical Systems 13, June 2004.
- C. V. C. Bouten, K. T. M. Koekkoek, M. Verduin, R. Kodde, and J. D. Janssen, "A triaxial accelerometer and portable data processing unit for the assessment of daily physical activity," *IEEE Trans. on Biomedical Engineering* 44, pp. 136–147, March 1997.
- 23. L. Mateu and F. Moll, "Optimum piezoelectric bending beam structures for energy harvesting using shoe inserts," J. of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures, 2005. accepted for publication.
- 24. Measurement Specialities, Piezo Film Sensors Technical Manual. http://www.msiusa.com.
- 25. S. Meninger, J. Mur-Miranda, R. Amirtharajah, A. P. Chandrasakan, and J. H. Lang, "Vibration to electric energy conversion," *IEEE Trans. on VLSI* 9, February 2001.
- N. N. H. Ching, G. M. H. Chan, W. J. Li, H. Y. Wong, and P. H. W. Leong, "Pcb integrated micro generator for wireless systems," in *Intl. Symp. on Smart Structures and Microsystems*, 19-21 October 2000.
- R. Amirtharajah and A. Chandrakasan, "Self-powered signal processing using vibration-based power generation," Solid-State Circuits, IEEE Journal of 33(5), pp. 687–695, 1998.
- 28. C. Williams, C. Shearwood, M. Harradine, P. Mellor, T. Birch, and R. Yates, "Development of an electromagnetic micro-generator," *IEE Proc. G-Circuits, Devices and Systems* 148, pp. 337–342, December 2001.
- W. Li, T. Ho, G. Chan, P. Leong, and H. Y. Wong, "Infrared signal transmission by a laser-micromachined, vibration-induced power generator," in *Circuits and Systems*, 2000. Proceedings of the 43rd IEEE Midwest Symposium on, 1, pp. 236–239 vol.1, 2000.
- J. Hagerty, F. Helmbrecht, W. McCalpin, R. Zane, and Z. Popovic, "Recycling ambient microwave energy with broad-band rectenna arrays," *IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Techniques* 52(3), pp. 1014–1024, 2004.
- 31. T. Starner and Y. Maguire, "A heat dissipation tutorial for wearable computers," in 2nd International Symp. on Wearable Computers, 1998.
- 32. N. S. Shenck, "A demonstration of useful electric energy generation from piezoceramics in a shoe," Master's thesis, Massachussets Institute of Technology, 1997.
- G. Ottman, H. Hofmann, and G. Lesieutre, "Optimized piezoelectric energy harvesting circuit using stepdown converter in discontinuous conduction mode," *IEEE Trans. on Power Electronics* 18(2), pp. 696–703, 2003.

Proc. of SPIE Vol. 5837 373

Chapter 9

Paper 2: Optimum Piezoelectric Bending Beam Structures for Energy Harvesting using Shoe Inserts

Loreto Mateu and Francesc Moll

J. of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 835–845, 2005.

Optimum Piezoelectric Bending Beam Structures for Energy Harvesting using Shoe Inserts

LORETO MATEU* AND FRANCESC MOLL

Department of Electronic Engineering, Polytechnical University of Catalonia C/Jordi Girona s/n, Barcelona, 08034

ABSTRACT: The small amount of power demanded by many present-day electronic devices opens up the possibility to convert part of the energy present in the environment into electrical energy, using several methods. One such method is to use piezoelectric film-bending beams inside a shoe, and use part of the mechanical energy employed during normal walking activity. This study analyzes several bending beam structures suitable for the intended application (shoe inserts and walking-type excitation) and obtains the resulting strain for each type as a function of their geometrical parameters and material properties. As a result, the optimum configuration can be selected.

Key Words: energy harvesting, piezoelectric films, bending beams, maximum deflection, power generation.

INTRODUCTION

THE trends in technology provide scope for the decrease in both size and power consumption of complex digital systems. This decrease in size and power consumption gives rise to the concept of wearable devices in which digital systems are integrated in everyday personal belongings like clothes, watches, glasses, etc. Batteries are the power source used in wearable devices. However, the disadvantage of batteries is the need to either replace or recharge them periodically. An alternative to batteries is harvesting energy from the environment (energy harvesting).

The polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) films have been employed (Kendall, 1998; Kymissis et al., 1998; Shenck, 1999; Shenck and Paradiso, 2001; Starner and Paradiso, 2004) to harvest electrical power from human walking activity. Roundy et al. (2004) presented a piezoelectric cantilever beam to harvest maximum electrical power available from a vibrational source. However, different structures were not compared thoroughly.

This article is an exhaustive and meticulous study of different piezoelectric beam structures. The study analyzes the advantages and disadvantages of these structures. The final application is an assembly of a piezoelectric bending beam in the insole of a shoe to convert mechanical human walking activity into electric power.

The differences between the analyzed piezoelectric beams can be grouped by shape, employed material and its position, the kind of supports, and restrictions because of the space available for the application. To calculate the average power generated by human walking activity, the average strain of the beam is obtained for each analyzed structure.

The next section presents piezoelectric constitutive equations for PVDF and analyzes the fact that in piezoelectric films, the excitation mode 31 is more efficient than mode 33. However, the force exerted by walking is in the direction 3 (z-axis). One way to circumvent this problem is to use bending beam structures to cause a stress in direction 1 when a force in direction 3 is applied. Different bending beam structures are analyzed in the subsequent two sections to select the most appropriate one for the application. Two kinds of beams are analyzed: piezoelectric homogeneous and heterogeneous bimorphs (Smits and Choi, 1991). The piezoelectric bimorphs are made up of two piezoelectric films, one on each side of the neutral axis. These piezoelectric beams can be connected in parallel to add current, or in series to add voltage. On the other hand, heterogeneous bimorphs consist of piezoelectric elements and a non-piezoelectric element that only provides elastic function. Two types are considered within this group. The first type consists of a non-piezoelectric element sandwiched between piezoelectric films, which is called as symmetric heterogeneous bimorph. The second type is made up of one or more piezoelectric films on top of a non-piezoelectric material (Eggborn, 2003), and it is named as asymmetric

835

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: moll@eel.upc.edu

JOURNAL OF INTELLIGENT MATERIAL SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES, Vol. 16-October 2005 1045-389X/05/10 0835-11 \$10.00/0 DOI: 10.1177/1045389X05055280 © 2005 Sage Publications

heterogeneous bimorph. The possibility of limiting the deflection of the beam to a given value in accordance with the restrictions of space and comfort of the application is also examined. The next section describes the electrical power harvested from mechanical activity for the different structures analyzed previously. A comparison of the analyzed beams and some conclusions derived from the previous analysis are also presented.

CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS FOR PVDF

Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) is a piezoelectric polymer with *mm*2 crystal symmetry. Due to this fact the piezoelectric constitutive equations shown in Equation (1) are simplified to Equation (2) (Ikeda, 1990).

$$[S_{i}] = [s_{ij}][T_{j}] + [d_{ik}][E_{k}]$$

$$[D_{l}] = [\varepsilon_{lm}][E_{m}] + [d_{ln}][T_{n}]$$
(1)

$$j, n = 1, \dots, 6 \qquad i, k, l, m = 1, 2, 3$$

where repeated subscripts in the products imply a summation over the different components. *T* is the applied mechanical stress (N/m^2) ; *E* is the applied electric field (N/C); *d* is the piezo strain tensor $((C/m^2)/(N/m^2))$; ε is the permittivity tensor (F/m); *D* is the electric displacement (C/m^2) ; *S* is the mechanical strain (m/m); *s* is the compliance tensor (m^2/N) .

The subscripts correspond to the six directions of the axes, the three Cartesian directions and the shear around the three axes, as shown in Table 1 (Kino, 2000).

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} & d_{31} \\ s_{12} & s_{22} & s_{23} & d_{32} \\ s_{13} & s_{23} & s_{33} & d_{33} \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & d_{33} & \varepsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ T_3 \\ E_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \\ D_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{44} & d_{24} \\ d_{24} & \varepsilon_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_4 \\ E_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_4 \\ D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{55} & d_{15} \\ d_{15} & \varepsilon_{11} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_5 \\ E_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_5 \\ D_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$s_{66}T_6 = S_6$$
(2)

The PVDF films are only metalized in the plane perpendicular to direction 3, so that $D_1 = D_2 = 0$. Equation (3) reflects this assumption when no external electric field is applied.

$$D_3 = d_{31}T_1 + d_{32}T_2 + d_{33}T_3 \tag{3}$$

Table 1. Subscripts reduced notation for piezoelectric constitutive equations of piezoelectric materials.

Reduced notation	Corresponding direction of axes
1	Longitudinal in the x-direction
2	Longitudinal in the y-direction
3	Longitudinal in the z-direction
4	Shear y–z
5	Shear z–x
6	Shear <i>x</i> – <i>y</i>

Table 2. Voltage, V_{o} , and charge, q, obtained in the plane perpendicular to direction 3 applying a mechanical stress in direction 1, mode 31, and in direction 3, mode 33. g is the piezo stress constant ((m/m)/(C/m²)) and is defined as $g = d/\epsilon$.

	Mode 31	Mode 33
Vo	$g_{31}(F_1/W)$	$g_{33}H(F_3/(WL))$
q	$d_{31}(F_1L/H)$	d ₃₃ F ₃

There are three possible modes to use the PVDF films: 31, 32, and 33. Mode 32 is discarded since d_{32} is 10 times less than d_{31} or d_{33} (Brown, 2002). In mode 31, the stress is applied in direction 1, and in mode 33, the stress is applied in direction 3. The voltage and charge obtained resulting from an applied force in a certain direction, F_1 or F_3 , are shown in Table 2.

In a thin PVDF film, the ratio L/H is on the order of 1000, while $d_{31} = 23 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m/V}$ and $d_{33} = -33 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m/V}$ (Measurement Specialties). If it is assumed that $F_1 = F_3$, then V_0 and q for the mode 31 will be on the order of 700 times greater than V_0 and q for the mode 33, as can be seen from Table 2. Therefore, for the same mechanical energy input, more electrical energy output is obtained in mode 31 than in mode 33 when the PVDF piezoelectric films are employed. For other piezoelectric materials, mode 33 excitation can be a better solution than mode 31 if the length, width, and thickness of the piezoelectric material are similar.

A piezoelectric film placed inside a shoe as shown later, in Figure 4, would receive a stress applied in direction 3. Therefore, a mechanical coupling is necessary to transform the stress applied in the direction 3 to a stress applied in the direction 1. This problem is solved using bending beams.

CANTILEVERS WITH LIMITED MAXIMUM DEFLECTION

There are different kinds of bending beams depending on their supports, shapes, position of the applied point load or distributed load, etc. (Beer and Johnston, 1993; Senturia, 2001; Young, 2002; Yee, 2003). Moreover, beams can have one or multiple layers of different types of materials. For usual values of the forces applied, the calculated maximum deflection is greater than the height available between the beam and the insole of the shoe. Therefore, the assembly conditions limit the maximum attainable deflection.

The first subsection analyzes the relationship between average strain and maximum deflection for homogeneous cantilevers with an applied point load at x = Lwhereas the next subsection analyzes the case for heterogeneous cantilevers.

Triangular versus Rectangular Homogeneous Cantilever

The beam that offers the maximum average strain for a given applied force, F, is the cantilever. The average strain for a homogeneous beam, Figure 1, with any type of support employed is given by Equation (4) and it is defined as net strain along axis 1 (length), x, and axis 3 (thickness), z.

$$S_{\text{average}} = \frac{1}{t_c/2} \frac{1}{L} \int_0^{t_c/2} \int_0^L \frac{z}{\rho(x)} dx \, dz \tag{4}$$

where $\rho(x)$ is the radius of curvature of the bending beam. Equation (4) corresponds to the average strain of a homogeneous bimorph with a thickness of $t_c/2$.

If the two piezo films shown in Figure 1 are connected in parallel, the charge generated by the strain of both the films must be added. For a series connection, the charge generated corresponds to the strain of one of the films, and the voltage of both the films is added. Figures 2 and 3 show how to connect both the films in series or parallel depending on the poling axis orientation of each piezoelectric film as it is being tensed or compressed.

If the cantilever is triangular (Kendall, 1998), its width varies as expressed in Equation (5),

ı

$$W(x) = W_0 \left(1 - \frac{x}{L}\right) \tag{5}$$

where W_0 is the base of the triangle and L is the length of the beam and the height of the triangle.



Figure 1. Cross section of a homogeneous bimorph beam. $t_c/2$ corresponds to a piezoelectric film thickness. Y_c is the Young's modulus for the piezoelectric material. W_0 is the width of the beam. The neutral axis is placed between the two piezoelectric films.



Figure 2. Connection between the top and bottom piezoelectric films to add current or voltage when the poling axes are identically oriented.



Figure 3. Connection between the top and bottom piezoelectric films to add current or voltage when the poling axes are opposed.

Table 3 shows the average strain, S_x , for one of the two films in a bimorph as shown in Figure 1, the deflection in function of position, y(x), and the maximum deflection, y_{max} , of the cantilever beam. Maximum deflection of the cantilever beam occurs when x = L.

In the case of a triangular cantilever, the radius of curvature of the cantilever is constant along its length, which implies that the strain along the direction x is also constant.

As can be seen in these expressions, the cantilever with a triangular shape suffers more strain than a cantilever with a rectangular shape for identical load. The rectangular cantilever average strain is 75% of the triangular cantilever average strain, expressed as a Table 3. Average strain, S_{xx} , deflection, y(x), and maximum deflection, y_{max} , for triangular cantilever and rectangular cantilever, where t_c is the thickness, W_0 is the width of the rectangular beam and the base of the triangular beam, F is the point load applied at x = L and Y_c is the Young's modulus of the beam.

838

	Triangular cantilever	Rectangular cantilever
S _x	$\frac{3FL}{Y_{\rm c}W_0t_{\rm c}^2} = \frac{y_{\rm max}t_{\rm c}}{2L^2}$	$\frac{3FL}{2Y_{\rm c}W_0t_{\rm c}^2} = \frac{3}{8}\frac{y_{\rm max}t_{\rm c}}{L^2}$
<i>y</i> (x)	$\frac{6FLx^2}{Y_cW_0t_c^3}$	$\frac{6FL}{Y_{\rm c}W_0t_{\rm c}^3}x^2\left(1-\frac{x}{3L}\right)$
y _{max}	$\frac{6FL^3}{Y_cW_0t_c^3}$	$\frac{4FL^3}{Y_cW_0t_c^3}$



Figure 4. Cantilever assembly in the insole of the shoe with a limited deflection, D.

function of its maximum deflection. The maximum deflection is also greater for the triangular cantilever structure. Therefore, a triangular cantilever would be preferred for a shoe insert, as was pointed out in Shenck (1999). A mechanical device must be placed inside the shoe at x = L to apply all the stress generated by human walking activity.

It should be noted that in a practical implementation, the usual force applied by normal walking activity would give a value of y_{max} well in excess of that of a comfortable cavity depth. Therefore, the maximum deflection is actually limited by the cavity depth, D, as shown in Figure 4. The value of D for a practical shoe insert is of the order of a few millimeters, and such a small deflection ensures that the linear analysis applied in this study can be considered valid.

Triangular Heterogeneous Cantilever

The maximum strain in a beam takes place at its surface, at $z = t_c/2$ and $z = -t_c/2$. One way to increase the average strain for the piezoelectric film, and therefore, the harvested power, is to increase the beam thickness. This can be done keeping the same amount of piezoelectric material by using heterogeneous bimorphs composed of non-piezoelectric films and piezoelectric films as shown in Figures 5 and 6.

The neutral axis for a composite beam is calculated with the general expression of Equation (6).



Figure 5. Cross section of symmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam. $t_c/2$ corresponds to piezoelectric film thickness whereas t_s corresponds to non-piezoelectric film thickness. Y_c is the Young's modulus for the piezoelectric material, and Y_s is the Young's modulus for the non-piezoelectric material. W_0 is the width of the beam.



Figure 6. Cross section of asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph beam. t_c corresponds to piezoelectric film thickness whereas t_c corresponds to non-piezoelectric film thickness. Y_c is the Young's modulus for the piezoelectric material and Y_s is the Young's modulus for the non-piezoelectric material. W_o is the width of the beam.

$$z_{\rm s} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i (Y_i / Y_r) A_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i / Y_r) A_i} \tag{6}$$

where *n* is the number of layers of different materials, z_i is the height for layer *i*, Y_i is the Young's modulus for layer *i*, Y_r is the Young's modulus for layer *r*, and A_i is the area of cross section for layer *i*.

Figure 5 (Eggborn, 2003) shows a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph whereas Figure 6 shows an asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph. In both the structures, the respective dimensions of piezoelectric film and nonpiezoelectric film are the same.

Table 4 shows the average strain, S_x , deflection in the function of the position x, maximum deflection, y_{max} , and the position of the neutral axis, z_s , of the triangular cantilever. It is assumed, as explained in the previous section, that the maximum deflection is limited by the cavity depth, D. The expression for y_{max} corresponds to an unrestricted deflection, and is also given here for completeness.

From the expressions in Table 4, it is seen that the symmetric heterogeneous bimorph is independent of Young's modulus whereas the asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph is dependent of Y_c and Y_s , as also shown in Figure 7. Both the heterogeneous cantilevers provide a greater average strain than a homogeneous configuration, and the relationship depends on the

Table 4. cantilev∉	Equations for average strain, ers have a triangular shape.	with deflection limited by the cavity depth, D,	maximum deflection, y _{max} , and neutral axis position, z _s . The analyzed
	Homogeneous bimorph	Symmetric neterogeneous bimorph	Asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph
Š	$\frac{Dt_c}{2L^2}$	$\frac{Dt_c}{2L^2} \left(1 + 2\frac{t_s}{t_c} \right)$	$\frac{Dt_c}{L^2} \frac{t_s}{t_c} \frac{Y_s}{Y_c} \frac{1 + (t_s/t_c)}{1 + ((t_s/t_c)(Y_s/Y_c))}$
Vmax	6FL ³ 7Wot	$\frac{6FL^3}{Y_cW_0t_3^3} \frac{1}{1+3(t_s/t_c)+3(t_s/t_c)^2+(Y_s/Y_c)(t_s/t_c)^3}$	$\frac{6FL^3}{Y_cW_0 f_0^3} \; \frac{1 + ((t_s/t_c)(Y_s/Y_c))}{1 + 4(Y_s/Y_c)(t_s/t_c) + 6(Y_s/Y_c)(t_s/t_c)^2 + 4(Y_s/Y_c)(t_s/t_c)^3 + (Y_s/Y_c)^2(t_s/t_c)^4}$
sz	0 ⁶⁺	$\frac{c^{+}+t_{s}}{2}$	$\frac{t_{c_{1}}}{2} \frac{1 + 2(t_{s}/t_{c}) + (Y_{s}/Y_{c})(t_{s}/t_{c})^{2}}{1 + ((Y_{s}/Y_{c})(t_{s}/t_{c})^{2})}$

thickness of the non-piezoelectric material. Figure 8 shows the dependence of the relative strain to the homogeneous cantilever with relative thickness of the non-piezoelectric to the piezoelectric films. It is seen how for large t_s/t_c , the strain is much larger than the homogeneous cantilever, and the influence of the relative Young's modulus is smaller. In both these figures, it is assumed that the maximum deflection is limited by the same amount, *D* in all the structures.

It is to be noted that the strain in Figures 7 and 8 for the symmetric configuration corresponds to one of the films, and this strain is similar in magnitude to the whole piezoelectric film of the asymmetric configuration, with double the thickness. This means that by using a symmetric heterogeneous cantilever with both the films connected in parallel, we obtain approximately double the charge than with an asymmetric configuration. On the other hand, the asymmetric bimorph structure is the only one that allows the use of a single piezoelectric film. Therefore, its connection is the simplest possible, and this offers a certain advantage with respect to symmetric bimorph structures, in spite of its smaller charge generation capability.

SIMPLY SUPPORTED BEAM

Point Load

A simply supported beam has a pinned support on each end of the beam. Table 5 shows the average strain, S_x , deflection in the function of the position x, y(x), and maximum deflection, y_{max} , of the simply supported beam with a point load F located at x = L/2 to obtain the maximum deflection possible with these supports.

Table 6 shows the average strain, S_x , maximum deflection, y_{max} , and the location of the neutral axis, z_s , for a homogeneous bimorph, a symmetric heterogeneous bimorph, and an asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph simply supported beams with a point load F located at x = L/2. As in Table 4, S_x is put in function of cavity depth, D.

Distributed Load

Another type of loading for the simply supported beam is a distributed load, as shown in Figure 9. To calculate the strain of this structure, we consider the case of a limited maximum deflection, to be equivalent to two cantilever-type deflections. The part of the foot that is in contact with the piezoelectric material causes a distributed load q. This part of the foot acts as a fixed support for each half of the beam, while the pinned support is equivalent to a force that acts over the equivalent cantilever to deflect it. In these two equivalent cantilevers, we assume that the part of their

L. MATEU AND F. MOLL



Figure 7. Average strain relative to the homogeneous cantilever for asymmetric and symmetric heterogeneous bimorph triangular cantilevers, and for two values of $t_s|t_c$: 5 and 10. The symmetric cantilever strain corresponds to one of the two films, with thickness half of that of the asymmetric cantilever.



Figure 8. Average strain relative to the homogeneous cantilever for asymmetric and symmetric heterogeneous bimorph triangular cantilevers, and for two values of $Y_s|Y_c$: 1 and 4. The symmetric cantilever strain corresponds to one of the two films, with thickness half of that of the asymmetric cantilever.

length under the mass $(L_{\rm m}/2)$ is not deflected. The rest of the length, $L' = (L - L_{\rm m})/2$ is deflected as shown in Figure 10.

The total strain for the simply supported beam with distributed load can be then calculated as the addition of

the strains of both the equivalent cantilevers. The results are shown in Table 7.

Figure 11 shows the average strain for the different types of support analyzed here, as a function of the mass length of the distributed load, L_{m} . It can be seen that the

Table 5. Average strain, S_x , deflection, y(x), and maximum deflection, y_{max} , for a simply supported beam where t_c is the thickness, W_0 is the width for rectangular beam, F is the point load applied at x = L and Y is the Young's modulus of the beam.

	Simply supported beam
S _x	$\frac{3}{8} \frac{FL}{YW_0 t_c^2} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{y_{\text{max}} t_c}{L^2}$
<i>y</i> (x)	$-\frac{F}{YW_0t_c^3}\left[x^3-2\langle x-L/2\rangle^3-\frac{3}{4}L^2x\right]$
<i>y</i> _{max}	$\frac{FL^3}{4YW_0t_c^3}$

case of distributed load always presents a larger average strain than the other cases.

ELECTRICAL POWER HARVESTED

After studying the mechanical properties of the different structures, this section analyzes the power generated by the piezoelectric elements. A simplified approach is used for calculation of power, following (Kendall, 1998). Consider a resistor connected between the terminals of the piezoelectric films. The excitation is assumed to be sinusoidal. While this assumption is only approximate, it can be useful to compare the different structures. A more realistic power calculation providing the excitation corresponding to walking activity is still under study.

The dissipated power on the load resistor is expressed by Equation (7) for a piezoelectric beam with area A, capacitance C_{eq} (which depends on the film dimensions as well as their connection), average strain S_x , under a sinusoidal mechanical excitation of angular frequency ω , that gives rise to a sinusoidal voltage waveform with amplitude $|V_p|$. This expression has a maximum when Equation (8) is verified, corresponding to a resistor matching the internal impedance of the piezoelectric film. Equation (9) expresses this value of the maximum average power as a function of C_{eq} .

$$\langle P \rangle = \frac{|V_{\rm p}|^2}{2R} = \frac{(d_{31}Y_{\rm c}S_xA\omega)^2R}{2(1+\omega^2 C_{\rm eq}^2R^2)}$$
(7)

$$R = \frac{1}{\omega C_{\rm eq}} \tag{8}$$

$$\langle P_{\rm max} \rangle = \frac{d_{31}^2 Y_{\rm c}^2 S_x^2 A^2 \omega}{4C_{\rm eq}} \tag{9}$$

We now compare the different structures analyzed previously, calculating the different magnitudes that are part of Equation (9). First, the area A can be calculated as the area of one piezoelectric film

ɔn, z $_{\mathrm{s}}$ The simply supported beam analyzed has rectangular bas	Asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph	$\frac{3\Omega t_{\rm c}}{L^2} \frac{t_{\rm s}}{t_{\rm c}} \frac{{\rm Y}_{\rm s}}{{\rm Y}_{\rm c}} \frac{1+(t_{\rm s}/t_{\rm c})(t_{\rm s}/t_{\rm c})}{1+(t_{\rm s}/t_{\rm c})({\rm Y}_{\rm s}/{\rm Y}_{\rm c})}$	$\frac{FL^3}{4Y_cW_0 t_3^3} \frac{1 + (t_s/t_c)(Y_s/Y_c)}{1 + 4(Y_s/Y_c)(t_s/t_c) + 6(Y_s/Y_c)(t_s/t_c)^2 + 4(Y_s/Y_c)(t_s/t_c)^3 + (Y_s/Y_c)^2(t_s/t_c)^2}$	$\frac{t_c}{2} \frac{1+2(t_s/t_c)+(Y_s/Y_c)(t_s/t_c)^2}{1+(Y_s/Y_c)(t_s/t_c)^2}$
$_{o}$ maximum deflection, y_{max} and neutral axis positi	Symmetric heterogeneous bimorph	$\frac{3Dt_6}{2L^2}\left(1+2\frac{t_6}{t_6}\right)$	$\frac{FL^3}{4Y_cW_0 f_s^2} \frac{1}{1+3(t_s/t_c)+3(t_s/t_c)^2+(Y_s/Y_c)(t_s/t_c)^3}$	$rac{t_{ m c}+t_{ m s}}{2}$
Equations of average strain, S_x	Homogeneous bimorph	$\frac{3}{2}\frac{Dt_{c}}{L^{2}}$	FL ³ 47Wot ²	tδ Ø
Table 6.		Š	y _{max}	z



Figure 9. Simply supported beam with a limited maximum deflection. The beam with a length L is deflected by a distributed load q along L_m and causes a deflection enough to cause a contact between the beam beneath the mass and the insole of the shoe.



Figure 10. Cantilever of length L' with a point load, F, at x = L'. F is the force reaction of the support A and B that produces an equivalent deflection, D, to that produced by the distributed load, q, in the simply supported beam of Figure 9.

Table 7. Equations of average strain, S_x , for the simply supported beam of Figure 9.

	Homo-	Symmetric	Asymmetric
	geneous	heterogeneous	heterogeneous
	bimorph	bimorph	bimorph
S _x	$\frac{3Dt_{\rm c}}{L(L-L_{\rm m})}$	$\frac{3Dt_{\rm c}}{L(L-L_m)} \left(1+2\frac{t_{\rm s}}{t_{\rm c}}\right)$	$\frac{6Dt_{c}}{L(L-L_{m})}\frac{t_{s}}{t_{c}}\frac{Y_{s}}{Y_{c}}\frac{1+(t_{s}/t_{c})}{1+((t_{s}/t_{c})(Y_{s}/Y_{c}))}$

$A = W_0 L$	(10)
-------------	------

Capacitance C_{eq} is the equivalent capacitance for the connection made with the piezoelectric films employed. The piezoelectric films for the homogeneous and symmetric structures, each of thickness $t_c/2$, can be wired in series or in parallel. The resulting capacitance can be expressed as a parameter c, such that c=1 corresponds to a series connection, while c=2 corresponds to a parallel connection, as illustrated earlier. Table 8 shows the resulting expressions for equivalent capacitance as a function of their connection type.

Table 9 shows the relationship between the connection (parameter c) of homogeneous and symmetric heterogeneous bimorph piezoelectric films, and the generated charge and voltage.

The strain results from previous sections are substituted into Equation (9) to obtain the maximum power obtainable from the different structures analyzed in this article, shown in the equations of Tables 10–12.

Table 8. Equivalent capacitor for bimorphs, symmetric heterogeneous bimorphs, and asymmetric heterogeneous bimorphs.

	Homogeneous and symmetric heterogeneous bimorph	Asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph
C _{eq}	$\frac{c^2 \varepsilon A}{t_c}$	$\frac{\varepsilon A}{t_c}$



Figure 11. Relative average strain for the triangular bimorph cantilever, the simply supported beam with point load and with distributed load, as a function of L_m/L .

Table 9. Equivalent charge, voltage, and peak voltage modulus for bimorphs, symmetric heterogeneous bimorphs, and asymmetric heterogeneous bimorphs.

	Homogeneous and symmetric heterogeneous bimorph	Asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph
q	cD ₃ A	D ₃ A
V	$E_3 \frac{t_c}{c}$	$E_{3}t_{c}$
$ V_{\rm p} $	$c \frac{d_{31} Y_c A S_x \omega R}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2 C_{\rm eq}^2 R^2}}$	$\frac{d_{31}Y_{\rm c}AS_{\rm x}\omega R}{\sqrt{1+\omega^2 C_{\rm eq}^2 R^2}}$

Table 10. Maximum average power for triangular cantilever with point load applied at x = L.

	$\langle P_{\max} \rangle$
Homogeneous bimorph	$\frac{Y_{\rm c}^2 d_{31}^2 D^2 t_{\rm c}^3 W_0 \omega}{16 L^3 \varepsilon}$
Symmetric heterogeneous bimorph	$\frac{Y_{\rm c}^2 d_{31}^2 D^2 t_{\rm c} ((t_{\rm c}/2)+t_{\rm s})^2 W_0 \omega}{4 L^3 \varepsilon}$
Asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph	$\frac{Y_{\rm c}^2 d_{31}^2 D^2 t_{\rm c} Y_{\rm s}^2 t_{\rm s}^2 (t_{\rm c}+t_{\rm s})^2 W_0 \omega}{4 L^3 \varepsilon (t_{\rm c} Y_{\rm c}+t_{\rm s} Y_{\rm s})^2}$

Table 11. Maximum average power for simply supported beam with point load applied at x = L/2.

	$\langle P_{\rm max} \rangle$
Homogeneous bimorph	$9Y_{c}^{2}d_{31}^{2}D^{2}t_{c}^{3}W_{0}\omega$
	$16L^{3}\varepsilon$ 9Y ² d ² , D ² t ₂ ((t, /2) + t,) ² W ₂ (t)
Symmetric heterogeneous bimorph	$\frac{6V_{c}U_{31}D}{4L^{3}\varepsilon}$
Asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph	$\frac{9Y_c^2d_{31}^2D^2t_cY_s^2t_s^2(t_c+t_s)^2W_0\omega}{4L^3\varepsilon(t_cY_c+t_sY_s)^2}$

Table 12. Maximum average power for simply supported beam with distributed load.

	$\langle \boldsymbol{P}_{\max} \rangle$
Homogeneous bimorph	$\frac{9Y_c^2d_{31}^2D^2t_c^3W_0\omega}{4L(L-L_m)^2\varepsilon}$
Symmetric heterogeneous bimorph	$\frac{9Y_{c}^{2}d_{31}^{2}D^{2}t_{c}((t_{c}/2)+t_{s})^{2}W_{0}\omega}{L(L-L_{m})^{2}\varepsilon}$
Asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph	$\frac{9 Y_{c}^2 d_{31}^2 D^2 t_c Y_{s}^2 t_s^2 (t_c + t_s)^2 W_0 \omega}{L (L - L_m)^2 \varepsilon (t_c Y_c + t_s Y_s)^2}$

From equations given in Tables 10–12, the appropriate dimensions of the structure and its elastic and piezoelectric properties can be derived. A small length and a large width and thickness is recommended. The most suitable PVDF film would be the one with large Young's modulus, Y_c , and piezo strain constant, d_{31} , but with small permittivity, ε . Moreover, the maximum average power depends linearly on the walking frequency and the limited height *D*.

COMPARISON OF STRUCTURES

A detailed analysis of several structures suitable for shoe inserts was presented in the previous sections. In summary, the structures considered can be classified according to two properties. One of them is the vertical structure (homogeneous bimorph, and symmetric or asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph). The second property is the kind of support: cantilever with a triangular horizontal shape for maximum efficiency, and simple support at both the ends, either with a point load, or a distributed load.

From the expressions obtained and shown in Tables 3–12, it can be observed that the relationship between vertical structures for strain and power is always the same, independent of the kind of support used. This relationship is shown in Table 13, as a function of S_1 and P_1 the strain and power of the homogeneous bimorph structure. This relationship can be graphically observed in Figure 12, as a function of non-dimensional magnitudes t_s/t_c and Y_s/Y_c . From this figure, it can be concluded that the most efficient vertical structure is the asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph with a large value of Y_s/Y_c .

Similarly, the relation of strain and power between the three kinds of support is also independent of vertical structure, as shown in Table 14. It is seen from this table that the most efficient support is in general the simply supported beam with distributed load.

Therefore, the optimum selection for the piezoelectric inserts studied is the asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph with a simply supported beam with distributed load. Higher the ratio (Y_s/Y_c) , better will be the average generated power.

Table 13. Average strain and power general equations that express the relationship between the vertical structures considered in this article: homogeneous bimorph, symmetric heterogeneous bimorph and asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph.

	Homo- geneous bimorph	Symmetric heterogeneous bimorph	Asymmetric heterogeneous bimorph
S _x	\$ ₁	$S_1\left(1+\frac{2t_s}{t_c}\right)$	$2S_1 \frac{t_{s}}{t_{c}} \frac{Y_{s}}{Y_{c}} \frac{1 + (t_{s}/t_{c})}{1 + ((t_{s}/t_{c})(Y_{s}/Y_{c}))}$
$\langle P_{\max} \rangle$	<i>P</i> ₁	$P_1 \left(1 + \frac{2t_s}{t_c}\right)^2$	$P_1 4 \left(\frac{t_{\rm s}}{t_{\rm c}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{Y_{\rm s}}{Y_{\rm c}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{(1+(t_{\rm s}/t_{\rm c}))}{1+((t_{\rm s}/t_{\rm c})(Y_{\rm s}/Y_{\rm c}))}\right)^2$

L. MATEU AND F. MOLL



Table 14. Average strain general equations that express the relationship between triangular cantilever, simply supported beam with point load and simply supported beam with distributed load.

	Triangular cantilever	Simply supported beam with point load	Simply supported beam with distributed load
S _x	S ₂	3S ₂	$\frac{6}{\left(1-\left(L_{m}/L\right)\right)^{2}}S_{2}$
$\langle P_{\max} \rangle$	P ₂	9P ₂	$\frac{36}{\left(1 - (L_{\rm m}/L)\right)^2} P_2$

CONCLUSIONS

In this study it was analytically shown how to construct an optimum beam-type shoe insert in terms of its vertical structure and the kind of support to use. In this analysis it was taken into account that under normal walking type excitation, the force exerted on the insert is strong enough, given the usual piezoelectric film elastic properties, to cause a deflection limited by the shoe cavity dimensions where the insert is to be placed. Therefore, the cavity dimension is also a factor to consider. From the linear analysis performed, it can be concluded that deeper the cavity, better is the benefit. Other factors as walking comfort or facility of construction should also be evaluated.

The conclusions presented can be useful guides in the construction of a prototype, which must validate this analysis experimentally. A detailed evaluation of the harvested energy as a function of the usual gait excitation is necessary.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Professor Joseph A. Paradiso from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Professor Shad Roundy from Australian National University, and R. Brown from Measurement Specialties for kindly responding to our queries. This study has been partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology and the Regional European Development Funds (FEDER) from the European Union through project TIC2001/2337.

REFERENCES

- Beer, F.P. and Johnston, E.R. 1993. *Mechanics of Materials*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
- Brown, R. 2002. Private correspondence with R. Brown. Measurement Specialties.
- Eggborn, T. 2003. "Analytical Models to Predict Power Harvesting with Piezoelectric Materials," MSc Thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia.
- Ikeda, T. 1990. Fundamentals of Piezoelectricity, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford.
- Kendall, C. 1998. "Parasitic Power Collection in Shoe Mounted Devices," BSc Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Massachusetts.
- Kino, G.S. 2000. Acoustic Waves: Devices, Imaging, and Analog Signal Processing, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Kymissis, J., Kendall, C., Paradiso, J. and Gershenfeld, N. 1998. "Parasitic Power Harvesting in Shoes," In: Second IEEE International Conference on Wearable Computing, pp. 132–139.
- Measurement Specialties. Piezo Film Sensors, Technical Manual, http://www.msiusa.com.
- Paradiso, J. 2004. Private correspondence with J. Paradiso. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Massachusetts.

844

- Roundy, S., Wright, P.K. and Rabaey, J. 2004. Energy Scavenging for Wireless Sensor Networks with Special Focus on Vibrations, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA.
- Senturia, S.D. 2001. *Microsystem Design*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA.
- Shenck, N. 1999. "A Demonstration of Useful Electric Energy Generation from Piezoceramics in a Shoe," MSc Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Massachusetts.
- Shenck, N. and Paradiso, J. 2001."Energy Scavenging with Shoe-Mounted Piezoelectrics," *IEEE Micro*, 21(3):30–42.
- Smits, J.G. and Choi, W. 1991. "The Constituent Equations of Piezoelectric Heterogeneous Bimorphs," *IEEE Transactions on* Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control, 38(3):256–270.
- Starner, T. and Paradiso, J. 2004. "Human Generated Power for Mobile Electronics".
- Yee, J.K. 2003. "Shock Resistance of Ferromagnetic Micromechanical Magnetometers," MSc Thesis, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Young, W.C. and Budynas, R.G. 2002. Roark's Formulas for Stress and Strain, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.

Chapter 10

Paper 3: Appropriate charge control of the storage capacitor in a piezoelectric energy harvesting device for discontinuous load operation

Loreto Mateu and Francesc Moll

Sensors and Actuators A: Physical, vol. 132, pp. 302-310, 2006.



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Sensors and Actuators A 132 (2006) 302-310

www.elsevier.com/locate/sna

Appropriate charge control of the storage capacitor in a piezoelectric energy harvesting device for discontinuous load operation

Loreto Mateu*, Francesc Moll

Department of Electronic Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, C/Gran Capità s/n, Ed. C4. Barcelona 08034, Spain Received 15 September 2005; received in revised form 9 June 2006; accepted 19 June 2006 Available online 28 July 2006

Abstract

Mechanical energy generated by human activity may be converted to electrical energy using piezoelectric film inserts inside a shoe. This electrical energy can be collected in the form of charge accumulated in a storage capacitor. Under this scheme, the storage capacitor needs only to be connected to the load when it has enough energy for the requested operation. This time interval depends on several parameters: piezoelectric type and magnitude of excitation, required energy and voltage, and magnitude of the capacitor. This work analyzes these parameters to find an appropriate choice of storage capacitor and voltage intervals.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Micro power generation; Piezoelectric conversion

1. Introduction

Advances in the low-power design of electronic circuits have opened the possibility of harvesting energy from the environment in order to supply energy to an electronic system. This possibility may be exploited in several low-power systems, for example in *wearable devices* or in sensor networks, so that batteries are not needed for certain applications. One of the possible methods to harvest energy is the conversion from mechanical to electrical domains by using a piezoelectric material. The generated charge depends on the mechanical coupling between the stress exerted by walking activity and the stress exerted over the piezoelectric film [1]. The obtained electrical energy may then be stored in a capacitor (*storage capacitor*) for later use by the electronic system to be powered.

Several previous works have addressed the problem of storing the converted energy, either directly [2,3], or using a switched converter [2–4]. References [2,4] use vibrations as the mechanical source that excites the piezoelectric element and, therefore, they assume a sinusoidal current source waveform. Reference [3] assumes in its analysis that the current source waveform is a square pulse. The main difference between the present work and those already mentioned is the use of a realistic current waveform in our analysis, characteristic of normal walking activity when a piezoelectric film is placed in the insole of a shoe.

The goals of the present work are twofold: to find an expression for the value of the maximum voltage that can be accumulated in the storage capacitor, and the election of appropriate values for both the storage capacitor and the number of piezoelectric films connected in parallel so that a minimum time between operations of the low-power device is obtained, given certain requirements of the load's energy and power supply voltage.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the piezoelectric model and describes the method employed to obtain the characteristic current source waveform when a walking activity excites the piezoelectric element. Section 3 describes the direct discharge circuit employed to store the energy generated by piezoelectric films and the equations needed to predict the maximum accumulated voltage and time at which this happens. Section 4 explains the control circuit for the direct discharge circuit. Section 5 presents an application case in which the minimum number of steps needed to supply power to a low-power wearable device and the value of the storage capacitor are calculated. Finally, Section 6 draws some conclusions from the previous analyses.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 934016846; fax: +34 934016756. *E-mail addresses:* mloreto@eel.upc.edu (L. Mateu), moll@eel.upc.edu (F. Moll).

^{0924-4247/\$ –} see front matter \otimes 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.sna.2006.06.061



Fig. 1. Piezoelectric equivalent circuit with a resistive load, R_L . The equivalent circuit is composed by a current source, i_p , a capacitor, C_1 and a loss resistance, R_{loss} , that can usually be neglected in the analysis.

2. Piezoelectric model and electrical characterization of a piezoelectric film-based power generator

The circuit shown in Fig. 1 can model the piezoelectric element electrically. The current (charge) source waveform depends on the mechanical excitation exerted on the piezoelectric element, and can be experimentally determined from measured voltage across a loading resistance, $R_{\rm L}$. By employing the optimization features in HSPICE it is possible to obtain the parameters of a current waveform that gives the measured voltage waveform. The voltage measurements were obtained with the setup shown in Fig. 2: two piezoelectric films were put inside a shoe and they were connected in parallel for an increased charge generation (more than two films may give even more charge and, therefore, energy). The loading resistance was $500 \,\mathrm{k}\Omega$ connected between the film terminals, and the resulting voltage was measured with an oscilloscope. The capacitance of each film was 11 nF [5], giving a total capacitance of 22 nF for the two films wired in parallel. The loss resistance was so great that it could not be measured and, due to the fact that it was also far greater than the 500 k Ω load, it was not taken into account in the model.

The mechanical stress applied on the film corresponds to a normal walking activity by a man who weighs 68 kg. Eight



Fig. 2. Prototype of the piezoelectric film inserted in shoe. There are two DT4-028K/L piezoelectric films from MSIUSA in parallel inside the shoe.



Fig. 3. First piezoelectric characteristic voltage waveform of one human step.

graphs were obtained. All measured waveforms can be classified in two waveform types, shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. The objective of the HSPICE optimization process is to reproduce the voltage waveform by generating a matching current source. The relevant parameters of the measured waveforms can be denoted as: V_{maxn} , *n*th positive peak, V_{minn} , *n*th negative peak, and t_{czn} , *n*th time at which the voltage crosses by 0.

To obtain the voltage waveform in Fig. 3 we assume that the current source has the waveform shown in Fig. 5(a). Firstly, a positive current causes a voltage increase. Secondly, a negative current causes a decreasing voltage, making it negative. Then, a positive current once again increases the voltage. The current waveform in Fig. 5(a) is characterized by the following parameters: t_d , t_r , t_s , t_z , t_{r2} , t_{r2} , t_{r3} , t_{s3} , i_{p1} , i_{p2} and i_n . The average current value must be zero, so this condition must be added to the optimization process. From Fig. 5(a):

$$\dot{t}_n = \frac{-i_{\rm pl}(t_{\rm r} + t_{\rm s}) - i_{\rm p2}(t_{\rm r3} + t_{\rm s3})}{t_{\rm r2} + t_{\rm s2}} \tag{1}$$



Fig. 4. Second piezoelectric characteristic voltage waveform.



Fig. 5. Current source waveform parameters to be optimized by HSPICE.

Table 1 Measured parameters for the eight voltage waveforms measured

Parameter	Measure 1	Measure 2	Measure 3	Measure 4	Measure 5	Measure 6	Measure 7	Measure 8
V_{max1} (V)	0.60	0.72	1.52	0.84	0.72	0.84	1.6	1.16
$V_{\min 1}$ (V)	-2.96	0.36	-1.52	0.6	-4.44	-4.32	-1.68	0.52
V_{max2} (V)	1.16	0.96	0.84	1.52	0.88	1.08	0.92	0.68
$V_{\min 2}$ (V)	-	-3.32	-	-5.76	-	-	-	-3.68
V_{max3} (V)	-	0.92	-	1.16	-	-	-	1.12
t_{cz1} (ms)	779.7	1032.71	1039.25	431.803	504.006	1384.94	453.074	384.145
t_{cz2} (ms)	872	1172.71	1295.25	531.803	620.006	1488.94	649.074	500.145
t_{cz3} (ms)	1039.7	1224.71	1359.25	691.803	756.006	1640.94	677.074	588.145

In the same way, the current waveform that generates the measured voltage waveform in Fig. 4 is the one shown in Fig. 5(b). This waveform is characterized by the following parameters: t_d , t_{r1} , t_{s1} , t_{r2} , t_{r2} , t_{r3} , t_{s3} , t_{z2} , t_{s4} , t_{r4} , t_{s5} , i_{p1} , i_{p2} , i_{p3} , i_{p4} and i_n . So, for Fig. 5(b):

$$i_n = \frac{-i_{p1}(t_{r1} + t_{s1}) - i_{p2}((1/2)t_{r1} + (1/2)t_{r2} + t_{s2})}{t_{r3} + t_{s4}} + \frac{-i_{p3}(t_{r2} + t_{s3}) - i_{p4}(t_{r4} + t_{s5})}{t_{r3} + t_{s4}}$$
(2)

After setting the appropriate goals, the optimization process calculates the current waveform parameters. The results of the measured and optimized waveform parameters are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively, for the eight measurements obtained. Figs. 6 and 7 show measured and simulated voltage waveforms. As seen, the agreement between measurement and simulation is good for the two models presented, and therefore we can be confident that the obtained current waveform is a good approximation to the actual charge derivative. Table 3 shows the current waveform parameters.

Table 2

Simulated parameters obtained after an HSPICE optimization process for the eight voltage waveforms measured

Parameter	HSPICE 1	HSPICE 2	HSPICE 3	HSPICE 4	HSPICE 5	HSPICE 6	HSPICE 7	HSPICE 8
$\overline{V_{\text{max1}}(\mathbf{V})}$	0.5996	0.7198	1.5158	0.8396	0.7845	0.84010	1.5666	1.1569
$V_{\min 1}$ (V)	-2.9643	-0.35997	-1.5223	-0.59987	-4.4366	-4.3209	-1.7014	0.5195
V_{max2} (V)	1.1591	0.96006	0.83857	1.52	0.78450	1.0809	0.91217	0.68028
$V_{\min 2}$ (V)	-	-3.3199	-	-5.7633	-	-	-	-3.69
$V_{\rm max3}$ (V)	-	0.92372	-	1.1598	-	-	-	1.1165
t_{cz1} (ms)	751.12	1029.0	1016.8	430.82	510.47	1367.9	429.82	375.7
t_{cz2} (ms)	872.68	1118.1	1262.9	530.81	603.7	1484.3	601.56	471.38
t_{cz3} (ms)	1059.6	1291.1	1426.2	697.56	772.42	1670.2	771.79	644.88



Fig. 6. Comparison between measured and simulated voltage after optimization of the output voltage waveform 1.



Fig. 7. Comparison between measured and simulated voltage after optimization of the output voltage waveform 2.

Table 3

i urumeters c								
Parameter	HSPICE 1	HSPICE 2	HSPICE 3	HSPICE 4	HSPICE 5	HSPICE 6	HSPICE 7	HSPICE 8
i _{p1} (μA)	1.2033	1.4445	3.0448	1.6840	1.3680	1.6849	3.2958	2.3235
\dot{i}_{p2} (µA)	2.6414	721.893	4.2409	1.2024	2.3548	2.4683	2.7857	1.0407
\dot{i}_{p3} (µA)	-	1.9268	-	3.0620	-	-	-	1.3773
\dot{i}_{p4} (µA)	-	2.5755	-	5.5465	_	-	-	3.2926
$i_n (\mu A)$	-6.2579	-14.478	-5.6657	-23.415	-9.2379	-9.4777	-3.4605	-11.349
t_{r1} (ms)	127.705	98.5015	109.69	101.114	146.36	132.85	103.102	80.9894
t_{r2} (ms)	50	62.3410	84.8891	17.8092	30.242	50	51.8782	36.2931
t_{r3} (ms)	5	41.5943	101.56×10^{-3}	28.8292	1×10^{-9}	5	1.9553×10^{-3}	46.5136
t_{r4} (ms)	-	102.5231	-	419.05	_	-	-	2.5744×10^{-3}
t_{s1} (ms)	145.67	57.7050	45.9560	87.6011	182.65	148.69	6.5566	50.1784
t_{s2} (ms)	14.8497	79.5952	79.8327	46.5778	23.82	8	65.7202	54.5523
t_{s3} (ms)	24.1028	56.1113	6.7571	46.2425	20.96	25.5200	14.3923	22.1904
t_{s4} (ms)	-	1.0047	-	9.8370×10^{-3}	_	-	-	3.0545
t_{s5} (ms)	-	18.3929	-	6.0999	-	-	-	18.0432
t_{z1} (ms)	50	2.5266	18.6644	7.7923	34.57	46.6427	75.7957	1.3065
t _{z2} (ms)	1	5.5607	409.783×10^{-3}	46.9468	690.05×10^{-3}	1.0749	23.1774×10^{-3}	1.1447×10^{-9}



Fig. 8. Direct discharge circuit. i_p and C_1 belong to the equivalent circuit for the piezoelectric film. C_2 is the storage capacitor. I_0 is the current consumed by the control circuit.

3. Direct discharge circuit

The use of a storage capacitor after a rectifier is known as a direct discharge circuit, and it is shown in Fig. 8. With this circuit, each time that the piezoelectric device is stressed, a certain amount of current flows, charging the piezoelectric element capacitor, C_1 . When the voltage across C_1 is greater than the voltage across C_2 plus two times the forward bias voltage of the diode, the generated current charges both C_1 and C_2 .

The direct discharge circuit is appropriate for applications where a low-power device has to be operated at 10-s intervals. The storage capacitor C_2 may be connected to the power supply terminals of a low-power device each time that its voltage and energy reach the required values. The direct discharge circuit used in this way needs only a simple control circuit, since it only needs a threshold-controlled switch. This simplicity is advantageous because it presents a minimum increment of energy consumption in the control, and that is a critical aspect. The I_0 current source shown in Fig. 8 models the consumption of the control circuit that regulates the connection and disconnection of the capacitor C_2 . Finally, it may be necessary to connect a linear regulator after the switch in order to fix the power supply voltage of the low-power device, and this will be addressed in Section 5.

Fig. 9 displays the current waveform obtained after measurements as explained in Section 2. Three regions can be distin-



Fig. 9. Current waveform, i_p for two piezoelectric films of PVDF.

guished. Region A goes from 0 to t_{z1} and it corresponds to the first positive region of the current waveform. Region B goes from t_{z1} to t_{z2} and it corresponds to the negative region of the current waveform. Region C is the last region and goes from t_{z2} to T. The integration of one period of the piezoelectric current source is zero since no charge is accumulated on the piezoelectric after each period. Hence, the sum of Q_a , charge from 0 to t_{z1} , Q_b , charge from t_{z1} to t_{z2} , and Q_c , charge from t_{z2} to T, is zero. Q_b is greater than Q_a and Q_c , therefore the maximum voltage on C_2 takes place at the end of Region B, t_{z2} . Table 4 shows the charge generated for the eight different steps measured.

If the piezoelectric element is cyclically excited and the current is rectified, the observed voltage in C_2 will have an evolution as shown in Fig. 10. Initially, when C_2 is discharged, all the current generated by the piezoelectric film, i_p , charges both C_1 and C_2 . Therefore, the voltage on C_2 increases rapidly at first, but during the subsequent steps it becomes increasingly difficult to turn on the diodes. Finally, when the peak voltage on C_1 is equal to the voltage on C_2 plus $2V_d$, the diodes turn off definitively and V_2 no longer increases. The limit of the voltage on C_2 is calculated in the following section.

3.1. Direct discharge on storage capacitor for realistic waveform source with no current consumption

Fig. 10 shows the voltage response on the storage capacitor with the current source waveform shown in Fig. 9. The voltage waveform on C_2 is similar to an RC response to a continuous voltage. Different curves are represented in Fig. 10 for various values of current consumption of the control circuit, I_0 .



Fig. 10. Simulated voltage waveform on C_2 for different current consumptions of the control circuit. The values employed for the HSPICE simulation are $C_1 = 22$ nF, and $C_2 = 1 \mu$ F. The diode model selected is 1n4148.

Parameters Q_a , Q_b , and Q_c are defined as the charge generated between every cross by zero in the current source waveform:

$$Q_{\rm a} = \int_0^{t_{\rm z1}} i_{\rm p}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$
 (3)

$$Q_{\rm b} = \int_{t_{\rm z1}}^{t_{\rm z2}} i_{\rm p}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \tag{4}$$

$$Q_{\rm c} = \int_{t_{\rm z2}}^{T} i_{\rm p}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \tag{5}$$

As shown in Fig. 11, between t_{z2} and *T*, the diodes are turned off. Hence, the maximum voltage on C_2 during a period is reached at t_{z2} . Taking this into account and assuming for simplicity that the forward voltage of the diodes is zero, we obtain:

$$V_{1}'(k) = \frac{Q_{a} + C_{2}V_{2}(k-1) + C_{1}V_{1}(k-1)}{C_{1} + C_{2}}$$
(6)

$$V_1^{''}(k) = \frac{V_1^{'}(k)(C_2 - C_1) - Q_b}{C_1 + C_2}$$
(7)

$$V_1(k) = \frac{Q_c - C_1 V_1''(k)}{C_1}$$
(8)

$$V_2(k) = V_1''(k)$$
(9)

Table 4

Generated charge obtained from the HSPICE optimization process and calculated with Eqs. (3)-(5) for the eight voltage waveforms measured

Parameter	HSPICE 1	HSPICE 2	HSPICE 3	HSPICE 4	HSPICE 5	HSPICE 6	HSPICE 7	HSPICE 8
$Q_{\rm a}$ (nC)	328.94	569.889	473.894	641.423	450.075	474.372	361.414	503.118
$Q_{\rm b}~({\rm nC})$	-405.82	-616.76	-933.25	-675.26	-499.42	-549.7	-406.95	-562.53
$Q_{\rm c}~({\rm nC})$	76.8714	47.3712	45.9362	33.8354	49.3437	75.3325	45.54	59.409



Fig. 11. Simulated voltage waveform on C_1 (V_1), and on C_2 (V_2). The values employed for the HSPICE simulation are $C_1 = 22 \text{ nF}$ and $C_2 = 1 \mu\text{F}$. The diode model selected is 1n4148.

Now, we can obtain the voltage on C_2 as a function of its value at the previous step by substituting Eqs. (6)–(8) into Eq. (9).

$$V_{2}(k) = \frac{(Q_{a} + V_{2}(k-1)(C_{2} - C_{1}) + Q_{c})(C_{2} - C_{1})}{(C_{1} + C_{2})^{2}} - \frac{Q_{b}}{C_{1} + C_{2}}$$
(10)

When the voltage across capacitor C_2 in a step k is equal to the voltage across C_2 in the previous step k - 1, the voltage on C_2 has reached its maximum value, $V_{\text{max}C2}$.

$$V_2(k) = V_2(k-1) \tag{11}$$

$$V_{\max C2}\big|_{I_0=0} = -\frac{Q_b}{2C_1}$$
(12)

As this last expression shows, this limit of V_2 , V_{maxC2} , is related to the piezoelectric capacitance C_1 , and the mechanical excitation, given by the total positive (or negative) generated charge. Table 5 shows the values of V_{maxC2} for each of the eight steps measured.

Now we can calculate how many steps are needed to reach this maximum voltage value. From (11) and (12), we can obtain the expression for the voltage on C_2 from the voltage on C_2 at

Table 5

Maximum voltage on the storage capacitor, V_{maxC2} , calculated with Eq. (12) for the eight voltage waveforms measured

Simulation	$V_{\max C2}$ (V)
HSPICE 1	9.223
HSPICE 2	14.017
HSPICE 3	21.21
HSPICE 4	15.347
HSPICE 5	11.35
HSPICE 6	12.493
HSPICE 7	9.249
HSPICE 8	12.785

the previous step, along with its final voltage:

$$V_2(k) = \frac{4C_1C_2}{(C_1 + C_2)^2} [V_{\max C2} - V_2(k-1)] + V_2(k-1)$$
(13)

And, using expression (10):

$$V_2(k) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{C_2 - C_1}{C_1 + C_2}\right)^{2k}\right] V_{\max C2}$$
(14)

The number of steps k needed in order to achieve a voltage V_2 on C_2 is obtained from (14).

$$k = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln(1 - (V_2(k)/V_{\max C2}))}{\ln((C_2 - C_1)/(C_1 + C_2))}$$
(15)

The number of steps is independent from the period of the current source. The time can be obtained by multiplying the number of steps by the step period. We define t_f as being the time required in order to achieve a voltage across C_2 that is equal to 95% of the final voltage across C_2 .

$$k_{\rm f} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln(0.05)}{\ln((C_2 - C_1)/(C_1 + C_2))}$$
(16)

$$t_{\rm f} = k_{\rm f} T \tag{17}$$

Analogously, it is possible to calculate the number of stress cycles needed to increase the voltage of C_2 from a given voltage, V_{off} , to another voltage, V_{on} :

$$k_{\rm s} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln((V_{\rm max}C_2 - V_{\rm on})/(V_{\rm max}C_2 - V_{\rm off}))}{\ln((C_2 - C_1)/(C_1 + C_2))}$$
(18)

Now, some comments can be made about the number of films required. One way to increase the current supplied is to connect more piezoelectric films in parallel. This solution does not imply an increase in the final voltage across C_2 since, if C_1 is multiplied by *n* piezoelectric films wired in parallel, Q_b is also multiplied by *n*. Nevertheless, k_f will decrease and fewer steps will be necessary to reach the final voltage across C_2 , if *n* increases its value, as shown in Figs. 12 and 13. Eqs. (19) and



Fig. 12. . Number of steps required to have a voltage across C_2 equal to the 95% of the final voltage across C_2 , k_f , increases with C_2 and decreases with C_1 .



Fig. 13. The number of steps required to have a voltage across C_2 equal to the 95% of the final voltage across C_2 , k_f , increases with C_2 and decreases with the number of piezoelectric films connected in parallel, n.

(20) give the expression for k_f and k_s when *n* piezoelectric films are connected in parallel.

$$k_{\rm fn} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln(0.05)}{\ln((C_2 - nC_1)/(nC_1 + C_2))} \tag{19}$$

$$k_{\rm sn} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln((V_{\rm max}C_2 - V_{\rm on})/(V_{\rm max}C_2 - V_{\rm off}))}{\ln((C_2 - nC_1)/(nC_1 + C_2))}$$
(20)

3.2. Direct discharge on the storage capacitor for realistic waveform source with current consumption

In order to calculate the limit voltage in C_2 for the case with current consumption, some simplifications have been made. It has been considered that C_2 is not discharged in Region B.

In this case, the limit of the voltage in C_2 is given by the following expression:

$$V_{\max C2} = V_{\max C2} \Big|_{I_0 = 0} - \frac{I_0 (C_2 - C_1) (t_{z1} - t_{z2p})}{4C_1 C_2}$$
(21)

where $t_{z_{2p}}$ corresponds to the second time that the current waveform crosses 0 A of the previous period.

If *n* piezoelectric films are connected in parallel, the limit of the voltage in C_2 is:

$$V_{\max C2n} = V_{\max C2} \Big|_{I_0=0} - \frac{I_0(C_2 - nC_1)(t_{z1} - t_{z2p})}{4nC_1C_2}$$
(22)

Table 6 shows the value of V_{maxC2} obtained from equations in the third column and from an HSPICE simulation based on a realistic piezoelectric current source waveform. The observed difference between the simulated and calculated values of V_{maxC2} , when the current consumption of the control circuit is zero, is due to the voltage drop on diodes that has not been taken into account in our equations. The differences observed between simulated and calculated values with current consumption are due to the voltage drop on diodes and the simplification made.

Fig. 14 shows $V_{\max C2}$ as a function of C_2 for $C_1 = 22$ nF with different current consumptions. The value of $V_{\max C2}$ decreases whenever C_2 increases.

Table 6

Calculated and simulated values for VmaxC2 with different values of piezoelectric
films connected in parallel, n , and current consumption, I_0 , for $C_1 = 22 \text{ nF}$ and
$C_2 = 1 \mu\text{F}$

n	<i>I</i> _o (A)	V _{maxC2} calculated (V)	V _{maxC2} simulated (V)
1	0	12.5	12
1	20×10^{-9}	12.25	11.64
1	50×10^{-9}	11.75	11.15
1	100×10^{-9}	11	10.3
1	200×10^{-9}	9.5	8.6
1	400×10^{-9}	6.5	5.2
10	200×10^{-9}	12.26	11.6
10	400×10^{-9}	12	11.3
10	2×10^{-6}	10.1	9.06
10	4×10^{-6}	7.75	6.48



Fig. 14. V_{maxC2} as a function of C_2 with $C_1 = 22 \text{ nF}$ and n = 1 for different current consumptions of the control circuit.

The value of V_{maxC2} , as can be observed in Table 6, decreases with the current consumption. Connecting piezoelectric films in parallel increases the value of V_{maxC2} given the same value of I_0 .

4. Control circuit

Fig. 15 shows the direct discharge circuit with its control. The circuit operation is as follows. As the piezoelectric element is repetitively stressed, the voltage in the storage capacitor increases. Whenever this voltage reaches a certain limit (V_{on}), the capacitor is connected to the regulator input and it is discharged to a lower voltage, V_{off} , providing the energy E_{req} (including the energy needed by the regulator). The capacitor is then disconnected from the load once more, allowing it to be recharged.

The control circuit can be composed of a microprocessor voltage monitor and a S–R latch. The ICL7665 is a microprocessor voltage monitor with dual over/undervoltage detection and, therefore, it has an output to detect when the voltage across C_2 is higher than V_{on} and another output to detect when the voltage across C_2 is lower than V_{off} . The ICL7665 has a current



Fig. 15. Direct discharge circuit with control and regulator circuit to supply power to the load.

consumption of 3 µA. One output of the ICL7665 can be connected to the set input, and the other to the reset input of an S-R latch in order to supply energy to the load when E_{req} is available.

5. Application

This section analyses the supply of an electronic element using the direct discharge and control circuit studied in previous sections. The electronic element to be powered can be characterized by two parameters. First, the energy needed to perform its operation, E_{req} . The second parameter is the power supply voltage of the device, V_{dd} . We assume it is fixed by a voltage regulator.

The circuit operation is as described in the previous section, with the capacitor going between V_{off} and V_{on} . The number of stress cycles, given a certain piezoelectric element and type of excitation, depends on the capacitance C_2 as well as the values of $V_{\rm on}$ and $V_{\rm off}$. It is then desirable to choose these three parameters so as to minimize the number of cycles for the recharge.

We begin by fixing the minimum allowed voltage, Voff, which must be greater than V_{dd} to allow a proper operation of the voltage regulator.

$$V_{\rm off} = V_{\rm dd} + \varepsilon \tag{23}$$

In general, the energy that must be provided by C_2 is:

$$E_2 = \frac{1}{2}C_2(V_{\rm on}^2 - V_{\rm off}^2) = \frac{1}{2}C_2(V_{\rm on}^2 - (V_{\rm dd} + \varepsilon)^2)$$
(24)

From (22), C_2 can be obtained as a function of V_{on} . Then, by substituting the C_2 obtained from (22) into (13) and into (16), we obtain the relation between the number of steps and V_{on} for the required energy.



Fig. 16. Optimum value of k_{ini} , k_s and C_2 in order to supply 100 µJ to a lowpower wearable device powered at 3 V with $C_1 = 22 \text{ nF}$ and n = 1.

during 600 µs). After the direct discharge circuit, a linear regulator is connected. The linear regulator must have a voltage greater than 3.1 V to supply the low-power device.

Magnitudes C_2 , k_{ini} and k_s from Eqs. (24)–(26), respectively, are represented in the graph in Fig. 16 as a function of V_{on} . It is observed in Fig. 16 that generically there exists a minimum value of the number of steps, k_{s,opt}, for a certain voltage across capacitor C_2 , $V_{\text{on,opt}}$. The corresponding value of C_2 for this $V_{\text{on,opt}}$ is the required capacitor, $C_{2,opt}$. If another capacitance value of C_2 is selected, the number of steps needed to ensure 100 μ J of energy to supply the low-power device increases. Therefore, the selection of the appropriate capacitance value is important in order to use fewer steps to supply power to the low-power device.

$$k_{\rm ini} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln(1 - (V_{\rm on}/V_{\rm max}c_2))}{\ln((2E_{\rm req}/(V_{\rm on}^2 - (V_{\rm dd} + \varepsilon)^2) - C_1)/(2E_{\rm req}/(V_{\rm on}^2 - (V_{\rm dd} + \varepsilon)^2) + C_1))}$$
(25)

$$k_{\rm s} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln((v_{\rm max}c_2 - v_{\rm on})/(v_{\rm max}c_2 - (v_{\rm dd} + \varepsilon)))}{\ln((2E_{\rm req}/(V_{\rm on}^2 - (V_{\rm dd} + \varepsilon)^2) - C_1)/(2E_{\rm req}/(V_{\rm on}^2 - (V_{\rm dd} + \varepsilon)^2) + C_1))}$$
(26)

.....

 k_{ini} (Eq. (25)) gives the number of steps necessary to increase the voltage from 0 V to a value V_{on} across C_2 whereas k_s (Eq. (26)) gives the number of steps necessary to increase the voltage from V_{off} to a value V_{on} across C_2 .

As a first example for the application section, a low-power wearable device that requires 100 µJ and that is powered at 3 V is considered. (For example, a circuit with 55 mA consumption

The optimum value for the capacitor and the voltage across capacitor C_2 is not the same for k_{ini} (the number of cycles for the first initial charge) as for k_s (the number of cycles for recharging). However, the most appropriate value of C_2 will be the one that minimizes k_s , $C_{2,opt}$, since the initial charge cycle takes place only once in the supply of the low-power device.

Table /				
Parameters to obtain	100 µ.I for	$V_{max} c_2 =$	12.5 V an	$dV_{44} = 3V$

n	$V_{\text{on,opt}}$ (V)	$k_{\rm ini,opt}/k_{\rm s,opt}$	C _{2,opt} (µF)
1	7.7	43.8/30.75	4.02
10	7.73	4.36/3.07	3.99

Table 8

Parameters to obtain 100 μ J for $V_{maxC2} = 12.5$ V and $V_{dd} = 5$ V

n	V _{on,opt} (V)	kini,opt/ks,opt	$C_{2,\text{opt}}$ (μ F)
1	6.81	87.8/29.3	9.8
10	6.82	8.74/2.93	9.75

Table 9

Parameters to obtain 100 μ J for V_{off} = 3.1 V with a current consumption

n	$I_{\rm o}$ (A)	$V_{\text{on,opt}}(\mathbf{V})$	$k_{\rm ini,opt}/k_{\rm s,opt}$	$C_{2,\text{opt}}$ (μ F)
1	200×10^{-9}	6.41	81.8/58.1	6.36
1	400×10^{-9}	3.25	1445/111.5	176
10	200×10^{-9}	7.5	4.65/3.2	4.3
10	400×10^{-9}	7.36	4.9/3.4	4.5
10	2×10^{-6}	5.74	9/5.16	8.56
10	4×10^{-6}	3.47	72.8/11.1	82

Table 7 shows the simulated optimum values, $k_{s,opt}$, $k_{ini,opt}$, $V_{on,opt}$ and $C_{2,opt}$ obtained for the case represented in Fig. 16 and for the same case but with n = 10. The parallel connection of piezoelectric films reduces the number of steps that are necessary in order to obtain the needed energy. The value of $V_{on,opt}$ increases slightly with n while $C_{2,opt}$ also slightly decreases its value.

The second example of the application section is for a lowpower wearable device that requires 100 μ J and that is powered at 5 V. It is considered that the linear regulator must have a voltage greater than 5.1 V to supply power to the low-power device. Table 8 shows the simulated optimum values, $k_{s,opt}$, $k_{ini,opt}$, $V_{on,opt}$ and $C_{2,opt}$ obtained for this case.

An increase in the voltage supply of the low-power device, and therefore in V_{off} , causes an increase of the number of cycles for the first initial charge, the corresponding optimum value of V_{on} and the optimum value of C_2 . Nevertheless, the number of cycles for the recharging case is reduced as well the optimum value of V_{on} , whereas the optimum value of C_2 increases.

Table 9 shows the case of a current consumption. Increases in the current consumption produce an increase in the number of cycles for the first initial charge and for the recharge, as well as an increase in the optimum value of C_2 . Nevertheless, the optimum value of V_{on} is reduced.

6. Conclusions

The choice of the storage capacitor and the range of voltage when it should be connected are not arbitrary, but depend on the energy requirements of the load and the piezoelectric characteristics. This paper presents a simple method to choose appropriate values for minimum time between operations of the electronic device. It is interesting to note that if a higher V_{off} voltage is chosen, the optimum V_{on} is closer to V_{off} and, therefore, the number of required cycles for recharging is somewhat lower. This is at the cost of a higher initial number of cycles, due to the greater capacitance that is needed.

The present analysis also considers the control circuit consumption. With the increase of the current consumption of the control circuit the value of V_{maxC2} decreases, and it is also observed that the optimum V_{on} is closer to V_{off} . Finally, the numbers of required cycles for recharges and for the initial charge increase their value, as does the value of the storage capacitance.

References

- L. Mateu, F. Moll, Optimum piezoelectric bending beam structures for energy harvesting using shoe inserts, J. Intell. Mater., Syst. Struct. 16 (2005) 835–845.
- [2] S. Roundy, P.K. Wright, J. Rabaey, Energy scavenging for wireless sensor networks, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004, pp. 51–114.
- [3] N. Shenck, A demonstration of useful electric energy generation from piezoceramics in a shoe, MSc. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Techology, Massachusetts, 1999.
- [4] G.K. Ottman, H.F. Hofmann, A.C. Bhatt, G.A. Lesieutre, Adaptive piezoelectric energy harvesting circuit for wireless remote power supply, IEEE Trans. Power Electron. 17 (2002) 669–676.
- [5] Measurement Specialities, Piezo Film Sensors, Technical Manual, http://www.msiusa.com.

Biographies

Loreto Mateu received her MSc in electronic engineering from the Polytechnical University of Catalonia in 2002. At present, she is a PhD student in the Department of Electronic Engineering, Technical University of Catalonia, Spain. Her area of research is energy harvesting to power wearable devices. Her focus is on piezoelectric materials, thermoelectric generators, and electromagnetic power conversion. In addition, she is also interested in DC–DC converters to power wearable devices from harvested electrical energy.

Francesc Moll received the equivalent of an MSc degree in physics from the University of Balearic Islands (UIB), Spain, in 1991, and a PhD degree in electronic engineering from the Technical University of Catalonia (UPC), Spain, in 1995. Since 1991, he has been with the Department of Electronic Engineering, Technical University of Catalonia, Spain, where he is currently an associate professor. His current research activities include methods for energy harvesting oriented towards low-power RF communication devices.

Chapter 11

Paper 4: Physics-Based Time-Domain Model of a Magnetic Induction Microgenerator

Loreto Mateu, Carlos Villavieja and Francesc Moll

IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 992–1001, 2007.

Physics-Based Time-Domain Model of a Magnetic Induction Microgenerator

Loreto Mateu¹, Carlos Villavieja², and Francesc Moll¹

¹High Performance IC Design Group, Department of Electronic Engineering, Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya, 08034 Barcelona, Spain

²High Performance Computing Group, Department of Computer Architecture, Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya, 08034 Barcelona, Spain

This paper presents a study of a time-domain model of a magnetic induction microgenerator for energy harvesting applications. The model is based on a simple structure for which an analytical expression of the magnetic field distribution can be computed. From this analytical expression, geometric parameters that are not taken into account in the previous literature on microgenerators are considered. Starting from the magnetic field distribution in space of a circular current loop, the paper derives the induced electromotive force in a coil depending on the distance to the magnet. Simulations give insight into the validity of linear models implicitly assumed in frequency-domain analysis of these systems.

Index Terms-Electromechanical model, energy harvesting, microgenerators.

I. INTRODUCTION

SINCE the beginning of the electronic industry, a continuous trend of size reduction and functionality increase has been observed. Nowadays, handheld systems are powerful enough to allow the user to play music, to wirelessly communicate, or to compute practically everywhere. The size of such devices is becoming so small that instead of portable devices they are becoming wearable devices that can be integrated in everyday use objects like watches, glasses, clothes, etc. [1].

As devices are becoming portable, the power supply must also become smaller. The size of the electronic circuitry and the energy needed to perform a single (binary) operation [2] has been drastically reduced during the last decades, following Moore's law. Therefore, advances in low power design open the possibility to harvest energy from the environment to power electronic circuits, generated from either kinetic, electromagnetic, or thermal energy [3]. The obtained energy can then be used to recharge a secondary battery or, in some applications, to directly power the electronics.

One of the best known methods of mechanical to electrical energy conversion is the use of magnetic induction devices based on Faraday's law. Mitcheson *et al.* [4] classify the inductive energy harvesting microgenerators as velocity-damped resonant generators (VDRGs), considering that the damping force is due to the magnetic field. Other works like [6] and [7] define a damping factor, ζ , resulting from the addition of a mechanical damping factor (corresponding to mechanical losses due to friction) and an electromagnetic damping factor (corresponding to the magnetic field). Previous works modeling electromagnetic induction microgenerators [4]–[9] describe the response of the system in the frequency domain. The frequency-domain analysis gives insight on important design parameters, especially regarding the mechanical resonance oscillation frequency of the converter in relation to the frequency spectrum of input accelerations. It is especially appropriate for accelerations due to vibrating machinery [10].

However, the mechanical excitation of a converter is in general irregular in time when one considers the conversion from human motion, for example. In this situation, the magnitude and frequency spectrum of the accelerations are very different from one activity to another and they also change throughout the same activity. It is indeed possible to obtain from frequency-domain analysis some electrical magnitudes (e.g., peak power), but other magnitudes, like accumulated energy, can only be obtained from time-domain analysis. Perhaps more importantly, frequency-domain models rely on linear approximations of the mechanical and electrical system (small swing oscillations of the mass in the magnetic field, and a linear electrical load). Large swing oscillations are therefore not adequately modeled.

All the restrictions mentioned above can be overcome by time-domain models as the one presented in this work. The frequency information is in this way complemented by a time-domain description that gives insight to design parameters as geometry of the magnet and coil, elastic constant and mass, or electrical load. Moreover, the time-domain analysis allows to calculate the energy recovered by the microgenerator. Energy is a more valuable information than power to estimate the operations that can be made by the electrical load, because the generated instantaneous power is usually too small and it is generated for too short intervals to be directly used. Therefore, energy must be stored in a capacitor or a battery to be used by the system at determined intervals.

The objective of this paper is to illustrate the use of nonlinear time-domain models and compare the results to simpler

0018-9464/\$25.00 © 2007 IEEE

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TMAG.2006.888194



Fig. 1. Electromechanical coupling.

linear models. Here a simple geometric configuration is presented consisting on a circular coil moving above a flat cylindrical magnet. It is possible to find for this structure analytical expressions of the magnetic flux and force in the magnet-coil system. While this configuration is clearly suboptimal for real energy harvesting applications, it points out the differences between a nonlinear time-domain model and a linear model used implicitly in frequency-domain analysis.

The structure of the paper is divided into the following sections. The expressions for electromagnetic flux and force are presented in Section II. In Section III, these expressions are computed for several particular geometries and the numerical results are then fitted to a rational expression for the generated voltage and electromagnetic damping force. This allows to construct a simplified but accurate time-domain model that takes into account physical parameters, such as geometrical dimensions, electromagnetic field intensity, or mechanical parameters. Section IV shows several simulations of the time-domain model employing different kinds of external accelerations. Finally, Section V presents the main conclusions of the paper.

II. FORMULATION

The principle of electromagnetic induction microgenerators is the generation of a current induced on a coil by a moving (relative to the coil) magnet. The relative motion produces a change in the electromagnetic flux through the coil Φ_m that causes an electromotive force (EMF) in the coil $\varepsilon_{\rm ind}$, following Faraday's law

$$\varepsilon_{\rm ind} = -\frac{d\Phi_m}{dt}.$$
 (1)

169

This induced EMF will generate a current related to the electrical load in the coil that in turn generates a force due to the electromagnetic field and this force will interact with the motion (see Fig. 1). Therefore, there is a coupling between the mechanical and electrical part of the system that only a mixed-mode simulation can accurately model.

The electromagnetic flux and force must be calculated from the spatial distribution of the electromagnetic field. The electromagnetic field distribution of a magnet is similar to the distribution of a circular current loop of the same plane dimensions as the magnet. It is assumed that this is true for thin magnets, in which the thickness is much smaller than the diameter, as can be seen in Fig. 2. The expressions for the electromagnetic field of a circular current loop can be found in the literature [11].

A. Magnetic Field of a Current Loop

The problem presents a cylindrical symmetry, so that it is more convenient to use cylindrical coordinates, and to decom-



Fig. 2. Magnetic field lines of thin magnet (a) and current loop (b) of radius a.



Fig. 3. Magnetic field of a thin magnet of radius a in cylindrical coordinates.

pose the field vector in a vertical B_z and a radial B_r component (see Fig. 3).

The solution of the Biot–Savart equations adapted from [11] uses nondimensional geometrical parameters α, β, Q , and k defined as

β

$$\alpha = \frac{r}{a} \tag{2}$$

$$=\frac{z}{a}$$
 (3)

$$Q = (1+\alpha)^2 + \beta^2 \tag{4}$$

$$k = \sqrt{\frac{4\alpha}{Q}} \tag{5}$$

where a is the radius of the cylindrical magnet originating the magnetic field, and r and z are the cylindrical coordinates, taking as the origin the center of the magnet. With these nondimensional parameters, the components of the field are

$$B_{z}(\alpha,\beta) = B_{0} \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{Q}} \left(E_{\rm E}(k) \frac{1-\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}{Q-4\alpha} + E_{\rm K}(k) \right) \quad (6)$$

$$B_r(\alpha,\beta) = B_0 \frac{\beta/\alpha}{\pi\sqrt{Q}} \left(E_{\rm E}(k) \frac{1+\alpha^2+\beta^2}{Q-4\alpha} - E_{\rm K}(k) \right)$$
(7)

where B_0 is the field at the origin. For a current loop, its value is $\mu_0 I/2a$. $E_E(k)$ and $E_K(k)$ are the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind respectively, defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{E}}(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta} d\theta \tag{8}$$

$$E_{\rm K}(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}}.$$
 (9)



Fig. 4. Forces on coil due to induced current for vertical motion with respect to a fixed magnet.

B. Induced Current and Force on a Coil

In this paper, we consider a configuration consisting of a thin cylindrical magnet and a parallel circular coil such that both centers are aligned along the vertical axis $\alpha = 0$. The coil moves vertically along the vertical axis. This case presents radial symmetry, and the total flux linked with the coil at a scaled vertical distance β , $\Phi_m(\beta)$, can be calculated as

$$\Phi_m(\beta) = 2\pi a^2 \int_0^{\alpha_{\rm coil}} \alpha B_z(\alpha, \beta) \, d\alpha \tag{10}$$

where α and β are the normalized radial and vertical coordinates, respectively, and $\alpha_{\rm coil}$ is the normalized coil radius $r_{\rm coil}/a$.

On the other hand, the induced current produced by the EMF will interact with the electromagnetic field generating a mechanical force. The current is perpendicular to both r and z, and therefore, the force has two components; one is radial and the other is vertical, both tending to reduce the flux variation. The radial force tends to compensate the coil area with the flux variation, and the vertical force tends to oppose the motion. The mechanical equations must take into account the electromagnetic force, which depends in a complex way on the induced current, related to the induced EMF by the circuit connected to the coil, and on the position and the velocity of the coil.

The radial components of the mechanical force at opposing sides of the coil are in opposite directions and equal in magnitude due to the symmetry of the problem, as illustrated in Fig. 4. On the other hand, there is a net vertical force, that causes a motion damping. Its magnitude is given by the product of the radial component of the field evaluated at the coil's radius, and the magnitude of the induced current, integrated along the coil's length:

$$F_z(\beta) = 2\pi a \alpha_{\text{coil}} I_{\text{ind}} B_r(\alpha_{\text{coil}}, \beta). \tag{11}$$

The magnetic field depends on nondimensional variables and, therefore, it is invariant if the geometry of the magnet and coil system is proportionally scaled. On the other hand, the magnetic flux and the force depend on the absolute value of the magnet's radius a and the coil's radius $r_{\rm coil}$, respectively, and they decrease when dimensions are reduced.

The previous expressions for the flux and force must be calculated numerically for particular cases. The calculations have been carried out using the mathematics package Maple [12]. The loop's radius a is 2 cm in the calculations considered here, but



Fig. 5. Comparison between calculated flux and force (with points, *num* label) and approximate rational functions (continuous lines, *rat* label) for vertical motion of a coil above a magnet.

the results for other values of radius are easily derived because the expressions for flux and force are strictly proportional to a^2 and a, respectively.

Now, in order to use these results in a mixed simulator, like Ptolemy II [13] or others [14], it is necessary to extract a simplified analytical expression of the flux and force as a function of coil distance. This is presented in the next section.

III. MODEL OF THE MICROGENERATOR

It is important to reduce the computational complexity of the expressions for the flux and force, so that a transient simulator can calculate the response of the system in reasonable time. The results of the Maple computations of magnetic flux and force were plotted against normalized coil position (β) for several geometries (α_{coil}). Fig. 5 shows these plots (*num* labels for the curves obtained from computations). From the numerical plots, it is possible to fit an appropriate function. Given the monotonical behavior of the curves, we chose a rational approximation, where several parameters are introduced arbitrarily so as to achieve a satisfactory fitting.

A. Rational Approximation for the Flux and EMF

Observing the flux computed numerically with Maple, Fig. 5(a), it is seen that for short vertical distances ($\beta \ll 1$),

TABLE I PARAMETERS OF THE RATIONAL APPROXIMATION FOR THE FLUX, FOLLOWING (12)

(
	0.0	0.5	α_{coil}	1.0	1.0
	0.2	0.5	0.8	1.0	1.2
A_e	0.02	0.14	0.46	2.08	0.63
C_e	1.39	2.00	3.58	7.21	2.91
D_e	0.51	0.40	0.46	8.7	0.29
f	1.92	1.90	1.63	0.62	1.56
g	3.32	3.52	3.70	2.4	3.66
stdfit	1.23E-8	1.61E-7	1.73E-6	4.25E-6	2.35E-6

the flux is almost constant. Therefore, after trying several candidates, we selected the form of the rational approximation as

$$\Phi_m(\beta) \approx 2\pi a^2 B_0 \frac{A_e}{1 + C_e \beta^f + D_e \beta^g}.$$
 (12)

The values of the parameters in expression (12) obtained from a least squares fitting procedure are shown in Table I. The last row corresponds to the reduced chi-square of the fit, stdfit, equal to

$$\texttt{stdfit} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - y_i)^2 / n} \tag{13}$$

where N is the number of data points, and n is the number of degrees of freedom (data points minus number of parameters in the function). To consider a satisfactory fitting, the value of stdfit must be two or three orders of magnitude smaller than the minimum value obtained from (10). Given that the minimum value computed is on the order of 1E-4 (values in Fig. 5(a) divided by $2\pi a^2$), the stdfit values can be considered small and the fitting adequate.

With this expression for the flux, the induced EMF is obtained taking the derivate of (12)

$$\varepsilon_{\rm ind} \approx 2\pi a B_0 \upsilon(\alpha_{\rm coil}, \beta) \dot{z}$$
 (14)

where

$$\upsilon(\alpha_{\text{coil}},\beta) = \frac{A_e \left(f C_e \beta^{f-1} + g D_e \beta^{g-1} \right)}{\left(1 + C_e \beta^f + D_e \beta^g \right)^2}.$$
 (15)

In the literature on the modeling of inductive microgenerators, the induced EMF is simplified as

$$\varepsilon_{\rm ind} = lB_e \dot{z} = 2\pi r_{\rm coil} B_e \dot{z} \tag{16}$$

where B_e is an effective electromagnetic field intensity. This last expression corresponds to a first-order approximation of the flux variation, neglecting variations with β in expression (15) and thus valid only for small displacements from a given distance of the coil to the magnet, z_0 . Fig. 6 shows a plot of function $\upsilon(\alpha_{\rm coil},\beta)$ in (14), where it can be estimated the range of vertical motion where this function is nearly constant (EMF strictly proportional to \dot{z}). This range, where the linear approximation is



Fig. 6. Normalized EMF corresponding to function $v(\alpha_{coil}, \beta)$ in (14) for several values of α_{coil} between 0.2 and 1.2.



Fig. 7. Measurements of generated voltage when a magnet vibrates above a spiral inductor. The magnet is located 2 cm above the spiral plane and its initial position is in contact with it. The vibration amplitude decreases with time due to mechanical losses.

valid, is larger for β slightly smaller than unity. The nonlinearity of the model is observable for systems more complex than the geometric configuration considered here. For example, Fig. 7 shows the measured voltage waveform of a magnet moving sinusoidally above a planar spiral inductor. The voltage waveform is not sinusoidal for large swing oscillations, as it should be according to (16).

Another important problem with the linear approximation is that it is difficult to compute the value of B_e , because it depends on the distance z_0 , on the magnet strength, as well as on magnet and coil dimensions.

Instead, the model presented in this paper gives a sounder method to compute the EMF for different distances, and it is also valid for larger swing vibrations.

B. Rational Approximation for the Electromagnetic Damping Force

From the computed results for the vertical electromagnetic force, we try an approximate expression of the form

$$F_z(\beta) \approx 2\pi a B_0 I_{\text{ind}} \varphi(\alpha_{\text{coil}}, \beta)$$
 (17)

TABLE II PARAMETERS OF THE RATIONAL APPROXIMATION FOR THE FORCE, FOLLOWING (17)

	$lpha_{coil}$					
	0.2	0.5	0.8	1.2		
A_f	0.0025	0.16	4.32	12.09		
C_{f}	2.88	5.14	26.28	25.75		
D_f	2.28	4.16	21.15	14.70		
h	3.02	3.01	3.00	3.00		
i	5.31	5.18	4.95	4.87		
stdfit	1 36E-7	5.00E-6	5.00E-5	1 20E-4		

TABLE III Parameters of the Rational Approximation for the Force Case $\alpha_{\rm coil}=1,$ Following (19)

	Parameter					
	A'_f	C'_f	D'_f	h'	i'	stdfit
α_{coil}	0.28	0.89	0.68	3.00	4.76	8.4E-4

where again we consider a rational function. From the numerical results computed for the force and plotted in Fig. 5(b) it is seen that for small β the force is not constant as happened with the flux. Thus, we tried a rational approximation of the form

$$\varphi(\alpha_{\text{coil}},\beta) = \frac{A_f}{\beta + C_f \beta^h + D_f \beta^i}.$$
 (18)

The behavior for $\alpha_{coil} = 1$ is different, and in this case a different rational approximation is taken as explained below. The values of the parameters in (17) are shown in Table II. The amplitude A_f increases with α_{coil} , as should be expected.

With respect to the case $\alpha_{coil} = 1$, the above expression is not easily fitted. The following approximation was tried:

$$F_z(\beta) \approx 2\pi a B_0 I_{\rm ind} \frac{A'_f}{C'_f \beta^{h'} + D'_f \beta^{i'}}.$$
 (19)

The results for $\alpha_{coil} = 1.2$ and especially for $\alpha_{coil} = 1$ (shown in Table III) show a rather large statistical uncertainty in the parameters, represented by the value of stdfit. However, the adjustment can be considered fairly good by visual inspection of Fig. 5(b).

C. Equations of Motion

With the above expressions, the model can be built at a moderate computational cost. Let us assume a one-dimensional motion in the z variable, with a magnet of mass m suspended from an elastic support with Hooke's constant k above a coil loaded with an electrical resistance R. A mechanical damping force due to friction can also be introduced. The equations of motion are

$$m\ddot{z} = (F_k + F_e + F_m + F_{\text{ext}}) \tag{20}$$

where F_k, F_e, F_m , and F_{ext} are respectively elastic force, electromagnetic damping force, frictional mechanical damping force, and external force. Mechanical damping force F_m is difficult to model because several loss mechanisms may be present. Such an analysis exceeds the object of this paper and,



Fig. 8. Factor of proportionality of z in F_e , corresponding to $v(\alpha_{coil}, \beta) \cdot \varphi(\alpha_{coil}, \beta)$ in (23). α_{coil} between 0.2 and 1.2.

therefore, we consider a linear loss model. The forces are given by

$$F_k = -k(z - z_0) \tag{21}$$

$$F_e = -N2\pi a B_0 I_{\text{ind}} \varphi(\alpha_{\text{coil}}, \beta)$$
(22)

$$\overline{r}_m = -b\dot{z}$$
 (23)

where k is the spring constant, z_0 is the distance at rest, b is the mechanical damping coefficient, N is the number of parallel coils assuming the total height of the coils is much smaller than the distance to the magnet, and $\varphi(\alpha_{\text{coil}}, \beta)$ is the rational approximation in (17) or (19).

1

The equations of the electrical system are given by the relation between voltage and current. For a resistive load and low mechanical oscillation frequencies, so that the self-inductance of the coil may be neglected, the equations are

$$\varepsilon_{\text{ind}} = N2\pi a B_0 v(\alpha_{\text{coil}}, \beta) \dot{z}$$
(24)
$$I_{\text{ind}} = \varepsilon_{\text{ind}} / R$$
(25)

$$I_{\rm ind} \equiv \varepsilon_{\rm ind} / \hbar$$
 (2.

where $v(\alpha_{\text{coil}},\beta)$ is the rational approximations in (14).

The electromagnetic damping force can be expressed as a proportionality function of velocity \dot{z}

$$F_e = -\frac{(N2\pi aB_0)^2}{R} \upsilon(\alpha_{\text{coil}},\beta)\varphi(\alpha_{\text{coil}},\beta)\dot{z}.$$
 (26)

A linear model is valid for a constant proportionality function, independent of β . On the other hand, the consideration of a large motion amplitude makes this proportionality function strongly dependent on position, and therefore makes the equation of motion nonlinear. Fig. 8 plots the proportionality factor of \dot{z} as a function of mass position β . As shown, small vibrations result in an expression of F_e closely proportional to \dot{z} , especially when moving in the range of $\beta \ll 1$. With respect to ε_{ind} , it can also be considered as proportional to \dot{z} only for small displacements (see Fig. 6). Otherwise, nonlinear effects will dominate for β amplitudes greater than or on the order of 0.5.

In a linear damped oscillation equation, the damping factor is a useful quantitative measure of the motion. In our nonlinear equation, we will use an analog electromagnetic damping factor definition. Although this definition does not correspond to the

	$z_0 = 50 \text{ mm} (\beta_0 = 2.5)$						
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{1sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e		
4	0.067	2.2E-11	45E-3	1.4	17.9E-6		
200	0.068	2.3e-11	7E-3	10.1	6.07E-8		
79000	20.98	1.5E-6	196E-3	200.06			
	$z_0 = 10$	$\beta mm (\beta_0 = 0)$).5)				
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{1sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζe		
4	1.27	7.6E-9	44.5E-3	1.4	3.2E-3		
200	1.27	7.9E-9	7E-3	10.1	4.53E-4		
79000	390	503.8E-6	193E-3	200.06			
	$z_0 = 2$	mm ($\beta_0 = 0$.1)				
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{1sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζe		
4	1.7	9.9E-9	30.5E-3	1.4	1.43E-1		
200	1.7	9.7E-9	6E-3	10.1	2.03E-2		
79000	295 5	375E-6	109 5E-3	200.06			

TABLE IV

NONLINEAR MODEL SIMULATION RESULTS FOR ±5 m/s² SINUSOIDAL AT 200

Hz With b = 0

TABLE V Nonlinear Model Simulation Results for $\pm 5 \text{ m/s}^2$ Sinusoidal at 2 Hz With b = 0

	2	$t_0 = 50 \text{ mm}$ ($\beta_0 = 2.5$)		
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{1sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζe
20	15.3	481.6E-9	3.07	3.2	192E-9
200	0.265	380E-12	140E-3	10.1	60.7E-9
2000	0.027	3.6E-12	13E-3	31.8	19.2E-9
	2	$t_0 = 10 \text{ mm}$ (4)	$\beta_0 = 0.5$)		
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{1sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζe
40	36.2	4.4E-6	1	4.5	1.01E-3
200	5.1	1.3E-7	138.5E-3	10.1	452.7E-6
2000	0.5	1.2E-9	13E-3	31.8	1.43E-4
	;	$z_0 = 2 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	$l_0 = 0.1$)		
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{1sec} (J)	$\Delta \beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζe
200	6.7	0.2E-6	131E-3	10.1	2.03E-2
2000	0.66	1.6E-9	13E-3	31.8	6.4E-3

mathematical solution, it is a qualitative measure of the importance of the electromagnetic damping. We define an electromagnetic damping factor and a mechanical damping factor as

$$\zeta_e = \frac{-F_e(z=z_0)}{2\omega_0 m \dot{z}} = \frac{(N2\pi a B_0)^2 v(\alpha_{\rm coil},\beta_0)\varphi(\alpha_{\rm coil},\beta_0)}{R2\omega_0 m}$$
(27)

$$\zeta_m = \frac{-F_m}{2\omega_0 m \dot{z}} = \frac{b}{2\omega_0 m} \tag{28}$$

where $\omega_0 = \sqrt{k/m}$ is the natural frequency of the mechanical oscillator. A small value of the damping factors implies a larger motion amplitude and velocity, and consequently, a larger generated electrical power and energy. Therefore, these factors give an idea of the efficiency in generating energy. The smaller the damping factors are, the better the efficiency, which means that mechanical systems with large ω_0 will have, in principle, a better efficiency.

We also use the damping factors to compare a linear model with the complete nonlinear model.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The model equations obtained above have been used to build a Ptolemy II model, that uses Ptolemy's built-in time-domain simulator. Ptolemy [15] is an open source tool for modeling and



Fig. 9. Comparison between linear and nonlinear model computations for $z_0 = 50$ mm, 200 Hz, of peak power (a) and energy after 1 s (b).

simulation of concurrent and hybrid systems. In this paper it is only used for its time-domain simulation capabilities, although the tool's applications are much more general [13].

We will study the system by changing several parameters: initial distance between coil and magnet, mechanical spring constant, to vary the mechanical oscillation frequency, and mechanical damping coefficient. For each parameter variation two different types of mechanical excitation, external acceleration waveform, are applied: a sinusoidal excitation with two different frequencies and a waveform obtained from measurement of a person walking.

Considering that the microgenerator could be integrated with a personal electronic device, we selected rather arbitrarily the following dimensions and parameters: proof mass m = 50 g, maximum electromagnetic field $B_0 = 0.5$ T, magnet radius a = 2 cm, coil radius $r_{\text{coil}} = 2.4$ cm, number of turns of the coil N = 5, and load resistance $R = 50 \Omega$.

A. Sinusoidal Acceleration

A sinusoidal acceleration is an appropriate model for a mechanical vibration. We consider two cases: low-frequency (2 Hz), and mid-frequency (200 Hz) vibrations (Tables IV and V, respectively). Low-frequency vibrations are the main components of human motion (analyzed in more detail below),



Fig. 10. Comparison between linear and nonlinear model computations for $z_0 = 50$ mm, 2 Hz, of peak power (a) and energy after 1 s (b).

while other vibration sources (machines and furniture) will present higher frequencies.

The results show that, as frequency-domain analysis predicts, the effectiveness of energy conversion depends largely on the proximity between acceleration frequency and natural frequency of the oscillator ($f_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$). A significant amount of energy is generated when the oscillator is close to resonance even for a large distance between coil and magnet. Conversely, when acceleration frequency is very different from resonance frequency, a very small amount of energy is generated although the distance is very short.

For the frequencies considered here, 2 and 200 Hz, and the mass of 50 g, resonance condition is achieved by $k \approx 8$ N/m and $k \approx 80\,000$ N/m, respectively. The case of mid-frequency gives more energy because the parameter ζ_e is smaller than the one at low frequency.

There is a modeling problem when working at resonance frequencies, that is, the large displacement of the magnet may collide with the coil. This kind of phenomenon is best observed in the time-domain model, and poses a limitation in the distance between magnet and coil at rest, z_0 , as well as the value of the spring constant, k. For this reason, the resonance condition (k = 8 N/m) is not included in Table V. $\Delta\beta$ is defined as the maximum displacement above z_0 minus the maximum displacement below z_0 over the magnet radius:

$$\Delta\beta = \frac{z_{\max} - z_{\min}}{a} \tag{29}$$



Fig. 11. Measured acceleration at the knee of a person walking.

	2	$r_{0} = 50 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	a - 25		
k (N/m)	Vneak (mV)	$\frac{10}{E_{10sec}}$ (J)	$\frac{0 - 2.3)}{\Delta \beta}$	f_0 (Hz)	Çe.
400	25.8	11.5E-6	2.08	14.2	4.29E-8
2000	1.43	53E-9	110E-3	31.8	1.92E-8
8000	3.74	430E-9	115E-3	63.7	9.6E-9
80000	0.375	2.32E-9	3.5E-3	201.3	3.04E-9
	2	$a_0 = 10 \text{ mm} (\beta)$	$_0 = 0.5)$		
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{10sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζe
2000	26.5	18E-6	107E-3	31.8	1.43E-4
8000	65.4	130E-6	104.5E-3	63.7	7.16E-5
80000	6.82	690E-9	3.5E-3	201.3	2.26E-5
		$z_0 = 2 \text{ mm} (\beta_0)$	0 = 0.1)		
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{10sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e
2000	32.8	5.9E-6	84E-3	31.8	6.4E-3
8000	33.5	12E-6	41.5E-3	63.7	3.2E-3
80000	5.1	130E-9	2E-3	201.3	1E-3

TABLE VI NONLINEAR MODEL SIMULATION RESULTS FOR MEASURED ACCELERATION AT

THE KNEE OF A PERSON WALKING. MECHANICAL DAMPING CONSTANT: b = 0

Figs. 9 and 10 show the comparison between computations of power and energy using a nonlinear or a linear model for the frequencies of 200 and 2 Hz, respectively. For the case represented in Fig. 9, there is no difference between the nonlinear and the linear model since the movement of the magnet is small; $\Delta\beta$ has a value between 6E - 3 and 196E - 3. In Fig. 10, where $\Delta\beta_0 = 2.5$, it can be shown a difference for the case of k = 20 N/m where $\Delta\beta = 3.07$. However, for the other two cases: k = 200 N/m ($\Delta\beta = 140E - 3$) and k = 2000 N/m ($\Delta\beta = 13E - 3$), the difference between nonlinear and linear model is inappreciable. The rest of cases studied in Tables IV and V present almost no difference between a linear or nonlinear model.

B. Measured Acceleration for Human Walking Motion

We measured the acceleration at the knee of a person walking. With this acceleration, the time-domain response of the microgenerator is obtained. In this case, a frequency-domain analysis is difficult to apply and the advantage of the time-domain model is evident. The acceleration data (shown in Fig. 11) have been obtained employing the ADXL202 accelerometer of the Mica2 sensor board [16].

Tables VI and VII for human walking acceleration show two different cases: b = 0, and b = 0.1, respectively. The external
		$z_0 = 50 \text{ m}$	m ($\beta_0 = 2.5$	5)		
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{10sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
30	73	11E-6	4.43	3.9	1.57E-7	4.1E-3
50	10.4	1.29E-6	2.5	5.03	1.21E-7	3.2E-2
400	7.2	5.3E-7	850E-3	14.2	4.29E-8	1.1E-2
2000	1.24	1.2E-8	84.5E-3	31.8	1.92E-8	5E-3
8000	1.42	2.5E-8	43.5E-3	63.7	9.6E-9	2.5E-3
80000	0.214	2.6E-10	2E-3	201.3	3.04E-9	7.9E-4
		$z_0 = 10 \text{ m}$	m ($\beta_0 = 0.5$	5)		
k (N/m)	V_{peak} (mV)	E_{10sec} (J)	$\Delta\beta$	f_0 (Hz)	ζ_e	ζ_m
400	147	180E-6	836.5E-3	14.2	3.2E-4	1.1E-2
400 2000	147 23.1	180E-6 4.2E-6	836.5E-3 84.5E-3	14.2 31.8	3.2E-4 1.43E-4	1.1E-2 5E-3
400 2000 8000	147 23.1 26.6	180E-6 4.2E-6 8.5E-6	836.5E-3 84.5E-3 43.5E-3	14.2 31.8 63.7	3.2E-4 1.43E-4 7.16E-5	1.1E-2 5E-3 2.5E-3
400 2000 8000 80000	147 23.1 26.6 3.98	180E-6 4.2E-6 8.5E-6 87.6E-9	836.5E-3 84.5E-3 43.5E-3 2E-3	14.2 31.8 63.7 201.3	3.2E-4 1.43E-4 7.16E-5 2.26E-5	1.1E-2 5E-3 2.5E-3 7.9E-4
400 2000 8000 80000	147 23.1 26.6 3.98	$ 180E-6 4.2E-6 8.5E-6 87.6E-9 z_0 = 2 mr $	$836.5E-384.5E-343.5E-32E-3n (\beta_0 = 0.1$	14.2 31.8 63.7 201.3	3.2E-4 1.43E-4 7.16E-5 2.26E-5	1.1E-2 5E-3 2.5E-3 7.9E-4
400 2000 8000 80000 k (N/m)	147 23.1 26.6 3.98	$ 180E-6 4.2E-6 8.5E-6 87.6E-9 z_0 = 2 \text{ mr} E_{10sec} \text{ (J)} $	$ \begin{array}{r} $	14.2 31.8 63.7 201.3) f ₀ (Hz)	3.2E-4 1.43E-4 7.16E-5 2.26E-5 ζ_e	1.1E-2 5E-3 2.5E-3 7.9E-4
400 2000 8000 80000 k (N/m) 2000	147 23.1 26.6 3.98 Vpeak (mV) 33.75	$180E-6 4.2E-6 8.5E-6 87.6E-9 z_0 = 2 \text{ mr} \\ E_{10sec} \text{ (J)} \\ 3.4E-6$	$ \begin{array}{r} 836.5\text{E-3} \\ 84.5\text{E-3} \\ 43.5\text{E-3} \\ 2\text{E-3} \\ \hline \mathbf{n} \ (\beta_0 = 0.1) \\ \Delta\beta \\ 85.5\text{E-3} \\ \end{array} $	14.2 31.8 63.7 201.3) f ₀ (Hz) 31.8	$3.2E-41.43E-47.16E-52.26E-5\zeta_e6.4E-3$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.1E-2 \\ 5E-3 \\ 2.5E-3 \\ 7.9E-4 \\ \hline \zeta_m \\ 5E-3 \\ \hline 5E-3 \end{array} $
400 2000 8000 80000 k (N/m) 2000 8000	147 23.1 26.6 3.98 Vpeak (mV) 33.75 29.95	$180E-64.2E-68.5E-687.6E-9z_0 = 2 \text{ mr} \\ E_{10sec} \text{ (J)} \\ 3.4E-6 \\ 6.7E-6$	$836.5E-384.5E-343.5E-32E-3n (\beta_0 = 0.1\Delta\beta85.5E-338E-3$	$ \begin{array}{r} 14.2 \\ 31.8 \\ 63.7 \\ 201.3 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{r} f_0 (Hz) \\ 31.8 \\ 63.7 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 3.2\text{E-4} \\ 1.43\text{E-4} \\ 7.16\text{E-5} \\ 2.26\text{E-5} \\ \hline \\ $	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.1E-2 \\ 5E-3 \\ 2.5E-3 \\ 7.9E-4 \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$

TABLE VII Nonlinear Model Simulation Results for Measured Acceleration at the Knee of a Person Walking. Mechanical Damping Constant: b = 0.1



Fig. 12. Acceleration spectrum for measured human walking waveform shown in Fig. 11.

acceleration for human walking motion has associated low frequencies, as can be seen in Fig. 12. Therefore, it can be expected that low values of f_0 give the best results in terms of energy. However, low values of f_0 imply high values of ζ_e and ζ_m . Therefore, a tradeoff between the parameters f_0, ζ_e , and ζ_m gives the best results.

Figs. 13 and 14 show the position of the vibrating element (magnet or coil, depending on chosen setup) and the electrical energy dissipated at the resistor in function of time, respectively.

The comparison between a linear and a nonlinear model is shown in Fig. 15 for the case of b = 0.1. A big difference can be seen between both models for the values of k = 30 N/m ($\Delta\beta = 4.43$) and k = 50 N/m ($\Delta\beta = 2.5$) since the displacements are large. For the case of k = 400 N/m ($\Delta\beta = 850E - 3$), it can be seen that the difference between the nonlinear and the linear model is slight. For the rest of the cases, there is no difference noticeable between the nonlinear and the linear model.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, it is considered a flux and force dependence on coil's position based on the physics of the problem. This consideration leads to more complex expressions for these magnitudes than assumed in previous works. However, it was not considered the magnetic field distortion due to coil proximity, or more

175



Fig. 13. Position of the proof mass when it is moved with the acceleration shown in Fig. 11. Parameters k=400 N/m, $z_0=10$ mm, $(\beta_0=0.5), b=0.1$.



Fig. 14. Energy dissipated in the load when the external acceleration shown in Fig. 11 is applied to the microgenerator. Parameters k=400 N/m, $z_0=10$ mm, $(\beta_0=0.5), b=0.1.$

complex mechanical losses than the linear model used here. The purpose of using this structure and model was to get insight on different design parameters and on the influence of nonlinear



Fig. 15. Comparison between linear and nonlinear model computations for = 50 mm, and measured acceleration of peak power (a) and energy after 10 s (b) with b = 0.1.

effects in the model. The method presented here should be applied to more realistic geometries and mechanical configurations. A detailed analysis of such structures based on finite-element computations can be carried out to compute flux and force dependence on position, and then a fitting procedure like the one performed in this paper would allow a realistic time-domain simulation

The influence of nonlinear effects was shown to be important when the value of $\Delta\beta$ is greater than 0.5. For example, in the case of the measured acceleration at the knee of a person walking with b = 0.1, the difference in the estimated energy between considering a linear or nonlinear model is 84.5% for $k\,=\,30$ N/m and $\Delta\beta\,=\,4.43,\,31.8\%$ for $k\,=\,50$ N/m and $\Delta\beta=2.5$ and 5.7% for k=400 N/m and $\Delta\beta=850E-3$ in the case of $\beta_0 = 2.5$. The difference in the estimation of the maximum power P_{peak} is in the same range of magnitude. The difference obtained in the estimation of the energy for the case of k = 400 N/m and $\Delta \beta = 836.5E - 3$ is 5.6% for $\beta_0 = 0.5$ whereas for the case of k=2000 N/m and $\Delta\beta=85.5E-3$ is 2.9% for $\beta_0 = 0.1$. In summary, it was shown that a linear model underestimates the energy that can be generated, especially in the cases where this energy is greater. This underestimation could lead to overdesigned, and therefore suboptimal microgenerator design.

The time-domain analysis presented here also allows to calculate some magnitudes that are not available in frequency domain. For example, the maximum power P_{peak} can be calculated in frequency domain, but for energy harvesting applications it is more interesting to know the value of the recovered energy during a certain time, or average power. This value is given by the time-domain analysis presented in this paper. A proper power conditioning system should be used, taking into account that the power generation is highly discontinuous.

Finally, several conclusions can be drawn with respect to the design of an electromagnetic induction energy harvesting device.

The microgenerator is best suited for acceleration waveforms with important high-frequency components, since a resonant generator in this case implies high values of f_0 . This implies that the values of ζ_e and ζ_m will be small, and therefore, a higher amount of harvested energy can be stored.

The present analysis also allows to deduce some properties of geometric scaling of the microgenerator. It was shown in (25) that the induced EMF is proportional to the product $NB_0a\dot{z}$. Assume that both magnet, coil, and distances are uniformly scaled. The velocity is related to the maximum displacement in the case of an oscillation. Thus, a reduction of vertical dimensions (implying a reduction in \dot{z}) can be compensated by an increase of horizontal dimensions (therefore increase of the magnet radius, a). A reduction of the volume of the microgenerator (both vertical and horizontal dimensions) can only be compensated by an increase of the product NB_0 .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Billinghurst and T. Starner, "Wearable devices. New ways to manage information," IEEE Computer, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 57-64, Jan. 1999.
- J. Meindl, "Low power microelectonics: Retrospect and prospect," [2] Proc. IEEE, vol. 83, no. 4, pp. 619-635, Apr. 1995.
- T. Starner and J. Paradise, , C. Piguet, Ed., "Human generated power for mobile electronics," in Low Power Electronics Design. Boca Raton FL: CRC, 2004, ch. 45
- [4] P. D. Mitcheson, T. C. Green, E. M. Yeatman, and A. S. Holmes, "Architectures for vibration-driven micropower generators," J. Microelectromech. Syst., vol. 13, no. 3, June 2004. [5] C. R. Saha, T. O'Donnell, H. Loder, S. Beeby, and J. Tudor, "Opti-
- mization of an electromagnetic energy harvesting device," IEEE Trans. Magn., vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 3509-3511, Oct. 2006
- N. N. H. Ching, G. M. H. Chan, W. J. Li, H. Y. Wong, and P. H. W. Leong, "PCB integrated micro generator for wireless systems," in Int. Symp. Smart Structures and Microsystems, Oct. 19–21, 2000.
- W. Li, T. Ho, G. Chan, P. Leong, and H. Y. Wong, "Infrared signal transmission by a laser-micromachined, vibration-induced power generator," in Proc. 43rd IEEE Midwest Symp. Circuits and Systems, 2000, vol. 1, pp. 236-239
- T. von Buren, P. Mitcheson, T. Green, E. Yeatman, A. Holmes, and G. Troster, "Optimization of inertial micropower generators for human walking motion," IEEE Sensors J., vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 28-38, 2006.
- [9] R. Amirtharajah and A. Chandrakasan, "Self-powered signal processing using vibration-based power generation," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 687-695, May 1998.
- [10] S. Ohashi and T. Matsuzuka, "Basic characteristics of the linear synchronous generator using mechanical vibration," IEEE Trans. Magn., vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 3829-3831, Oct. 2005
- [11] W. Smythe, Static and Dynamic Electricity, 3rd ed. New York: Taylor and Francis, 1989.
- [12] Maplesoft, [Online]. Available: http://www.maplesoft.com
- [13] J. Liu and E. A. Lee, "A component-based approach to modeling and simulating mixed-signal and hybrid systems," ACM Trans. Model. Comput. Simul., vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 343–368, 2002. [14] F. Pecheux, C. Lallement, and A. Vachoux, "VHDL-AMS and Ver-
- ilog-AMS as alternative hardware description languages for efficient modeling of multidiscipline systems," IEEE Trans. Comput.-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst., vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 204–225, Feb. 2005. [15] Ptolemy Project, [Online]. Available: http://ptolemy.eecs.berkeley.edu
- [16] Berkeley Mica Motes, [Online]. Available: http://www.xbow.com

Manuscript received May 23, 2006; revised November 15, 2006. Corresponding author: F. Moll (e-mail: moll@eel.upc.edu).

MATEU et al.: PHYSICS-BASED TIME-DOMAIN MODEL OF A MAGNETIC INDUCTION MICROGENERATOR

Loreto Mateu received the equivalent of the M.Sc. degree in electronic engineering from the Technical University of Catalonia (UPC), Spain, in 2002. She is a Ph.D. student in the Department of Electronic Engineering, Technical University of Catalonia.

Her area of research is energy harvesting to power wearable devices. Her focus is on piezoelectric materials, thermoelectric generators, and electromagnetic power conversion. In addition, she is also interested in dc/dc converters to power wearable devices from harvested electrical energy.

Carlos Villavieja received the equivalent of the M.Sc. degree in computer engineering from La Salle School of Engineering at Ramon Llull University in 2000.

He is a Ph.D. student and Lecturer Professor in the Department of Computer Architecture, Technical University of Catalonia (UPC), Spain. His area of research is global system optimization. He is focused in operating system resource management for embedded processors. He has been working in power management and in security at the operating system level.

Francesc Moll received the equivalent of the M.Sc. degree in physics from the University of Balearic Islands (UIB), Spain, in 1991, and the Ph.D. degree in electronic engineering from the Technical University of Catalonia (UPC), Spain, in 1995.

Since 1991, he has been with the Department of Electronic Engineering, Technical University of Catalonia, where he is currently an Associate Professor. His current research activities include methods for energy harvesting oriented to low-power microelectronic circuits.

Chapter 12

Paper 5: Human Body Energy Harvesting Thermogenerator for Sensing Applications

Loreto Mateu, Cosmin Codrea, Néstor Lucas, Markus Pollak and Peter Spies

Sensor Technologies and Applications, 2007. International Conference on SensorComm 2007, pp. 366–372, Valencia, 2007.

Human Body Energy Harvesting Thermogenerator for Sensing Applications

Loreto Mateu Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC) Electronic Engineering Department Campus Nord, Edifici C4 C/ Jordi Girona 1-3 08034 Barcelona, Spain loreto.mateu@iis.fraunhofer.de

Abstract

A low temperature thermal energy harvesting system to supply power to wireless sensing modules is introduced. The thermoelectric generator module (TEG) makes use of the temperature gradient between the human body (the heat source) and the ambient to deliver a low voltage output that is up-converted by means of a power management circuit. This regulated power source is able to reliably supply a wireless communication module that transmits the collected temperature, current and voltage measurements.

1 Introduction

The presence of ubiquitous electronics in everyday's life is rapidly growing and the complexity of these devices seems to know no limits. However, one of the most important challenges mobile devices face is the need to ensure a reliable power supply beyond the limitations posed by batteries. A solution to this problem is the development of devices capable of harvesting energy from the user's environment or from the user's body. The electrical energy can be transformed from either kinetic, electromagnetic or thermal energy employing the appropriate energy harvesting generator in each case.

The human body continuously radiates heat. Devices in direct contact to the human body can harvest this wasted energy by means of thermogenerators (TEGs). The TEG (based on the Seebeck effect) is a thermocouple module that produces an electrical voltage proportional to the temperature difference between hot and cold junctions. In our case, the TEG employs the temperature gradient between the hot (body) and cold (ambient) side of the thermopair to generate electrical energy. A significant constraint for this kind of systems relies on the relative low temperature gradient (in Cosmin Codrea, Néstor Lucas, Markus Pollak and Peter Spies Fraunhofer IIS Power Efficient Systems Department Nordostpark 93 D90411 Nrnberg, Germany peter.spies@iis.fraunhofer.de

the range of $5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ -10 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, or even lower) between the human body and the environment resulting in low voltage levels provided by the TEG.

This paper presents an energy harvesting application containing a sensor unit and a wireless communication module, as shown in Figure 1. A similar application that generates an average power of 100μ W with an output voltage of 2.4V is presented in [14].

Special care has been taken in the design of power and battery management units that convert the energy harvested from the TEG. Additionally, a procedure to obtain electrical models for TEGs and batteries is discussed, in order to build a library of models that will enable simulations of the entire system in the close future.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 shows the performed TEG characterization as well as the simulation results obtained for the implemented electrical model. Section 3 describes the power management unit. An illustration of battery management and the extraction of an electrical battery model is given in Section 4. Following Section 5 presents an application for the energy harvesting TEG, where a wireless communication module sends sensing data. Finally, Section 6 draws the main conclusions of the paper.

2 Energy Harvesting with Thermogenerators

A thermoelectric generator module mainly consists of a thermocouple comprising a p-type and n-type semiconductor connected electrically in series and thermally in parallel. The electrical connection allows to add the voltage obtained at each thermocouple due to the Seebeck effect. Therefore, the output voltage of the TEG is proportional to the number of thermocouples and to the temperature gradient between the cold and the hot side.

Several thermogenerators [1] were characterized in or-





Figure 1. System overview of the energy harvesting application.

der to determine an optimum in terms of efficiency for the present application.

2.1 Characterization of the employed thermogenerator

The small-sized (2.5 x 2.5 cm) TEG with heat sink selected for our application is shown in Figure 2. The characterization curves measured for the selected Peltier element PKE 128 A 1030 are shown in Figure 3. This figure shows the voltage as a function of current for different values of ΔT and T_H . This figure shows also the electrical power generated by the TEG as a function of its current. The TEG conversion efficiency is defined as the ratio between the output electrical power, P_o , and the input thermal power in the hot junction, q_H . q_H is a function of ΔT , the temperature difference between the hot and the cold side of the TEG, Θ_m , the thermal resistance of the TEG, α_m , the Seebeck's coefficient of the TEG and R_m , the electrical resistance of the TEG. Employing Equation 1, the efficiency for the TEG was computed. The maximum power and efficiency are obtained when the output resistance connected to the TEG is equal to R_m .

$$\eta = \frac{P_o}{q_H} \tag{1}$$

Table 1 shows the measured data T_H , ΔT and V_{oc} and the calculated thermal parameters of the TEG α_m and R_m from the measured values.

2.2 ADS Electrical model of the thermogenerator

Chavez et. al. [16] and Lineykin et. al. [19] present a SPICE model for thermoelectric elements. These models allow to make simulations of TEG based systems. This paper takes the mentioned works as a reference to develop an ADS [2] electrical model for the selected Peltron TEG. A transient response of the TEG is not necessary in our case



Figure 2. Thermogenerator with heat sink selected to power the sensors unit.

Table 1. Measured	thermal	parameters	of the
Peltron element Pl	KE 128 A	1030.	

T_H	ΔT	α_m	R_m	V_{oc}	P_{max}	η_{max}
(K)	(K)	$\left(\frac{mV}{K}\right)$	(Ω)	(V)	(mW)	(%)
312.06	6.71	49.7	10.213	0.333	2.05	0.16
322.09	11.36	46.7	10.649	0.531	4.97	0.24
332.13	16.55	44.9	11.066	0.743	9.37	0.32
342.16	21.72	44.2	11.478	0.96	15.07	0.39
352.18	26.93	43.8	11.891	1.18	21.89	0.46
362.23	32.05	43.5	12.302	1.39	29.6	0.52

since we are looking for a static characterization. Therefore, it is not necessary to include the capacitors that appear in the SPICE models [16], [19] and [20].

The simulation results are presented in Figure 3 and Table 2. In simulation 1, the values of α_m and R_m have been calculated with equations given in [19] from the values obtained from Peltron for Q_{max} , V_{max} , I_{max} , T_h and ΔT_{max} . Simulation 2 employs the datasheet values for α_m and R_m . Simulation 3 uses the values of α_m and R_m calculated from the measurements. Θ_m is the same in all the simulations, Θ_m =6.38K/W, since it is not a value given by Peltron and it can not be calculated from our measurements. Simulation 1 obtains the same results of P_{max} and η_{max} than the measurements for ΔT =6.71K and the most similar results for ΔT =11.36K. Nevertheless, simulation 3 obtains the same results of V_{oc} than the measurements.

3 Power Management Unit

The main difficulty in the design of a power management unit for a TEG is provided by the output voltage levels of the thermogenerator. These are proportional to the applied temperature gradient. Observing Table 2, it is deduced that

	$T_H(K)$	$\Delta T(K)$	$\alpha_m(mV/K)$	$R_m(\Omega)$	$V_{oc}(mV)$	$P_{max}(mW)$	η (%)
Measurement	312.21	6.71	49.7	10.213	334	2.05	0.17
Simulation 1	312.21	6.71	39	8.306	264	2.05	0.17
Simulation 2	312.21	6.71	49	7.7	329	3.52	0.26
Simulation 3	312.21	6.71	49.7	10.213	334	2.73	0.21
Measurement	322.24	11.36	46.7	10.649	530	4.97	0.24
Simulation 1	322.24	11.36	39	8.306	440	5.9	0.28
Simulation 2	322.24	11.36	49	7.7	557	10	0.43
Simulation 3	322.24	11.36	46.7	10.649	530	6.7	0.31

Table 2. Comparison of the values obtained for the Peltron element PKE 128 A 1030 from measurements and simulations.



Figure 3. Simulated output characteristic for the TEG employed in the application. Voltage, power and efficiency as a function of current for ΔT = 6.71 K and T_H =312.06 K.

it is situated in the range of 45mV per Kelvin for the PKE 128 A 1030 TEG characterized. Taking into account that the hot side of the TEG is heated up by the human body and the cold side is at ambient temperature, it can be concluded that the temperature difference between both sides of the TEG will oscillate between 5K and 10K.

The power management unit is designed to convert input voltages in the order of few hundreds of millivolts into higher output voltages to supply the wireless communication module and the sensors and eventually to store the remaining energy into a storage element like a battery or a capacitor. A picture of the PCB prototype is presented in Figure 5.

Commercial step-up (boost) DC-DC converters start with input voltages of 0.7V limited by the threshold voltage of the switching transistors. If lower input signals have to be processed, special low-threshold transistors have to be used or dedicated architectures of boost dcdc converters have to be adapted. The designed power management circuit is shown in Figure 4. It consists of a charge-pump [3] in conjunction with a step-up DC-DC converter [4]. The charge-pump employs SOI (silicon on insulator) transistors with low threshold voltages. Table 3 shows the current consumption of the charge pump with respect to the input voltages. The voltage range generated by the TEG employing human hand heat radiation covers a range from 150mV to 250mV with a maximum current of 18mA. Therefore, a low-voltage charge pump can be employed as a start-up circuit to deliver the required 0.7V for a conventional boost converter.

The charge-pump, U1, charges a high value capacitor, C2. As soon as it reaches a threshold of 1.8V, an internal transistor turns on and the stored voltage on C2 is delivered to the output. Thus, the step-up converter, U2, starts switching by means of this available start-up energy. Once the converter starts regulating and its output rises, the chargepump is not needed anymore. Therefore, the boost converter supplies its own control power by feeding the output voltage to the input of its switching circuitry by means of an internal diode of the step-up converter, D2, and the charge pump can be short-circuited by the inductor, L1, of the step-up converter. Thus, the step-up converter enters stand-alone operation mode and keeps supplying a regulated output even though the input voltage decreases below the 250mV overall start-up margin. Switching at an input voltage as low as 150mV was recorded. The output voltage of the boost converter is 3.7V since the supply voltage

182

range of the communication module selected is 3V-4V. The efficiency range of the converter for the TEG input voltages is 63%-40%.

 Table 3. Measurements of the input voltage and charge pump current consumption.

$V_{in}(mV)$	300	250	200	150	130
$I_{in}(mA)$	0.35	1.5mA	3.5mA	2.6mA	6.4mA

Care must be taken in the choice of the values of the required energy storage elements, i.e. the capacitor of the charge-pump, C2, and the inductor of the step-up-converter, L1. A trade-off must be made when dimensioning the value of the charge-pump capacitor. A high value capacitance is required to provide the necessary start-up power of the next stage, while a lower value reduces the start-up time. Thus, the lowest but still functional value was selected $(C2 = 100 \mu F)$. As the energy source is very restricted, current limitation issues are of no concern for the application. High inductor values may be employed by the step-up converter in order to reduce the minimal start-up voltage and to provide high efficiency as well. Thus a high value inductor was employed $(L1 = 330 \mu H)$. The output capacitor of the converter, C4, is critical as well. If its value is too large, the converter may be prevented from regulating the output with the available start-up energy. Here, a $1\mu F$ capacitance was employed.

A PMOS transistor, Q1, is required to delay upon powerup the connection of the converter's output to the electrical load or the energy storage element since at first it can not deliver enough energy for the stand-alone operation and the load. The time delay is set by C5 and R2 and it is in the order of tens of milliseconds. An additional circuit with MOS transistors Q2 and Q3 can be connected in order to charge the battery or supply the load only if it is verified that the TEG has an output voltage of at least 0.2V. Due to the intrinsic current limitation of thermogenerators and the voltage limitation undertaken by the DC-DC converter in conjunction with a diode, D1, no additional battery charger is needed to protect the battery against over-voltage, undervoltage or over-current.

The jumpers and external switches of the circuit allow different operation modes of the power management unit that are summarized in Table 4. In operation mode 4, the battery is connected to the input of the power management unit during a few seconds to avoid the delay due to the startup of the circuit with the very low voltage output of the TEG.



Figure 5. The PCB prototype of the power management unit.

Table 4. Operation modes of the power management unit.

Option	Description
1	Self-sufficient operation mode to power
	communication and sensor unit
2	Battery charger
3	Self-sufficient operation mode to power
	communication and sensor unit and to
	charge a battery
4	Self-sufficient operation mode with battery
	start-up

4 Energy Storage Element

In an energy harvesting system, an energy storage element can be employed for two reasons. On one hand, it permanently accumulates the available energy delivered by the thermogenerator, even though no continuous power is demanded by the electronic application. On the other hand, if excessive power bursts, e.g. upon start-up or during transmission are required, they can be drawn out of the energy buffer.

4.1 Design considerations for battery management

The battery has to be selected based on the supply voltage of the electrical load. A second SMPS needed to bridge the gap between battery and load voltages can therefore be avoided. For a supply voltage range between 2V and 2.8V two-cell-NiMH [5] or one-cell-lithium-polymer with lithium-titanium-oxide [6] batteries can be taken into account whereas for a supply voltage range between 3V and





4V, typical 3.7V lithium batteries can be selected. In this special case the battery is charged at a nominal constant voltage thus, a current-limit regulation loop is usually required to avoid overcharging during the fast charging stage of NiMH and lithium batteries [18]. The designed circuit takes advantage of the intrinsic current limiting characteristics of the TEG for charging the battery without the need of dedicated hardware. Additionally, the voltage-limit regulation loop is undertaken by the boost DC-DC converter since it provides the required charging voltage at the output of the power management unit. A battery charger is replaced though by a diode, D1, connected between the output of the regulator and the battery. Time-limited charge termination circuitry is also avoided by selecting a regulated voltage slightly below the maximum topping voltage specified by battery manufacturers. This approach is more advisable for lithium-polymer than for NiMH accumulators, since the latter suffer from memory effect degradation when the charging procedure is not completed. This topology can be enhanced in terms of reliability by placing a Zener diode that limits the charging voltage in case of failure.

The selected battery [7] is a rechargeable Lithium-Ion battery with a nominal voltage of 3.7V and a typical capacity of 150mAh since the wireless communication module supply voltage range is 3V-4V.

4.2 Battery model

An electrical battery model can enable the simulation of the complete energy harvesting circuit. The advantage of the model provided by Chen et al. [17] over the model proposed by Schweighofer et al. [21] is that it includes a circuit to estimate the state of charge of the battery in addition to the electrical model for the voltage and current characteristics of the battery. This improvement allows to compute the increase in the state of charge (SOC) employing the designed energy harvesting system. The equation that describes the electrical model of the batteries is:

$$V_{Batt} = V_{OC} + I_{Batt} R_{Series} + I_{Batt} R_S (1 - e^{(-t/\tau_S)}) + I_{Batt} R_L (1 - e^{(-t/\tau_L)})$$
(2)

where V_{OC} is the open-circuit voltage of the battery, R_{Series} is the constant part of the internal resistance of the battery, I_{Batt} is the current of the battery (positive for charging the battery and negative for discharging it), τ_L and τ_S are the cause of the long and short time constant of the battery, respectively, to a current pulse.

The electrical model of the battery includes two RC networks. The $R_L C_L$ network has a long time constant, τ_L ($\tau_L = R_L C_L$), while the $R_S C_S$ network has a short time constant, $\tau_S (\tau_S = R_S C_S)$, when a current pulse is applied to the battery. Another parameter that appears in the electrical model is the capacity of the battery, $C_{capacity}$. These parameters are expressed as a function of the SOC.

The method employed to calculate the value of these parameters is to discharge the battery with ten current pulses in order to obtain their values for ten different values of the state of charge of the battery. The duration of the discharge current pulses, t_{disch} , is calculated with Equation (3) whereas the duration of the time with current equal to zero (relaxation time, t_{relax}) that is when the parameters of the battery are calculated must be at least equal to the large time constant. Therefore, it is determined with test and error method. The calculus to obtain the values for the parameters of the battery model is done during t_{relax} since the SOC of the battery is constant.



Figure 6. Prototype of the thermogeneratorbased energy harvesting system and visualization infrastructure.

$$t_{disch} = \frac{C_{I_1}}{I_1} 3600$$
 (3)

where C_{I_1} is the capacity of the battery for a discharge current I_1 . The capacity of the battery increases with the diminution of the discharge current. Therefore, the capacity must be measured for the different discharge currents for which the battery model parameters.

The battery measurement infrastructure consists of a Basytec battery test system [8], a Metrahit multimeter [9] and a CTS temperature chamber T-40/50 [10]. The required Matlab [11] code was written employing EasyFit [12] toolbox to calculate the parameters of the electrical model of the battery. The latter has been simulated using ADS.

5 Application Example: Power-efficient Wireless Communication and Sensor Unit

A prototype system has been built based on the results provided by simulations run using the extracted electric models and the design considerations introduced in the previous sections. Figure 6 shows the deployed thermogenerator-based energy harvesting system along with the required monitoring infrasture. The main purpose was, on the one hand, to confirm the validity of our assumptions and on the other, to assess the performance of thermogenerator-based energy harvesting solutions using state of the art components.

The harvested energy from the human body under regular room temperature or outdoor conditions is limited. Hence, an optimized, energy-aware operation of the employed communication module has a significant impact



Figure 7. Sensor circuit schematic

on the functionality and the runtime of the device. For demonstration purposes the EnOcean RF sensor transmitter STM 100 and receiver RCM 120 modules have been selected due to their low power consumption. The energy requirements are summarized in Table 5. The board is power optimized and consumes 25nA in stand-by.

Table 5. Power requirements for the EnOcean tx module STM 100.

Power supply range	3V-4V
Current consumption in stand-by	${\sim}25~\text{nA}$
Maximum power consumption in Tx mode	10 mW

The transmitter is employed to send the measures obtained by power-efficient sensors. Three different sensors, see Figure 7, are connected to the communication module's ADC inputs. The sensor unit contains a temperature, a current and a voltage sensor. The current sense amplifier CSA1 with a quiescent current of 30 μ A is used to measure the current generated by the TEG. The temperature sensor circuit measures the ambient temperature whereas two operational amplifiers (OPAMP1 and OPAMP2) are employed in a bridge amplifier circuit as shown in [15]. The voltage generated by the TEG is simply measured using a third operational amplifier OPAMP3, so that the lowoutput voltage of the TEG is up-converted to satisfy the requirements of the transmitter module's ADC. The quiescent current of each operational amplifiers is about 750nA.

The energy scavenged from the contact with a human hand suffices to power the sensor unit and to transmit the performed measurements every 1 second by means of the mentioned wireless communication module. The transmitted information is visualized connecting the EnOcean receiver module to a computer.

The gradient between the hand and the ambient temperature provides a TEG maximum output current of 18mA and a TEG output voltage between 150mV and 250mV. The TEG maximum output power is approximately 3mW. The minimal temperature difference that allows the systems to power the electrical load is 5K. The thermoelectrical power supply output is 3.7V.

The prototype system was proved with energy storage elements as well. On one hand, a common lithium battery and on the other a supercap were employed. With both elements the functionality of the system could be maintained with discontinuous available TEG power.

6 Conclusions and Future Work

A complete solution for thermogenerator-based energy autarkic wireless communication and sensing was build. In order to make use of the thermoelectrical energy, a power management unit consisting of a charge-pump and a step-up DC-DC regulator was designed. Thus, the available energy is employed to transmit sensor data by means of a wireless communication module. RF transmissions and measurements are performed every 1 second only with the heat radiated by the human body.A more power efficient wireless communication transmissions can be realized designing a communication circuit employing the nRF24E1 Nordic transceiver [13]. Moreover, dynamic power management can be applied as well by adjusting the transmission rate to the available energy.

Electrical models for diverse components of this energy harvesting system have been generated. Implementation decisions at sub-system level have been taken based on run simulations whereas a system level simulation environment is still under development.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Alexandre Jacquot from the Fraunhofer-Institut für Physikalische Messtechnik IPM for his technical support. The authors would further like to thank Fraunhofer for founding the project NanoTEC.

Loreto Mateu acknowledges the UPC-Recerca grant from the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC).

References

- [1] Peltron GmbH Peltier-Technik. http://www.peltron.de.
- [2] Agilent Advanced Design System (ADS).
- http://eesof.tm.agilent.com/products/ads_main.html.
- [3] Seiko Instruments Inc. http://www.seiko.com.
- [4] Maxim Dallas Semiconductor. http://www.maxim-ic.com.
- [5] Sanyo Eneloop Ready to use Rechargeable Battery.
- http://www.eneloop.info.
- [6] Bullith Batteries. http://www.bullith.de.
- [7] Varta Microbattery. http://www.varta-microbattery.com.
- [8] BaSyTec Battery-Test-Systems.
- http://www.basytec.de/produkte_e/testgeraete/technik_MDS.html. [9] METRAHit 29S.
- http://www.gossenmetrawatt.com/english/produkte/metrahi1.htm. [10] Clima Temperatur Systeme CTS.
- http://www.cts-umweltsimulation.de. [11] The MathWorks. http://www.mathworks.com/.
- [12] EasyFit:: Distribution Fitting Made Easy.
- http://www.mathwave.com/products/easyfit.html.
- [13] Nordic Semiconductor. http://www.nylsi.no.
- [14] Imecs watch-size thermoelectric generator powers wireless sensor modules. *IMEC Newsletter*, page 14, October 2005.
- [15] RF Sensor Transmitter Module STM100. User Manual V1.5, September 2005. page 29.
- [16] J. A. Chavez, J. A. Ortega, J. Salazar, A. Turo, and M. J. Garcia. "SPICE model of thermoelectric elements including thermal effects". In *17th IEEE Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference 2000*, volume 2, pages 1019–1023, May 2000.
- [17] M. Chen and G. Rincón-Mora. Accurate electrical battery model capable of predicting runtime and i-v performance. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 21(2):504–511, June 2005.
- [18] D. Linden and T. Reddy. *Handbook of Batteries*. 3rd ed. McGraw-Hill, 2002.
- [19] S. Lineykin and S. Ben-Yaakov. "SPICE compatible equivalent circuit of the energy conversion processes in thermoelectric modules". In 23rd IEEE Convention of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, pages 346–349, September 2004.
- [20] S. Lineykin and S. Ben-Yaakow. Analysis of thermoelectric coolers by a spice-compatible equivalent-circuit model. *IEEE Power Electronics Letters*, 3(2):63–66, June 2005.
- [21] B. Schweighofer, K. Raab, and G. Brasseur. Modeling of high power automotive batteries by the use of an automated test system. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 52(4):1087–1091, August 2003.

Chapter 13

Paper 6: System-level simulation of a self-powered sensor with piezoelectric energy harvesting

Loreto Mateu and Francesc Moll

Sensor Technologies and Applications, 2007. International Conference on SensorComm 2007, pp. 399–404, Valencia, 2007.

System-level simulation of a self-powered sensor with piezoelectric energy harvesting

Loreto Mateu and Francesc Moll Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC) Department of Electronic Engineering Campus Nord, Edifici C4, C/ Jordi Girona 1-3, 08034 Barcelona, Spain {mateu,moll}@eel.upc.edu

Abstract

This paper presents a complete system simulation of a self-powered communication module. The components are described with the Verilog-A language, that allows to merge the electrical and mechanical models of the system. The self-powered sensor system is composed by an energy harvesting piezoelectric generator that powers a RF transmitter. The simulations here presented compare between the case of a battery-less and battery-powered system. The results obtained with the simulation model implemented allow to show how design choices of the system change the periodicity of the transmission and the ability to recharge the battery.

1 Introduction

Since the beginning of the electronic industry, a continuous trend of size reduction and functionality increase has been observed. This trend has allowed the design of very small and powerful sensors that are able to transmit the data to a central receiver or to other sensors, forming what is known as sensor networks.

One particular area of application of these small sensors is the measurement of some property related to a person, like acceleration or medical parameters. For these applications it has been proposed that energy coming from the user activity may be harvested [10] to feed a network of very low power sensors, e.g. Body Area Network (BAN) and Personal Area Network (PAN) [11].

The area of energy harvesting has been active since the late 1990s with pioneering work by Starner [9] and Paradiso at MIT on piezoelectric films inserted on shoes [4]. Other methods to harvest energy from the environment have been proposed and investigated. During the last years a lot of advances in modeling of these energy sources have taken place.

From the point of view of the application, it is necessary a model of the complete system, preferably integrated in a standard CAE environment, to optimize the design of the complete system. The optimization of the separate components is not possible considering them independently because all the parts of the system (energy source, power conditioning, and load energy consumption) interact with each other. Some laboratories proposed to model a piezoelectric generator employing Verilog-A or VHDL-AMS to connect it to the electrical blocks of the self powered system, presenting the results obtained from a piezoelectric generator model done in VHDL-AMS [1]. The electrical part of the self powered system presented was also modeled in VHDL-AMS to allow the simulation of the complete system in the same environment, thus decreasing the simulation time compared to a simulation made in a mixed-environment.

This paper presents a simulation environment based on the high level mixed signal modeling language Verilog-A [8]. This language is the analog subset of the Verilog-AMS language, and it allows the description of both electrical or non-electrical components, allowing a whole energy harvesting system to be described and subsequently simulated with a standard simulator. The objective of this paper is to pcreate a simulation environment that combines mechanical and electrical models involved in energy harvesting applications. The piezoelectric transducer mechanical model and the electrical components model (rectifier, voltage regulator, RF transmitter, battery) of the self-powered sensor system allow to evaluate the feasibility of different possible scenarios (e.g. RF energy consumption profiles, or battery versus battery-less operation).

The structure of the paper is divided in the following sections. The description of the simulation components is made in section 2. Section 3 shows several simulations of the time domain model considering two examples: a sensor with RF transmission and the charging of a battery. Finally,

section 4 presents the main conclusions of the paper.

2 Structure of a self-powered system

A self-powered system based on environment energy harvesting is composed generically of several components (Figure 1):

- Energy transducer, to convert some available energy source into electrical energy. The environmental energy sources available for conversion may be thermal (thermoelectric cells), light (photovoltaic cells), RF (rectifying antennas), and mechanical (piezoelectric, magnetic induction, electrostatic converters).
- Storage capacitor. Some of the above energy transducers do not provide DC current, and in that case it is necessary to rectify the current and accumulate the energy into a capacitor.
- Voltage regulator, to adapt the voltage level to the requirements of the powered device.
- Battery, depending on the requirements of the application. In some applications the powered device can be completely switched off during certain intervals and a battery is not necessary, while in others a permanent powering is mandatory.
- Electronic device, with varying power requirements depending on the application.



Figure 1. Schema of a generic self-powered device.

In this work, to illustrate the simulation environment, we present the case of a piezoelectric film inserted inside a shoe. In this case, the storage capacitor and rectification are necessary because each bending of the film produces a succession of alternating current peaks. In addition, being the energy source discontinuous, it is necessary to connect the load only when there is enough energy stored in the capacitor. Next, the description of each component is presented.



Figure 2. Mechanical excitation of the piezoelectric film along axis 1.

2.1 Piezoelectric film

The piezoelectric effect is a property of certain materials, that when subjected to mechanical strain, suffer an electrical polarization proportional to the applied strain. The phenomenon of piezoelectricity is described by the piezoelectric constitutive equations:

$$S_i = s_{ij}^E T_j + d_{li} E_l$$

$$D_m = \varepsilon_{mn}^T E_n + d_{mk} T_k$$

for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ and $l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$
(1)

where T is the applied mechanical stress $[N/m^2]$, E is the applied electric field [N/C], d corresponds to the piezo strain $[(C/m^2)/(N/m^2)]$, ε^T is the permittivity [F/m] under conditions of constant stress, D is the electric displacement $[C/m^2]$, S is the mechanical strain [m/m], and s^E is the compliance tensor $[m^2/N]$ under conditions of constant electrical field.

Following this behavior, a piezoelectric generator electrical model consists in a charge source in parallel with a capacitor.

The selected piezoelectric material is a PVDF film. These films are only metalized in the plane perpendicular to direction 3, so that $D_1 = D_2 = 0$. The mechanical excitation is along axis 1 (orthogonal to film surface), and external applied electric filed is zero for the generator. Equation (2) expresses the electric displacement generated in the piezoelectric element under these conditions. The mechanical stress is related to the strain S_1 by Young's modulus Y_{11}

$$D_3 = d_{31}T_1 = d_{31}Y_{11}S_1 \tag{2}$$

Given that the charge is given by the film area times the electric displacement, $Q_3 = D_3 A$:

$$Q_3 = d_{31} Y_{11} S_1 A \tag{3}$$



Figure 3. Structure of the piezoelectric cantilever.

2.1.1 Triangular cantilever structure

One convenient method to cause a mechanical excitation in the 1 axis is to use a cantilever structure with a vertical structure as shown in Figure 3, consisting in two piezoelectric films attached (each of thickness $t_c/2$) to the top and bottom surfaces of a support material of thickness t_s . It can be shown that for a given vertical force, a maximum strain is obtained for a triangular shape. The expression of strain in function of vertical deflection can be calculated [5] for the structure shown in Figure 3, giving a charge related to deflection y:

$$Q_3 = y \frac{W_0 t_c}{2L} d_{31} Y_{11} \left(1 + 2\tau\right) \tag{4}$$

where $\tau = t_s/t_c$ is the ratio of piezoelectric film thickness to support material thickness. On the other hand, the value of piezoelectric capacitor, C_p , is:

$$C_{p} = \frac{4\varepsilon_{33}^{T}W_{0}L}{t_{c}} \left(1 - k_{31}^{2}\right)$$
(5)

Finally, for varying deflection in time, a current is produced by the piezoelectric film, as:

$$i_p = \frac{dQ_3}{dt} = \dot{y} \frac{W_0 t_c}{2L} d_{31} Y_{11} \left(1 + 2\tau\right)$$
(6)

These expressions are included in the piezoelectric Verilog-A model. In our example, the piezoelectric element is placed inside the insole of a shoe. The mechanical walking activity cause a mechanical deflection on the piezoelectric films. From voltage measurements on the piezoelectric films, it has been deduced the waveforms that appear in Figure 4. Thus, the maximum deflection, y_{max} , applied at x = L over the cantilever beam is taken as a triangular waveform with $t_{z1} = 110$ ms rise time, $t_{z2} = 80$ ms fall time, Ampl = 3 mm, and a period of T = 400 ms, see Figure 4a). Figure 4b) is deduced from Figure 4a) employing Equation 6 and 4.

The dimensions and the constants of the piezoelectric films employed are: $W_0 = 30 \text{ mm}, L = 30 \text{ mm}, t_c/2 =$



Figure 4. Maximum deflection, y_{max} , applied to the piezoelectric film and generated current waveform, i_p .

28 μ m, $t_s = 1$ mm, $y_{max} = 3$ mm, $Y_{11} = 3E9$ N/m², $k_{31} = 0.12$, and $d_{31} = 23E - 12$ C/N. In order to increase the generated current, a parallel electrical connection of the cantilevers is considered.

2.2 Storage capacitor

The energy needed to power the electronic device, E_{req} , employing a linear regulator is accumulated in a storage capacitor, C_s . The circuit operation is as follows. As the piezoelectric element is repetitively stressed, the voltage on the storage capacitor, C_s , increases. Whenever this voltage reaches a certain limit (V_{on}), the capacitor is connected to the regulator input by the control circuit, and it is discharged down to a lower voltage ($V_{off} = V_{dd} + \epsilon$) that ensures a proper operation of the control circuit and the regulator, providing the energy required by the load (E_{req}).

$$E_{req} = \frac{1}{2} C_s \left(V_{on}^2 - V_{off}^2 \right)$$
(7)

The number of steps needed to reach V_{on} obviously increases with the value of V_{on} . On the other hand, the value of capacitor C_s and the voltage interval $\left(V_{on}^2 - V_{off}^2\right)$ are related by the required energy. An optimum value of capacitor can be found relating these magnitudes [6].

2.3 Voltage conversion/regulation

The voltage regulator can be modeled at a behavioral level as an element that provides a constant voltage when the input voltage surpasses a certain level. Then, the load drains a certain amount of current. This current, plus an additional ground current of the regulator is the input current that must be provided by the storage capacitor and piezoelectric film. Then, the behavioral model can be described schematically as shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Behavioral model of the voltage regulator.

As the voltage regulator drains current from the storage capacitor, its voltage starts to decrease. Therefore, hysteresis needs to be implemented to avoid continuous ON/OFF oscillations of the regulator output.

2.4 Battery

A recently published battery model developed by Chen et al. is used in this paper, capable of predicting runtime and transient response [2]. Whenever the voltage regulator is connected, there will be a transfer of energy to the battery. Figure 6 shows the schema of the model, that is also implemented in Verilog-A. The values of the parameters were taken from [2] corresponding to a polymer Li-ion battery.



Figure 6. Electrical model of the battery.

2.5 Electronic device

Finally, the electronic device can be modeled as a first approximation as a resistor of value V^2/P_c , where P_c is the rated power consumption of the device. In complex devices there can be several consumption modes, and each of them has a different power consumption. Verilog-A allows the modeling of this complex behavior, changing the value of the resistor depending on specified conditions that mimic the real device behavior.

3 Simulation examples

In this work, two examples are considered: a battery-less sensor with an RF transmitter powered with a discontinuous operation profile, and a battery connected to the energy harvesting system that supplies power to the RF transmission module continuously.

We considered the component from Nordic nRF24E1, a microcontroller with an integrated RF transmitter at 1 Mbps [7]. This device needs a 3 ms phase of configuration time with a power consumption of 5.7 mW, then a short interval (0.3 ms approximately) to access the ADC and RF transmitter, with a power consumption of 27 mW. After that, the chip enters in sleep mode. The Verilog-A model of the Nordic load takes into account this power consumption profile, in such a way that after configuration, the message is repeated 4 times in 40 ms.

3.1 Battery-less RF transmitter

In the case of the battery-less transmitter, it is assumed that the sensor is normally completely switched off, not allowing even to operate the device in sleep mode or other low consumption modes. Whenever a predetermined amount of energy has been collected after some time, the device is connected to the power supply system, then draining the storage capacitor until another predetermined voltage level, and then it is again disconnected waiting for a replenishment of the storage capacitor. Figure 7 shows the schematic design of the system to be simulated.



Figure 7. Schematic of a battery-less selfpowered RF transmitter.

The voltage supply is 2 V, and this fact together with the short transmission time has as a result a relatively small storage capacitor (7 μ F) giving a periodicity of transmission of 11.8 s. This is shown in Figure 8.

3.2 Battery charger

For a system including the battery, the device is not restricted to await operation in completely switched off state, but it can be in some of the various low power modes available. While the storage capacitor is below the voltage level needed to activate the regulator, the battery supplies the energy to the device. During the time when the regulator is active, the battery can accept energy coming from the storage



Figure 8. Simulation waveforms of the regulator input voltage, *vinreg*, and Nordic module voltage supply, *vdload*.

capacitor via the regulator. A diode avoids discharging the battery through the regulator when it is not active. Figure 9 shows the schematic design of the system to be simulated.



Figure 9. Schematic of a battery-powered RF transmitter.

The employed battery model gives the battery's state of charge (SoC), so that from the simulations it is possible to evaluate if the battery is being charged or discharged. In an energy harvesting application, it is desirable to have energy neutral operation [3], that means, to ensure that the energy harvesting transducer is generating at least the same amount of energy as the energy consumed by the electric load. In other words, the charge transferred during recharge should exceed that which was lost during the off times. This can be expressed as a relation between average currents:

$$\langle I_{reg} \rangle = \langle I_{load} \rangle + \langle I_{gnd} \rangle \tag{8}$$

where $\langle I_{reg} \rangle$ is the average current flowing into the voltage regulator, $\langle I_{load} \rangle$ is the average current consumption of the load, and $\langle I_{gnd} \rangle$ is the average current of the ground current lost through the regulator and voltage monitoring circuitry.

The expression for $\langle I_{load} \rangle$ is:

$$\langle I_{load} \rangle = I_{sleep} + \frac{t_{RF}}{T_{RF}} \left(I_{RF} - I_{sleep} \right)$$
(9)

where I_{sleep} is the current consumption of the communication module in sleep mode, t_{RF} is the time needed to transmit a packet data, T_{RF} is the period between transmissions and I_{RF} is the current consumed during a transmission. Depending on the value of the transmission period, T_{RF} , the average current consumed by the load can be calculated, giving a value always greater than 3.16 μ A which corresponds to the sleep mode current consumption.

On the other hand, the regulator gives an average current that can be calculated as:

$$\langle I_{reg} \rangle = I_{on} \frac{t_{on}}{T_{on}} \tag{10}$$

where I_{on} is the input current of the regulator, t_{on} is the time in which the regulator is activated and T_{on} is the period between activations. I_{on} depends on the diode forward voltage, that can only be increased through increasing the regulator output voltage (the diode's cathode voltage is fixed by the battery). The ratio t_{on}/T_{on} can be increased especially by increasing the number of piezoelectric films, so that the regulator switches on more often. Both t_{on} and T_{on} depend on the product $C_s(V_{lim} - V_{reg})$ so that its ratio remains essentially constant by changing the storage capacitor, C_s , or the voltage drop, $(V_{lim} - V_{reg})$.

Figure 10 shows the simulation results of the voltage waveforms and the state of charge of the battery for convenient values of storage capacitor (10 μ F) and number of devices (10). Table 1 shows the results for other configurations. It is observed how a smaller number of devices do not provide enough average current, and therefore are not able to work in energy neutral operation.

n	$I_{reg} (\mu A)$	I_{load} (μA)	SoC
5	1.957	3.364	0.761
7	2.775	3.369	0.783
10	3.964	3.378	0.816

Table 1. Average currents and final state of charge after 100 s operation for several systems with different number of parallel piezoelectric elements, *n*. Initial state of charge is 0.8. The transmission period, T_{RF} , is fixed at 30 s. Storage capacitor, C_s , is 10 μ F.



Figure 10. Simulation of voltage waveforms at input and output of the regulator (top). Waveforms of battery voltage and state of charge (SOC) (bottom).

4 Conclusions

It was here presented a simulation environment based on Verilog-A that allows to assess the feasibility of a selfpowered electronic device based on a mechanical energy harvesting generator and make the necessary adjustments in the electrical and mechanical part of the system to accomplish the desired objectives.

Two configurations were studied: a battery-less device in which the RF communication module is powered intermittently, and a more complex system where the RF module is powered continuously aided by a battery. The Verilog-A model allows to predict the time between transmissions.

It was shown that the battery-less alternative is easier to accomplish. This is a useful alternative for such applications where the system does not require to make any operation in between transmission events, and there is not a requirement for a minimum fixed transmission periodicity.

On the other hand, the battery allows to maintain operation of the device, at the cost of a higher average consumption current. In order to have a positive energetic balance and thus allowing a recharge of the battery, the energy harvesting device needs to compensate the average current.

Acknowledgments

This research work has been partially supported by the FUTURIC project of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education, TEC2005/02739. Loreto Mateu wishes to ac-knowledge the UPC-Recerca grant from UPC.

References

- [1] Tima 2006 annual report, March 2007.
- [2] M. Chen and G. Rincon-Mora. Accurate electrical battery model capable of predicting runtime and I-V performance. *Energy Conversion, IEEE Transactions on*, 21(2):504–511, 2006.
- [3] A. Kansal, D. Potter, and M. Srivastava. Performance aware tasking for environmentally powered sensor networks. In *Joint International Conference on Measurement and Model*ing of Computer Systems, 2004.
- [4] J. Kymissis, C. Kendall, J. Paradiso, and N. Gershenfeld. Parasitic power harvesting in shoes. In *IEEE 2nd Intl Conf* on Wearable Computing, 1998.
- [5] L. Mateu and F. Moll. Optimum piezoelectric bending beam structures for energy harvesting using shoe inserts. *JOURNAL OF INTELLIGENT MATERIAL SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES*, 16(October):835–846, 2005.
- [6] L. Mateu and F. Moll. Appropriate charge control of the storage capacitor in a piezoelectric energy harvesting device for discontinuous load operation. *Sensors And Actuators A-Physical*, 132(1):302–310, Nov 8 2006.
- [7] Nordic. nRF24E1. 2.4GHz RF transceiver with embedded 8051 compatible microcontroller and 9 input, 10 bit ADC. Technical specification, March 2006.
- [8] Open Verilog International. Verilog-A Language Reference Manual. Analog Extensions to Verilog HDL, version 1.0 edition, 1996.
- [9] T. Starner. Human-powered Wearable Computing. *IBM Systems Journal*, 35(3&4), 1996.
- [10] T. Starner and J. Paradiso. Human generated power for mobile electronics. In C. Piguet, editor, *Low Power Electronics Design*, chapter 45. CRC Press, 2004.
- [11] T. Zimmerman. Personal area networks: near-field intrabody communication. *IBM Systems J.*, 35(3 & 4):609–617, 1996.

Part III Appendixes

Appendix A

Relations between Piezoelectric Constants

The relations between the different piezoelectric constants, summarized in Table 3.2 of Section 3.1, are deduced from the comparison of the four different ways to express the piezoelectric constitutive equations.

$$D_{l} = \varepsilon_{lm}^{T} E_{m} + d_{li} T_{i}$$

$$E_{m} = -g_{mi} T_{i} + \beta_{ml}^{T} D_{l}$$
 for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ and $l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$ (A.1)

$$\left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{T}\right] = \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}^{T}\right]^{-1} \tag{A.2}$$

$$[\mathbf{d}] = \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}^{T}\right]^{-1} [\mathbf{g}] = \left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{T}\right] [\mathbf{g}]$$
(A.3)

If these equations are compared, it is found a relation between their piezoelectric constants ($[\mathbf{s}^{D}]$, $[\mathbf{c}^{D}]$, $[\mathbf{g}]$ and $[\mathbf{h}]$).

$$S_i = s_{ij}^D T_j + g_{li} D_l T_j = c_{ji}^D S_i - h_{lj} D_l$$
 for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ and $l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$ (A.4)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}^D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^D \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \tag{A.5}$$

$$[\mathbf{g}] = \left[\mathbf{c}^{D}\right]^{-1}[\mathbf{h}] = \left[\mathbf{s}^{D}\right][\mathbf{h}]$$
(A.6)

If the following equations are compared, it is found a relation between their piezoelectric constants.

$$E_{l} = -h_{li}S_{i} + \beta_{lm}^{S}D_{m}$$

$$D_{m} = e_{mi}S_{i} + \varepsilon_{ml}^{S}E_{l}$$
 for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ and $l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$ (A.7)

$$\left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{S}\right] = \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}^{S}\right]^{-1} \tag{A.8}$$

$$[\mathbf{e}] = \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}^{S}\right]^{-1} [\mathbf{h}] = \left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{S}\right] [\mathbf{h}]$$
(A.9)

The relation between $[\mathbf{s}^E]$ and $[\mathbf{s}^D]$ is more difficult to find than the previous ones. The method to obtain the expression that relates both piezoelectric constants is detailed in the following lines. First of all, the two piezoelectric expressions S(T,E) and S(T,D) are equaled.

$$s_{ij}^E T_j + d_{il} E_l = s_{ij}^D T_j + g_{im} D_m \quad \text{for } i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6 \text{ and } l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$$
(A.10)

If $[D_m]$ is solution that new expression is obtained,

$$s_{ij}^{E}T_{j} + d_{il}E_{l} = s_{ij}^{D}T_{j} + g_{im}\left(\varepsilon_{ml}^{T}E_{l} + d_{mj}T_{j}\right) \quad \text{for } i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6 \text{ and } l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$$
(A.11)

Substituting g_{im} for the expression obtained in Equation A.3

$$s_{ij}^{E}T_{j} + d_{il}E_{l} = s_{ij}^{D}T_{j} + d_{il}\left(\varepsilon_{ml}^{T}\right)^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{ml}^{T}E_{l} + d_{mj}T_{j}\right)$$

for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ and $l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$ (A.12)

This equation can be simplified to obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}^{D} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}^{E} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.13)

From the existing relation between $[\mathbf{s}]$ and $[\mathbf{c}]$. It can be deduced the following relation between $[\mathbf{c}]$ and $[\mathbf{c}^{E}]$,

$$\left[\mathbf{c}^{D}\right]^{-1} = \left[\mathbf{c}^{E}\right]^{-1} - \left[\mathbf{d}\right] \left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{T}\right]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{d}\right]$$
(A.14)

In a similar way the relation between $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\boldsymbol{S}}]$ and $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\boldsymbol{T}}]$ is deduced. First of all, the two piezoelectric expressions D(S,E) and D(E,T) are equaled.

$$e_{li}S_i + \varepsilon_{lm}^S E_m = \varepsilon_{lm}^T E_m + d_{lj}T_j$$

for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ and $l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$ (A.15)

If T_j is substituted, this new expression is obtained,

$$e_{li}S_i + \varepsilon_{lm}^S E_m = \varepsilon_{lm}^T E_m + d_{lj} \left(c_{ji}^E S_i - e_{jm} E_m \right)$$

for *i*, *j*, *k* = 1, ..., 6 and *l*, *m*, *n* = 1, 2, 3
(A.16)

Substituting d_{lj} by $e_{lj}\left(\left(c_{ji}^{E}\right)^{-1}\right)^{t}$ and e_{jm} by $\left(s_{ij}^{E}\right)^{-1} d_{im}$. As c_{ji}^{E} is a symmetric matrix, it is obtained:

$$\left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{S}\right] = \left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{T}\right] - \left[\mathbf{d}\right] \left[\mathbf{s}^{E}\right]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{d}\right]$$
(A.17)

From the existing relation between $[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}]$ and $[\boldsymbol{\beta}]$. It can be deduced the following relation between $[\boldsymbol{c}^D]$ and $[\boldsymbol{c}^E]$,

$$\left[\boldsymbol{\beta}^{S}\right]^{-1} = \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}^{T}\right]^{-1} - \left[\mathbf{d}\right] \left[\mathbf{s}^{E}\right]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{d}\right]$$
(A.18)

Appendix B

Relations between Piezoelectric Constants for PVDF and Ceramic Materials

The piezoelectric constitutive equations are general, without any consideration on the value of matrix elements. For real materials, the corresponding matrices present some properties that lead to simplified equations. The two most common types of piezoelectric materials are PVDF, polyvinylidene fluoride, and PZT, lead zirconate titanate that is a ceramic piezoelectric material. In this appendix, it is analyzed the relation of the piezoelectric constitutive equations and deduced which is the best mechanical excitation mode for these two materials.

B.1 Polyvinylidene Fluoride films

Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) is a piezoelectric polymer with mm2 crystal symmetry [130]. Due to this fact the piezoelectric constitutive equations shown in equation 3.1 can be expressed in a matrix form as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^{E1} & s_{12}^{E} & s_{13}^{E} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{31} \\ s_{12}^{E} & s_{22}^{E2} & s_{23}^{E} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{32} \\ s_{13}^{E} & s_{23}^{E} & s_{33}^{E} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{44}^{E4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{55}^{E5} & 0 & d_{15} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{66}^{E} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{15} & 0 & \varepsilon_{11}^{T} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{24} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{22}^{T} & 0 \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & d_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{33}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ T_3 \\ T_4 \\ T_5 \\ T_6 \\ E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \\ S_4 \\ S_5 \\ S_6 \\ D_1 \\ D_2 \\ D_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.1)

A more compact way to express Equation B.1 is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^E & s_{12}^E & s_{13}^E & d_{31} \\ s_{12}^E & s_{22}^E & s_{23}^E & d_{32} \\ s_{13}^E & s_{23}^E & s_{33}^E & d_{33} \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & d_{33} & \varepsilon_{33}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ T_3 \\ E_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \\ D_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.2)

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{44}^E & d_{24} \\ d_{24} & \varepsilon_{22}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_4 \\ E_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_4 \\ D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.3)

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{55}^E & d_{15} \\ d_{15} & \varepsilon_{11}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_5 \\ E_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_5 \\ D_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.4)

$$s_{66}^E T_6 = S_6 \tag{B.5}$$

PVDF films are usually only metalized in the plane perpendicular to direction 3, so that $D_1 = D_2 = 0$. Equation (B.6) expresses the electric displacement generated in the piezoelectric element in charge mode (shortcircuit between the film connectors and therefore no electric field is applied).

$$D_3 = d_{31}T_1 + d_{32}T_2 + d_{33}T_3 \tag{B.6}$$

Equation (B.6) can be expressed in Einstein notation as:

$$D_3 = d_{3p}T_p$$
 for $p = 1, 2, 3$ (B.7)

The equivalent expression in voltage mode (open circuit between the film connectors and therefore no electric displacement is applied) can be obtained in a similar way from Equation (3.2):

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^{D} & s_{12}^{D} & s_{13}^{D} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{31} \\ s_{12}^{D} & s_{22}^{D} & s_{23}^{D} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{32} \\ s_{13}^{D} & s_{23}^{D} & s_{33}^{D} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{55}^{D} & 0 & g_{15} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{66}^{D} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -g_{15} & 0 & \beta_{11}^{T} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -g_{24} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{22}^{T} & 0 \\ -g_{31} & -g_{32} & -g_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{33}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{1} \\ T_{2} \\ T_{3} \\ T_{4} \\ T_{5} \\ T_{6} \\ D_{1} \\ D_{2} \\ D_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{1} \\ S_{2} \\ S_{3} \\ S_{4} \\ S_{5} \\ S_{6} \\ E_{1} \\ E_{2} \\ E_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(B.8)$$

202

The voltage mode equation with Einstein notation is:

$$V_3 = g_{3p}T_pt_c$$
 for $p = 1, 2, 3$ (B.9)

where t_c is the thickness of the piezoelectric material.

This expression shows that only the longitudinal directions and not the shear directions (4, 5 and 6) take part in the generated voltage. Therefore, there are three possible modes to use the PVDF films: 31, 32, and 33. Figure B.1 shows a piezoelectric PVDF film positioned in its correspondent Cartesian coordinate system. The length of the rod, L, is oriented along axis 1, the width, W, along axis 2 and the thickness, t_c , along axis 3 [104].



Figure B.1: Mechanical axis position for piezoelectric materials.

Mode 31 corresponds to a mechanical excitation along axis 1 whereas the electrical answer is obtained in the plane perpendicular to axis 3 as can be shown in Figure B.2. In this figure it is observed a mechanical force, F_1 , applied along axis 1 that causes a strain of the piezoelectric film in the same direction. The mechanical stress generates a voltage in the piezoelectric element in the plane perpendicular to axis 3 since it is open circuited. In the case of a piezoelectric element instead of a voltage. Figure B.3 illustrates the case of working mode 33. In this case,

the mechanical excitation is applied along axis 3 which causes a strain of the material in the same direction. The electrical answer is obtained, as in the previous case, in the plane perpendicular to axis 3.



Figure B.2: Mechanical excitation of the piezoelectric film along axis 1.

Mode 32 is discarded since d_{32} is 10 times less than d_{31} or d_{33} (Brown, 2002). In mode 31, the stress is applied in direction 1, and in mode 33, the stress is applied in direction 3. The voltage and charge obtained resulting from an applied force in a certain direction, F_1 or F_3 , are shown in Table B.1.

Table B.1: Voltage, V_3 , and charge, q_3 , obtained in the plane perpendicular to direction 3 applying a mechanical stress in direction 1, mode 31, and in direction 3, mode 33.

	Mode 31	Mode 33
V_3	$g_{31}\frac{F_1}{W}$	$g_{33} \frac{F_3}{WL} t_c$
q_3	$d_{31} \frac{F_1 L}{t_c}$	$d_{33}F_{3}$

In a thin PVDF film, the ratio L/t_c is on the order of 1000, while $d_{31} = 23E - 12$ m/V and $d_{33} = -33E - 12$ m/V [104]. Assuming that $F_1 = F_3$, then V_3 and q_3 for the mode 31 will be on the order of 700 times greater than V_3 and q_3 for the mode 33. Therefore, for the same mechanical energy input, more electrical energy output is obtained in mode 31 than in mode 33 when the PVDF piezoelectric films are employed. For other piezoelectric materials, mode 33 excitation can be a better solution than mode 31 if the length, width, and



Figure B.3: Mechanical excitation of the piezoelectric film along axis 3.

thickness of the piezoelectric material are similar.

The relations between the piezoelectric constants for the case of the PVDF films in working modes 31 and 33 are given in the next subsections.

B.1.1 Piezoelectrical constants for PVDF in mode 31

The mechanical conditions that requires to operate in mode 31 are that $T_2 = T_3 = 0$ and $S_2 = S_3 = 0$. Therefore, only a mechanical stress is applied in direction 1 (T_1) and the working mode is called length expansion. The four ways employed to express the piezoelectric effect for this particular situation are:

$$S_1 = s_{11}^E T_1 + d_{31} E_3 D_3 = d_{31} T_1 + \varepsilon_{33}^T E_3$$
(B.10)

$$S_1 = s_{11}^D T_1 + g_{31} D_3$$

$$E_3 = -g_{31} T_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{33}^T} D_3$$
(B.11)

$$T_1 = c_{11}^D S_1 - h_{31} D_3$$

$$E_3 = -h_{31} S_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{22}^S} D_3$$
(B.12)

$$T_1 = c_{11}^E S_1 - e_{31} E_3 D_3 = e_{31} S_1 + \varepsilon_{33}^S E_3$$
(B.13)

The relations extracted from the piezoelectric constitutive equations between the piezoelectric constants are summarized in Table 3.3 of Section 3.1.

 Table B.2: Relation between piezoelectric constants for PVDF in mode 33.

 Relation between piezoelectric constants

Relation between piezoelectric constant
$d_{33} = g_{33}\varepsilon_{33}^T$
$s_{33}^E = \frac{1}{c_{33}^E}$
$d_{33} = \frac{e_{33}}{c_{23}^E} = e_{33}s_{33}^E$
$s_{33}^D = rac{1}{c_{33}^D}$
$g_{33} = \frac{h_{33}}{c_{33}^D} = h_{33}s_{33}^D$
$e_{33} = h_{33} \varepsilon^S_{33}$
$\varepsilon_{33}^{S} = \varepsilon_{33}^{T} \left(1 - \frac{d_{33}^{2}}{s_{33}^{E} \varepsilon_{33}^{T}} \right) = \varepsilon_{33}^{T} \left(1 - k_{33}^{2} \right)$
$s_{33}^D = s_{33}^E \left(1 - \frac{d_{33}^2}{s_{25}^E \epsilon_{33}^E} \right) = s_{33}^E \left(1 - k_{33}^2 \right)$

B.1.2 Piezoelectrical constants for PVDF in mode 33

The mechanical conditions that requires to operate in mode 33 are that $T_1 = T_2 = 0$ and $S_1 = S_2 = 0$. Therefore, only a mechanical stress is applied in direction 3 (T_3) and the working mode is called thickness expansion. The piezoelectric equations for this particular situation are:

$$S_{3} = s_{33}^{E}T_{3} + d_{33}E_{3}$$

$$D_{3} = d_{33}T_{3} + \varepsilon_{33}^{T}E_{3}$$
(B.14)

$$S_{3} = s_{33}^{D}T_{3} + g_{33}D_{3}$$

$$E_{3} = -g_{33}T_{3} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{33}^{T}}D_{3}$$
(B.15)

$$T_{3} = c_{33}^{D}S_{3} - h_{33}D_{3}$$

$$E_{3} = -h_{33}S_{3} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{32}^{S}}D_{3}$$
(B.16)

$$T_3 = c_{33}^E S_3 - e_{33} E_3 D_3 = e_{33} S_3 + \varepsilon_{33}^S E_3$$
(B.17)

The relations extracted from the piezoelectric constitutive equations between the piezoelectric constants in mode 33 are summarized in Table B.2. k_{33} is the piezoelectric coupling constant for working mode 33.

B.2 Ceramic Material

In this section the analysis is limited to poled polycrystalline ceramics which have ∞ mm symmetry that is equivalent to 6mm in the hexagonal symmetry system [131]. The representation of Equation 3.1 in a matrix form and its comparison with Equation B.1 motivates that the analysis of these materials can be done as a sub case of the case of polyvinylidene fluoride films.

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_{11}^{P} & s_{12}^{P} & s_{13}^{P} & s_{23}^{P} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{31} \\ s_{13}^{P} & s_{23}^{P} & s_{33}^{P} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{44}^{P} & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{44}^{P} & 0 & g_{24} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{66}^{P} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -g_{24} & 0 & \beta_{11}^{P} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -g_{24} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{11}^{P} & 0 \\ -g_{31} & -g_{31} & -g_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{33}^{P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{1} \\ D_{2} \\ D_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{1} \\ S_{2} \\ S_{3} \\ S_{4} \\ S_{5} \\ S_{6} \\ E_{1} \\ E_{2} \\ E_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(B.18)$$

Therefore, the same relations obtained for PVDF apply to ceramic piezoelectric materials, taking $\varepsilon_{11} = \varepsilon_{22}$, $d_{15} = d_{24}$, $d_{31} = d_{32}$, $s_{11} = s_{22}$ and $s_{44} = s_{55}$.

Appendix C

Electromechanical Piezoelectric Model for different Working Modes

Two equivalent piezoelectric coupling circuits that relate mechanical and electrical magnitudes of the piezoelectric phenomenon are presented. This Appendix show the relations between the parameters that make together the electromechanical piezoelectric model for the case of PVDF materials with working modes 31 and 33. Moreover, two different sets of state variables are presented for the electromechanical piezoelectric models.

C.1 Electromechanical coupling circuits for mode 31 and state variables F, ν , V and I

Figures C.1(a) and C.1(b) show an electromechanical piezoelectric model, [101], [105], [12] and [102], where the state variables are F, ν , V, and I. From piezoelectric constitutive equations, the following expressions are obtained:



Figure C.1: Piezoelectric coupling circuits, relating mechanical and electrical magnitudes with state variables F, ν , V, and I.

$$\frac{\Delta L}{L} = s_{11}^E \frac{F_1}{W t_c} + d_{31} \frac{V_3}{t_c} \\ \frac{Q_3}{W L} = \epsilon_{33}^T \frac{V_3}{t} + d_{31} \frac{F_1}{W t_c}$$
(C.1)

$$\Delta L = Ls_{11}^{E} \frac{F_1}{Wt_c} + \frac{d_{31}L}{t_c} V_3$$

$$Q_3 = \frac{\epsilon_{33}^{T} WL}{t_c} V_3 + \frac{d_{31}L}{t_c} F_1$$
(C.2)

$$\Delta L = \mathcal{C}_{m31}F_1 + d_{31}\frac{L}{t_c}V_3$$

$$Q_3 = C_p V_3 + d_{31}\frac{L}{t_c}F_1$$
(C.3)

Thus, the value of C_{m31} is:

$$\mathcal{C}_{m31} = \frac{Ls_{11}^E}{Wt_c} \tag{C.4}$$

Thus, the value of C_p is:

$$C_p = \frac{\varepsilon_{33}^T A}{t_c} \tag{C.5}$$

Doing the derivative of Equation (C.3), it is obtained:

$$\nu_{1} = j\omega \mathcal{C}_{m31}F_{1} + j\omega d_{31}\frac{L}{t_{c}}V_{3}$$

$$I_{3} = j\omega d_{31}\frac{L}{t_{c}}F_{1} + j\omega C_{p}V_{3}$$
(C.6)

From this equation it is obtained the value of F_1 and V_3 :

$$F_1 = \frac{\nu}{j\omega C_{m31}} - \frac{d_{31}L}{C_{m31}t_c} V_3$$
(C.7)

$$V_3 = \frac{I}{j\omega C_p} - \frac{d_{31}L}{t_c C_p} F_1 \tag{C.8}$$

The following equations are obtained from the analysis of the circuits shown in Figures C.1(a) and C.1(b)

$$F_1 = \frac{\nu}{j\omega\mathcal{C}_{m31}} + F_t \tag{C.9}$$

$$V_3 = \frac{I}{j\omega C_p} + V_t \tag{C.10}$$

Next, it is calculated the transformer ratio for the electromechanical piezoelectric circuit shown in Figures C.1(a) and C.1(b) comparing Equations (C.7) and (C.8) with the previous equations.

$$n_1 = \frac{V_3}{F_t} = -\frac{\mathcal{C}_{m31}t_c}{d_{31}L} \tag{C.11}$$

$$n_2 = \frac{V_t}{F_1} = -\frac{d_{31}L}{t_c C_p} \tag{C.12}$$
The electrical variable I of the two equivalent circuits is analyzed and compared to establish the relation between C'_p and C_p .

$$I_3 = j\omega C'_p V_3 + \nu \frac{Ld_{31}}{t_c C_{m31}} = j\omega d_{31} \frac{L}{t_c} F_1 + j\omega C_p V_3$$
(C.13)

Substituting F_1 in the previous expression for its value in Equation (C.7), it is obtained:

$$j\omega C'_p V_3 = j\omega C_p V_3 - j\omega \frac{d_{31}^2 L^2}{t_c^2 \mathcal{C}_{m31}} V_3$$
(C.14)

Therefore, C'_p and C_p are related by the electromechanical piezoelectric coefficient, k_{31} .

$$C'_{p} = C_{p} - \frac{d_{31}^{2}L^{2}}{t_{c}^{2}\mathcal{C}_{m31}} = C_{p}\left(1 - \frac{d_{31}^{2}}{s_{11}^{E}\varepsilon_{33}^{T}}\right) = C_{p}\left(1 - k_{31}^{2}\right)$$
(C.15)

Another possible coupling piezolectric model consists in the Norton equivalent circuit of the mechanical part shown in Figure C.1(b) instead of working with the Norton equivalent circuit of the electrical part. The electrical part of the circuit is analyzed and compared with Equation (C.6) to determine the relation between C'_{m31} and C_{m31} .

$$\nu_1 = j\omega \mathcal{C}_{m31} F_1 + j\omega d_{31} \frac{L}{t_c} V_3 = j\omega \mathcal{C}'_{m31} F_1 + I \frac{d_{31}L}{t_c C_p}$$
(C.16)

$$j\omega \mathcal{C}'_{m31}F_1 = j\omega \mathcal{C}_{m31}F_1 - j\omega \frac{L^2 d_{31}^2}{t_c^2 C_p}F_1$$
(C.17)

Therefore, C'_{m31} and C_{m31} are also related by the electromechanical coefficient k_{31} .

$$\mathcal{C}'_{m31} = \mathcal{C}_{m31} \left(1 - \frac{L^2 d_{31}^2}{t_c^2 C_p \mathcal{C}_{m31}} \right) = \mathcal{C}_{m31} \left(1 - k_{31}^2 \right)$$
(C.18)

The relation between the variables of the two equivalent piezoelectric coupling circuits have been determined, as well as, the transformer ratio.

C.1.1 Connection of a Load to the Electromechanical Coupling Circuit

The objective of this section is to determine under which conditions it is achieved the maximum average power of a piezoelectric PVDF film when it is connected to a resistive load, see Figure C.2. Thus, it will be calculated the value of V_3 for the case that a load is connected to the piezoelectric material.

The current flowing through the transformer, I_t , is equal to the current flowing through the resistor, I, and the current flowing through the capacitor $I_{C'_p}$.

$$I_t = I + I_{C_p'} \tag{C.19}$$



Figure C.2: Piezoelectric coupling circuits, relating mechanical and electrical magnitudes.

 I_t is substituted by the product of the transfer ratio of the transformer and $\nu_1 d_{31}$ and I and $I_{C'_p}$ for the division between its voltage and electrical impedance.

$$\frac{\nu_1 d_{31} L}{\mathcal{C}_{m31} t_c} = \frac{V_3}{R} + j\omega C'_p V_3 \tag{C.20}$$

Then, the expression for V_3 is:

$$V_{3} = \frac{\nu_{1} d_{31} L R}{\mathcal{C}_{m31} t_{c} \left(1 + j \omega C_{p}^{\prime} R\right)}$$
(C.21)

Substituting in Equation (C.21), ν_1 and C_{m31} for Equation (C.4) and $j\omega\Delta L$, respectively, it is obtained:

$$V_{3} = \frac{j\omega\Delta LRd_{31}L}{Ls_{11}^{E}/(Wt_{c})t_{c}\left(1+j\omega RC_{p}'\right)} = \frac{j\omega RAS_{1}Y_{11}d_{31}}{1+j\omega R\frac{\varepsilon_{33}^{T}A}{t_{c}}\left(1-k_{31}^{2}\right)}$$
(C.22)

The average dissipated power on the load resistor is expressed by Equation (C.23) for a piezoelectric beam with average strain S_1 , under a sinusoidal mechanical excitation of angular frequency ω , that gives rise to a sinusoidal voltage waveform with amplitude $|V_3|$.

$$\langle P_{31} \rangle = \frac{\left| V_3^2 \right|}{2R} = \frac{\left(d_{31} S_1 A \omega \right)^2 R}{2s_{11}^E \left(1 + \omega^2 R^2 C_p^{\prime 2} \right)} \tag{C.23}$$

This expression has a maximum when Equation (C.24) is verified, corresponding to a resistor matching the internal impedance of the piezoelectric film.

$$R = \frac{1}{\omega C'_p} = \frac{1}{\omega \varepsilon_{33}^T \left(1 - k_{31}^2\right) A / t_c}$$
(C.24)

Equation (C.25) expresses the value for the maximum average power.

$$\langle P_{max31} \rangle = \frac{d_{31}^2 S_1^2 A^2 \omega}{4s_{11}^E C_p'} \tag{C.25}$$

C.2 Electromechanical coupling circuits for mode 33 and state variables F, ν , V and I

The state variables employed in the analysis of this section are F, ν , V, and I instead of the state variables T, \dot{S} , E, and \dot{D} . The analysis of this section is made when the force applied to the piezoelectric element is done along axis 3 instead of along axis 1. The electromechanical equivalent circuit employed is the same shown in Section C.1. From piezoelectric constitutive equation, the following expressions are derived:

$$\frac{\Delta t_c}{t_c} = s_{33}^E \frac{F_3}{A} + d_{33} \frac{V_3}{t_c} \frac{Q_3}{A} = \epsilon_{33}^T \frac{V_3}{t} + d_{33} \frac{F_3}{A}$$
(C.26)

Arranging the previous expressions, it is obtained:

$$\Delta t_c = t_c s_{33}^E \frac{F_3}{A} + d_{33} V_3$$

$$Q_3 = \epsilon_{33}^T \frac{AV_3}{t_c} + d_{33} F_3$$
(C.27)

Doing the derivative of Equation (C.27), it is obtained:

$$\nu_{3} = j\omega t_{c} s_{33}^{E} \frac{F_{3}}{A} + j\omega d_{33} V_{3}$$

$$I_{3} = \epsilon_{33}^{T} \frac{AV_{3}}{t_{c}} + d_{33} F_{3}$$
(C.28)

Comparing the previous equations with the following expressions calculated from the analysis of the electromechanical equivalent circuit, the expressions for C_{m33} and C_p are deduced.

$$\nu_3 = j\omega \mathcal{C}_{m33} F_3 + j\omega d_{33} V_3$$

$$I = j\omega d_{33} F_3 + j\omega C_p V_3$$
(C.29)

Thus, the value of C_{m33} is:

$$\mathcal{C}_{m33} = \frac{t_c s_{33}^E}{A} \tag{C.30}$$

The value of C_p is:

$$C_p = \frac{\varepsilon_{33}^T A}{t_c} \tag{C.31}$$

From these equations, it is obtained the expression for F_3 and V_3 :

$$F_3 = \frac{\nu}{j\omega C_{m33}} - \frac{d_{33}V_3}{C_{m33}}$$
(C.32)

$$V_{3} = \frac{I}{j\omega C_{p}} - \frac{d_{33}F_{3}}{C_{p}}$$
(C.33)

Next, it is calculated the transformer turn ratios for the electromechanical piezoelectric circuit comparing equations C.32 and C.33 with the following equations obtained from the analysis of the circuit.

$$F_3 = \frac{\nu}{j\omega \mathcal{C}_{m33}} + F_t \tag{C.34}$$

$$V_3 = \frac{I}{j\omega C_p} + V_t \tag{C.35}$$

The transformer turn ratio for the electromechanical equivalent circuit of Figure C.1(a) in working mode 33 is:

$$n_1 = \frac{V_3}{F_t} = -\frac{\mathcal{C}_{m33}}{d_{33}} \tag{C.36}$$

The transformer turn ratio for the electromechanical equivalent circuit of Figure C.1(b) in working mode 33 is:

$$n_2 = \frac{V_t}{F_3} = -\frac{d_{33}}{C_p} \tag{C.37}$$

The electrical part of the two equivalent electromechanical circuits is analyzed and compared to determine the relation between C'_p and C_p .

$$I = j\omega C'_p V_3 + \nu \frac{d_{33}}{\mathcal{C}_{m33}} = j\omega d_{33} F_3 + j\omega C_p V_3$$
(C.38)

Reorganizing the previous expression and substituting ν for its value in Equation (C.29), the relation is found.

$$C'_{p} = C_{p} - \frac{d_{33}^{2}}{\mathcal{C}_{m33}} = C_{p} \left(1 - \frac{d_{33}^{2}}{s\varepsilon_{33}^{T}} \right) = C_{p} \left(1 - k_{33}^{2} \right)$$
(C.39)

The mechanical part of the two equivalent electromechanical circuits is analyzed and compared to determine the relation between C'_{m33} and C_{m33} .

$$\nu = j\omega \mathcal{C}_{m33}F_3 + j\omega d_{33}V_3 = j\omega \mathcal{C}'_{m33}F_3 + I\frac{d_{33}}{C_p}$$
(C.40)

The value of I is replaced by its value in Equation (C.29) to obtain the relation.

$$\mathcal{C}'_{m33} = \mathcal{C}_{m33} \left(1 - \frac{d_{33}^2}{C_p \mathcal{C}_{m33}} \right) = \mathcal{C}_{m33} \left(1 - \frac{d_{33}^2 t_c A}{\varepsilon A t_c s_{33}^E} \right) = \mathcal{C}_{m33} \left(1 - \frac{d_{33}^2}{\varepsilon s_{33}^E} \right) = \mathcal{C}_{m33} \left(1 - \frac{d_{33}^2}{\varepsilon s_{33}^E} \right) = \mathcal{C}_{m33} \left(1 - k_{33}^2 \right)$$

$$(C.41)$$

The electromechanical coupling coefficient relates both sets of variables as in the working mode 31.

C.2.1 Connection of a Load to the Electromechanical Coupling Circuit

Next, it will be calculated the value of V_3 for the case that a load is connected to the piezoelectric material. The Kirchoff analysis of the current flowing in the electrical part of the electromechanical coupling circuit gives the following expression:

$$I_t = I + I_{C'_n} \tag{C.42}$$

where I_t , I and $I_{C'_p}$ are the current flowing through the transformer, the resistive load and C'_p , respectively.

 I_t is substituted by the product of the transfer ratio of the transformer and $\nu_3 d_{33}$ and I and $I_{C'_p}$ for the division between its voltage and electrical impedance.

$$\frac{\nu_3 d_{33}}{\mathcal{C}_{m33}} = \frac{V_3}{R} + j\omega C'_p V_3 \tag{C.43}$$

Substituting in Equation (C.43), ν_3 and \mathcal{C}_{m33} for Equation (C.30) and $j\omega\Delta t_c$, respectively, it is obtained:

$$V_{3} = \frac{j\omega\Delta t_{c}Rd_{33}A}{s_{33}^{E}/t_{c}\left(1+j\omega RC_{p}'\right)} = \frac{j\omega RAS_{3}Y_{33}d_{33}}{1+j\omega R\frac{\varepsilon_{33}^{T}A}{t_{c}}\left(1-k_{33}^{2}\right)}$$
(C.44)

The dissipated power on the load resistor is expressed by Equation (C.45) for a piezoelectric beam with average strain S_3 , under a sinusoidal mechanical excitation of angular frequency ω , that gives rise to a sinusoidal voltage waveform with amplitude $|V_3|$.

$$\langle P_{33} \rangle = \frac{\left| V_3^2 \right|}{2R} = \frac{\left(d_{33} S_3 A \omega \right)^2 R}{2s_{33}^E \left(1 + \omega^2 R^2 C_p^{\prime 2} \right)} \tag{C.45}$$

This expression has a maximum when Equation (C.46) is verified, corresponding to a resistor matching the internal impedance of the piezoelectric film.

$$R = \frac{1}{\omega C'_p} = \frac{1}{\omega \varepsilon^T_{33} \left(1 - k^2_{33}\right) A/t_c}$$
(C.46)

Equation (C.47) expresses this value of the maximum average power.

$$\langle P_{max33} \rangle = \frac{d_{33}^2 S_3^2 A^2 \omega}{4s_{33}^E C_p'} \tag{C.47}$$

C.3 Electromechanical piezoelectric model for mode 31 and state variables T, \dot{S} , E and \dot{D}

Figures C.3(a) and C.3(b) show an electromechanical piezoelectric model where the state variables are F, ν , V, and I. The transformer relates mechanical



Figure C.3: Piezoelectric coupling circuits, relating mechanical and electrical magnitudes.

magnitudes (stress) and electrical magnitudes (electric field). If the piezoelectic constitutive equations are transformed to the Fourier domain, the following equations are obtained:

$$\dot{S}_{1} = j\omega s_{11}^{E} T_{1} + j\omega d_{31} E_{3} \dot{D}_{3} = j\omega d_{31} T_{1} + j\omega \varepsilon_{33}^{T} E_{3}$$
(C.48)

This equation can be expressed also using Young's modulus, Y, that is defined as the mechanical stress obtained when a strain is applied.

$$\dot{S}_{1} = j\omega \frac{1}{Y_{11}}T_{1} + j\omega d_{31}E_{3}
\dot{D}_{3} = j\omega d_{31}T_{1} + j\omega \varepsilon_{33}^{T}E_{3}$$
(C.49)

The equations derived from the circuit represented by Figure C.3(a) are:

$$\frac{\dot{S}_1}{j\omega s_m} + T_t - T_1 = 0\dot{D}_3 - j\omega E_3\varepsilon'_p - \dot{D}_{3o} = 0$$
(C.50)

The transformer turns ratio between the mechanical and the electrical part of the piezoelectric equivalent circuit relates both sides. For Figure C.3(a), the relation between the electrical and mechanical parts is given by:

$$\dot{S}_3 = -n_1 \dot{D}_{3o}$$
 (C.51)

$$E_3 = n_1 T_t \tag{C.52}$$

Equation (C.49) can be expressed as:

$$\frac{S_1 Y_{11}}{j\omega} = T_1 + E_3 Y_{11} d_{31} \tag{C.53}$$

Comparing Equations (C.50) and (C.53), it is deduced that:

$$T_t = -E_3 Y_{11} d_{31} \tag{C.54}$$

Therefore, turns ratio of the transformer can be expressed as a function of the piezoelectric constants.

$$n_1 = \frac{E_3}{T_t} = -\frac{1}{Y_{11}d_{31}} \tag{C.55}$$

The value of s_m can be easily determined from Equation (C.50).

$$s_m = \frac{1}{Y_{31}}$$
 (C.56)

The value of

 $dot D_{3o}$ is calculated combining Equations (C.55) and (C.51):

$$\dot{D}_{3o} = \dot{S}_1 Y_{11} d_{31} \tag{C.57}$$

The expression of ε'_p as a function of the piezoelectric constants is calculated employing the following expression that is derived from the analysis of the electrical part of the circuit of Figure C.1(a).

$$\dot{D}_3 = j\omega E_3 \varepsilon'_p + \dot{S}_1 Y_{11} d_{31} \tag{C.58}$$

Substituting in the previous Equation the value of D_3 by the value given by Equation (C.49), it is obtained:

$$j\omega E_3 \varepsilon'_p = j\omega \varepsilon^T_{33} E_3 + j\omega d_{31} T_1 - \dot{S}_1 Y_{11} d_{31}$$
(C.59)

Reordering the previous equation and employing the first expression of Equation (C.49), it is deduced:

$$j\omega E_3 \varepsilon'_p = j\omega \varepsilon^T_{33} E_3 + d_{31} Y_{11} \left(-j\omega d_{31} E_3 \right)$$
(C.60)

Thus, the value for ε'_p is calculated using the relation between piezoelectric constants $\frac{d_{31}^2}{s_{11}^E \varepsilon_{33}^T} = k_{31}^2$ that appears in Table 3.3.

$$\varepsilon'_{p} = \varepsilon^{T}_{33} - d^{2}_{31}Y_{11} = \varepsilon^{T}_{33} \left(1 - \frac{d^{2}_{31}Y_{11}}{\epsilon^{T}_{33}}\right) =$$

= $\varepsilon^{T}_{33} \left(1 - \frac{d^{2}_{31}}{s^{E}_{11}\varepsilon^{T}_{33}}\right) = \varepsilon^{T}_{33} \left(1 - k^{2}_{31}\right)$ (C.61)

Another possibility for the representation of the piezoelectric coupling circuit is shown in Figure C.3(b). The relation of the components of this circuit and the piezoelectric constants are calculated below. Moreover, it is also calculated its relation with the components of the equivalent circuit represented in Figure C.3(a). The turns ratio of the transformer, n_2 , is calculated employing the equations extracted from the analysis of the circuit shown in Figure C.3(b).

$$\dot{S}_{1} = j\omega s'_{m}T_{1} - n_{2}\dot{D}_{3}
E_{3} = j\omega \varepsilon_{p}\dot{D}_{3} + n_{2}T_{1}$$
(C.62)

The previous equation can be also expressed as:

$$\dot{D}_3 = j\omega\varepsilon_p E_3 - j\omega\varepsilon_{33}^T n_2 T_1 \tag{C.63}$$

Comparing the above expression with the value of \dot{D} from Equation (C.49), it is obtained that the value of the transformer ratio is:

$$n_2 = -d_{31}/\varepsilon_{33}^T \tag{C.64}$$

The value of ε_p is:

$$\varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_{33}^T$$
 (C.65)

The component ε_p of the circuit of Figure C.3(b) is related with the component ε'_p of the circuit of Figure C.3(a).

$$\varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_p' \left(1 - k_{31}^2 \right) \tag{C.66}$$

In order to determine the value of s'_m , it is employed the expression for S_1 of Equation (C.62).

$$\dot{S}_1 = j\omega T_1 s'_m + \frac{d_{31}}{\varepsilon_{33}^T} \dot{D}_3 \tag{C.67}$$

When the above expression is equaled to the expression of \dot{S}_1 given in Equation (C.49), it is gotten the value of s'_m as a function of the piezoelectric constants.

$$j\omega T_1 s'_m + \frac{d_{31}}{\varepsilon_p} \dot{D}_3 = j\omega \frac{T_1}{Y_{11}} + j\omega d_{31} E_3$$
(C.68)

Reordering the previous expression and employing the second expression that appears in Equation (C.49), the value of s'_m is obtained.

$$s'_{m} = \frac{1}{Y_{11}} - \frac{d_{31}^{2}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{Y_{11}} \left(1 - \frac{d_{31}^{2}Y_{11}}{\varepsilon_{33}^{T}} \right) = \frac{1}{Y_{11}} \left(1 - k_{31}^{2} \right)$$
(C.69)

Comparing Equation (C.56) and Equation (C.69), it is deduced that s_m and s'_m are related as the other components of the two equivalent circuits through the electromechanical coupling coefficient.

$$s'_m = s_m \left(1 - k_{31}^2 \right) \tag{C.70}$$

C.3.1 Connection of a Load to the Electromechanical Coupling Circuit

The objective of this section is to determine under which conditions it is achieved the maximum average power of a piezoelectric PVDF film when it is connected to a resistive load, see Figure C.4. Thus, it will be calculated the value of V_3 for the case that a load is connected to the piezoelectric material. From the analysis of the circuit, the following equations are deduced.



Figure C.4: Piezoelectric coupling circuit relating mechanical and electrical magnitudes with a resistive load.

$$-\left(\dot{D}-\dot{D}_{o}\right)C_{p}+\dot{D}_{3}R'=\dot{D}_{o} \tag{C.71}$$

$$\dot{S}_1 Y_{11} d_{31} = -\dot{E}_3 \varepsilon'_p - \frac{E_3}{R'} \tag{C.72}$$

Then, the expression for V_3 taking into account the relation $E_3 = V_3/t_c$ and using Equation (C.72) and Equation (C.72) is calculated.

$$V_3 = -\frac{j\omega R' S_1 Y_{11} d_{31} t_c}{j\omega R' \varepsilon_{33}^T \left(1 - k_{31}^2\right) + 1}$$
(C.73)

Since the state variables in the electrical part of the circuit are E, and \dot{D} instead of V and I, the load is represented as R'. There is a relation between R' and the resistive load R. This relation is calculated comparing Equation (C.75) derived from the circuit of Figure C.2 and Equation (C.74) derived from Figure C.4.

$$\frac{E_3}{=} - \dot{D}_3 R' \tag{C.74}$$

$$V_3 = -I_3 R \tag{C.75}$$

In the previous equation, the state variables V and I are substituted for E and \dot{D} , respectively.

$$\dot{D}_3 = -E_3 \frac{t_c}{RA} \tag{C.76}$$

where A = WL.

Therefore, comparing Equation (C.74) with Equation (C.76), the existing relation between R' and R is found.

$$R' = R \frac{A}{t_c} \tag{C.77}$$

Substituting the result obtained from R' in Equation (C.73), it is obtained:

$$V_3 = -\frac{j\omega RAS_1 Y_{11} d_{31}}{j\omega RA/t_c \epsilon_{33}^T \left(1 - k_{31}^2\right) + 1} = -\frac{j\omega RAS_1 e_{31}}{j\omega RA/t_c \epsilon_{33}^T \left(1 - k_{31}^2\right) + 1}$$
(C.78)

The optimum value of R, R_{opt} , is given by the following expression. When $R = R_{opt}$ the value of V_3 is maximum.

Hence, the same results are obtained employing state variables T, \dot{S}, E , and \dot{D} instead of state variables F, ν, V , and I, demonstrating that both models are equivalents.

C.4 Electromechanical piezoelectric model for mode 33 and state variables T, \dot{S} , E and \dot{D}

If the piezoelectic constitutive equations are transformed to the Fourier domain, the following equations are obtained:

$$\dot{S}_{3} = j\omega s_{33}^{D} T_{3} + j\omega d_{33} E_{3}
\dot{D}_{3} = j\omega d_{33} T_{3} + j\omega \varepsilon_{33}^{T} E_{3}$$
(C.79)

The first equation can be expressed using Young's modulus, Y, instead of the compliance s^D .

$$\dot{S}_{3} = j\omega \frac{1}{Y_{33}}T_{3} + j\omega d_{33}E_{3}
\dot{D}_{3} = j\omega d_{33}T_{3} + j\omega \varepsilon_{33}^{T}E_{3}$$
(C.80)

The transformer between the mechanical and the electrical part of the piezoelectric equivalent circuit is calculated in the same way that in the previous section. The result obtained is:

$$n_2 = -\frac{1}{Y_{33}d_{33}} \tag{C.81}$$

The value of s_m is:

$$s_m = \frac{1}{Y_{33}}$$
 (C.82)

The value of ε'_p :

$$\varepsilon'_{p} = \epsilon^{T}_{33} - d^{2}_{33}Y_{33} = \varepsilon^{T}_{33} \left(1 - \frac{d^{2}_{33}Y_{33}}{\varepsilon^{T}_{33}} \right) = \varepsilon^{T}_{33} \left(1 - \frac{d^{2}_{33}}{s^{E}_{33}\varepsilon^{T}_{33}} \right) = \varepsilon^{T}_{33} \left(1 - \frac{d^{2}_{33}}{s^{E}_{33}\varepsilon^{T}_{33}} \right) = (C.83)$$

where k_{33} is the mechanical coupling factor for the thickness expansion working mode.

Therefore,

$$k_{33}^2 = \frac{d_{33}^2 Y_{33}}{\varepsilon_{33}^T} \tag{C.84}$$

Another possibility for the representation of the piezoelectric coupling circuit is shown in Figure C.3(b). In this case, the turns ratio of the transformer, n_2 , is:

$$n_2 = -d_{33}/\epsilon_{33}^T \tag{C.85}$$

The component ε_p of the electromechanical circuit expressed as a function of the piezoelectric constants is:

$$\varepsilon_p = \epsilon_{33}^T \tag{C.86}$$

 ε_p and ε_p' are related through the electromechanical coupling coefficient.

$$\varepsilon_p = \varepsilon'_p \left(1 - k_{33}^2 \right) \tag{C.87}$$

In order to determine the value of s'_m , it is employed the following expression that is extracted from the analysis of the circuit represented by Figure C.3(b):

$$\dot{S} = j\omega T s'_m + \frac{d}{\varepsilon} \dot{D} \tag{C.88}$$

The value of s'_m as a function of Y_{33} is:

$$s'_{m} = \frac{1}{Y_{33}} - \frac{d_{33}^{2}}{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{Y_{33}} \left(1 - \frac{d_{33}^{2}Y_{33}}{\epsilon_{33}^{T}} \right) = \frac{1}{Y_{33}} \left(1 - k_{33}^{2} \right)$$
(C.89)

 s_m and s_m^\prime are related through the electromechanical coupling coefficient in working mode 33, $k_{33}.$

$$s'_m = s_m \left(1 - k_{33}^2 \right) \tag{C.90}$$

The analysis of the connection of a resistive load to the electromechanical coupling circuit is not done since it has been demonstrated in Section C.3.1 that this calculation is equivalent to the one done for working mode 33 employing state variables F, ν , V, and I.

Appendix D

Acceleration Measurements on the Human Body

Figure D.1 shows the acceleration measurements of X-axis and Y-axis of an accelerometer placed on an ankle while a person was walking. Figure D.2 and Figure D.3 show a zoom of the previous figure for X-axis and Y-axis, respectively. Figures D.4 and D.5 show the acceleration spectrum for the same activity and location for X-axis and Y-axis, respectively, obtained applying a Hamming window and the FFT algorithm to the measured data. The two main peaks of the acceleration spectrum are at a frequency of 0.8 Hz and 1.6 Hz for both axis.



Figure D.1: Acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the ankle while a person was walking and descending and ascending stairs with T_s =0.013s.



Figure D.2: X-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the ankle while a person was walking with T_s =0.013s.

Figure D.6 shows the acceleration measurements of X-axis and Y-axis of an accelerometer placed on a wrist while a person was walking. Figure D.7 and Figure D.8 show a zoom of the previous figure for X-axis and Y-axis, respectively.Figure D.9 shows the spectrum of the acceleration measurements of Y-axis. The highest peak is at 0.8 Hz.



Figure D.3: Y-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the ankle while a person was walking with T_s =0.013s.



Figure D.4: Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained by placing an accelerometer on the ankle of a person that was walking with T_s =0.013s for X-direction.



Figure D.5: Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained by placing an accelerometer on the ankle of a person that was walking with T_s =0.013s for Y-direction.



Figure D.6: Acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the wrist while a person was walking with $T_s=0.013$ s.



Figure D.7: X-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the wrist while a person was walking with $T_s=0.013$ s.



Figure D.8: Y-axis acceleration measurements obtained by placing the sensor node on the wrist while a person was walking with T_s =0.013s.



Figure D.9: Acceleration spectrum calculated from measurements obtained by placing an accelerometer on the wrist of a person that was walking with T_s =0.013s for Y-direction.

Appendix E

Characterization of Thermoelectric Modules (TEMs)

Table E.1 and Table E.2 show the measurement obtained employing two different thermogenerators. The thermogenerators were connected to different resistive loads: R_2 , R_3 , R_4 and R_5 while the hot side of the TEG was heated. The data shown has been obtained after waiting two hours in order to assure stabilized temperatures at both sides of the TEG [132]. The parameters measured were the voltage of the TEG in open circuit, V_{oc} , the voltages on the resistive loads connected to the TEG (V_2 , V_3 , V_4 and V_5) as well as the temperature at the hot side, T_H , and cold side, T_C .

Table E.1:	Measurements	obtained	from	the	17	Α	1015	Η	200	Peltron	thermo-
generator.											

2						
$R_2 (\Omega)$	2.26	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.25
$R_3 (\Omega)$	11.03	11.03	11.02	11.03	11.03	11.03
$R_4 \ (\Omega)$	113.90	113.89	113.89	113.89	113.89	113.89
$R_5 (\Omega)$	1185.13	1185.12	1185.15	1185.14	1185.12	1185.11
$V_{oc} \ (mV)$	55.09	83.43	110.17	132.46	167.49	214.74
$V_2 \ (mV)$	33.82	50.81	66.46	79.13	99.11	126.06
$V_3 (mV)$	50.07	75.67	99.71	119.59	150.86	193.03
$V_4 \ (mV)$	54.56	82.61	109.06	131.10	165.71	212.41
$V_5 (mV)$	55.03	83.33	110.04	132.30	167.28	214.47
$T_H(^{\circ}C)$	38.93	48.93	58.86	68.79	78.74	88.69
$T_C(^{\circ}C)$	30.32	34.87	39.59	45.22	49.60	54.23

From these tables, it can be deduced the value of the parameters α_m and R_m . The values of these parameters is not constant and depend on the absolute

abie 11.2 , 11105	ai onitonitos (southou it	0111 0110 120	11 1000 1		mogemerate
$R_2(\Omega)$	2.25	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.26
$R_4 (\Omega)$	113.89	113.89	113.89	113.90	113.90	113.90
$R_5 (\Omega)$	1185.13	1185.13	1185.11	1185.10	1185.11	1185.12
$V_{oc} \ (mV)$	333.70	530.66	743.18	960.17	1178.59	1394.76
$V_2 \ (mV)$	47.50	72.91	98.70	123.38	146.71	168.38
$V_4 \ (mV)$	306.240	485.29	677.36	872.27	1067.17	1258.80
$V_5 \ (mV)$	330.55	525.43	735.58	950.02	1165.71	1379.03
$T_H(^{\circ}C)$	39.06	49.09	59.13	69.16	79.18	89.23
$T_C(^{\circ}C)$	32.35	37.73	42.58	47.44	52.26	57.17

Table E.2: Mesurements obtained from the 128 A 1030 Peltron thermogenerator.

temperature of one side and on the temperature gradient.

Table E.3: Parameters calculated from the measurements obtained for the 17 A 1015 H 200 Peltron thermogenerator.

$\alpha (V/K)$	$R_m (\Omega)$	$T_H(^{\circ}C)$	$T_C(^{\circ}C)$	ΔT
0.00643	1.418	38.928	30.321	8.607
0.00593	1.443	48.929	34.870	14.059
0.00572	1.476	58.860	39.589	19.271
0.00562	1.516	68.793	45.217	23.576
0.00575	1.554	78.737	49.603	29.135
0.00623	1.586	88.688	54.234	34.454

Table E.4: Parameters calculated from the measurements obtained for the 128 A 1030 Peltron thermogenerator.

$\alpha (V/K)$	$R_m (\Omega)$	$T_H(^{\circ}C)$	$T_C(^{\circ}C)$	ΔT
0.04971	10.213	39.058	32.345	6.713
0.0467	10.649	49.093	37.728	11.364
0.04489	11.066	59.132	42.578	16.554
0.04421	11.478	69.158	47.442	21.716
0.04377	11.891	79.182	52.256	26.926
0.04351	12.302	89.227	57.173	32.054

Tables E.5 and E.6 show the data given by the manufacturer for the 17 A 1015 H 200 and 128 A 1030 Peltron TEGs, respectively.

Table E.5: Electrical and thermal parameters for the TEG 17 A 1015 H200.

Symbol	Description	Value	Units
Q_{max}	Maximum amount of heat that	3.5	W
	can that can be absorbed at		
	TEMs cold plate at I_{max} and		
	$\Delta T = 0.$		
ΔT_{max}	Largest temperature differential	67	Κ
	between the hot and cold side		
	plates of a TEM for the given		
	value of T_h		
V_{max}	DC voltage that delivers the	2.1	V
	ΔT_{max} at the supplied I_{max}		
I_{max}	Input current that produces	2.5	Α
	ΔT_{max} across a TEM		
T_{max}	Maximum temperature at the	473	Κ
	hot side		
R_i	Internal resistance	0.7	Ω
α_m	Seebecks coefficient	0.0065	V/K
k_m	Thermal conductance	0.039	W/K
Θ_m	Thermal resistance	25.57	K/W
C_m	Heat capacity	0.61	J/K
Z	Figure of Merit	1.54e-3	K^{-1}
	Dimensions	11.5 x 11.5 x 3.2	

Table E.6: Electrical and thermal parameters for the TEG PKE 128 A 1030.

Symbol	Description	Value	Units
Q_{max}	Maximum amount of heat that	14	W
	can that can be absorbed at		
	TEMs cold plate at I_{max} and		
A 77	$\Delta T = 0.$		
ΔT_{max}	Largest temperature differential	65	K
	between the hot and cold side		
	plates of a TEM for the given $\int T$		
IZ	value of I_h	15	V
v_{max}	ΔT at the supplied I	10	v
T	ΔT_{max} at the supplied T_{max} Input current that produces	15	Δ
1 max	ΔT_{max} across a TEM	1.0	11
T_{max}	Maximum temperature at the	398	К
- max	hot side		
R_i	Internal resistance	7.7	Ω
α_m	Seebecks coefficient	0.049	V/K
k_m	Thermal conductance	0.157	Ŵ/K
Θ_m	Thermal resistance	6.38	K/W
Z	Figure of Merit	1.99e-3	K^{-1}
	Dimensions	25x25x5.2	mm
	Weight	10	g

Appendix F

Battery

The design of the power management system to power portable devices from energy harvesting sources is complicated due to the low voltage ranges in the case of thermoelectric and inductive transducers or due to the low current ranges in the case of piezoelectric generators. If a battery requires to be charged with energy harvesting transducers, it is necessary an accurate battery model to predict its behaviour and to give information about the state of charge (SOC) of the battery. The SOC of the battery is employed to estimate if the energy harvesting system is working in energy neutral operation. As it is explained in Chapter 6, next sections enter into more detail in the battery electrical model and the state of charge topics. Moreover, the measurement procedure to extract the parameters of the battery electrical model is also detailed.

F.1 State of Charge

The SOC is defined as the percentage of the maximum possible charge that is present inside the battery [91]. The main methods for the determination of the SOC are:

1. Direct measurement. The most used direct measurement method is the battery voltage measurement. This method implies the measurement of the voltage on the battery and is less expensive and requires less computing power than the coulomb counting method. It is the method employed for example in mobile phone applications. While it is true that a given cell's voltage level will continually drop during discharge, the voltage level's relationship to remaining charge varies greatly over cell temperature and discharge rate [133]. Therefore, this method is not appropriate for example for Li-ion and NiMH cells since the voltage on the battery at a given SOC varies with the value of the discharge current as can be seen in Figures F.5 and F.6. The error in SOC estimation can be corrected, if the temperature and the discharge rate of the battery are also measured and

compared with previously memorized data using look-up tables or functions [91]. However, this will make the SOC estimation more complicated and expensive than the coulomb counting method without providing any significant advantages.

2. Coulomb counting. This method implies an accurate measurement of the current flowing into or out of the battery and an integration of this current to calculate the SOC [91, 95, 133]. Therefore, this method takes into account that the capacity of a battery changes with the discharge current. If it is considered that the current is constant, then the SOC is defined as [95]:

$$SOC = \frac{ICA - C_{EMPTY}}{C_{FULL} - C_{EMPTY}} \times 100$$
(F.1)

where $ICA = I \times t$ is the charge accumulated or removed from the battery, C_{FULL} is the capacity of the battery when it is charged completely C_{EMPTY} is the capacity that remains in the battery when it is considered that is discharged completely. In this case the battery is first charged at constant current, 1C, until it reaches the maximum voltage on the battery and then it is charged at constant voltage until the charge current fell below C/20. In [95], the ICA register is reset to the value of C_{EMPTY} when the battery is fully discharged and it is reset to the value of C_{FULL} when the battery is fully charged. Summarizing, if $ICA = C_{EMPTY}$, the SOC = 0% and if $ICA = C_{FULL}$, the SOC = 100%.

If it is considered that $C_{EMPTY} = 0$, then $C_{FULL} = C_{AVAILABLE}$ and Equation (F.1) can be expressed as Equation (F.2). Moreover, the available capacity depends on the temperature and the value of the current when it is charged or discharged and this is the reason for expressing $C_{AVAILABLE}$ as a function of these two variables in Equation (F.2).

$$SOC = \frac{I \times t}{C_{AVAILABLE}(I,T)} \times 100$$
 (F.2)

h where $C_{AVAILABLE}(I,T)$ is the available capacity of the battery when it has been completely charged at 1C and then discharged with a constant current value I at a temperature T, I is the constant current employed to charge or discharge the battery and t is the time employed for the process.

An improvement of Equation (F.2) is done with Equation (F.3) since it is possible to calculate the SOC when different currents and temperatures are employed in the process of charging or discharging the battery with the introduction of a new variable, the initial state of charge, $SOC_{initial}$.

$$SOC = SOC_{initial} + \frac{I \times t}{C_{AVAILABLE}(I,T)} \times 100$$
 (F.3)

where $SOC_{initial}$ is the previous state of charge of the battery, I is the current flowing into (positive sign) or out of the battery (negative sign), and t is the time employed to charge or discharge the battery with a current I. The sign of I is positive when the battery is being charged and is negative when the battery is being discharged.

Temperature and discharge rates have high effects in the capacity of a Li-Ion battery. Other effects like the self-discharge of the battery are not relevant with this kind of chemistry.

[91] presents a new algorithm to predict the SOC of a battery based on the Coulomb counting method. The proposed algorithm operates in five different states: initial state, equilibrium state, transitional state, discharge state and charge state.

3. Adaptive systems. This method is based on a comparison that is made whenever possible between the estimated values with observed battery behavior [91].

A topic related with the calculation of the state of charge of batteries is the capacity of the cells that, as it is explained and observed in Section F.3 for the case of the Lithium-Ion cells, varies greatly depending on the temperature and discharge rate. Moreover, it is only necessary to keep track of the present cell temperature and discharge rate when determining remaining capacity [95] since most other conditions like heat losses during charging process or self-discharge have little or no effect on charge capacity. Thus, all these conditions can be ignored for the calculus of the capacity.

Theoretically, the capacity should be independent of the discharge rate since an identical amount of energy is dispensed during different times. Due to energy loss that occurs inside the battery and a drop in voltage that causes the battery to reach the low-end voltage cut-off sooner with high discharge rates, the capacity reading is lower in these cases. The discrepancy in capacity readings with different C-rates largely depends on the internal resistance of the battery.

The temperature is also an important factor that modifies the capacity of the battery. At room and high temperatures, the lithium cells have a great capacity. At low temperatures the capacity decrease drastically.

F.2 Electrical Models

This section gives a summary of different equivalent circuits that model the behavior of batteries and it is focused specially in the model proposed by Chen et al. in [3] since it is the model implemented in [13] (Chapter 13). These models are a combination of voltage sources, resistors and capacitors and are classified in three different categories: Thevenin, impedance, and runtime-based models [3].

The right circuit shown in Figure F.1 is a Thevenin battery model. The electrical components and signals of this circuit are: V_{oc} , the battery opencircuit voltage, V_{Batt} , the battery voltage, I_{bat} , the battery current, R_{series} , the constant part of the internal resistance that is caused by the connector, the electrodes and the electrolyte of the battery and two RC parallel networks. The two RC networks predict the battery response to transient load events. There are two exponential decay constants: a fast constant determined by R_{trans} and C_{trans} and a slow constant determined by R_{tran_L} and C_{tran_L} . R_{trans} and C_{trans} (slow exponential decay constant) describe the effects on the surface of the electrodes whereas R_{tran_L} and C_{tran_L} (fast exponential decay constant) describe diffusion processes in the electrolyte [134]. This model is not very accurate when it is not taken into account that the value of the electrical components depends on the state of charge of the battery [3].



Figure F.1: Electrical model of the battery [3]

There are another models like the impedance-based electrical model that is applied to lithium batteries [135]. Nonlinear electrical modes presents a battery equivalent circuit with the following components: V_b , battery voltage, i_b , current source, V_oc , open circuit voltage is the voltage across R_p and C_b , C_b , battery capacity R_p , self-discharge resistance [135,136].

The runtime models use a complex circuit network and are able to simulate battery runtime and the DC voltage response for a constant discharge current in a simulator. A complete model that is a combination of the exposed model is implemented by Chen et al. to obtain a battery model that can predict battery runtime and I-V performance [3].

The battery electrical model proposed by Chen et al. see Figure F.1, is divided in two circuits. The left circuit called battery lifetime estimates the state of charge of the battery whereas the right circuit named voltage-current characteristics is a RC network based in the Thevenin electrical battery models. The voltage value of V_{SOC} relates both circuits using the state of charge of the battery. This voltage is equivalent to the SOC of the battery and it has a value between zero (SOC = 0, battery discharged) and one volt (SOC = 1, battery fully charged) and it at so much per one. In the circuit that models the battery and the resistor $R_{selfdisch}$ characterizes the self-discharge energy loss. However, for the purpose of the electrical model here presented is not necessary to include this parameter into our model since in Li-Ion batteries, it can be ignored. The values of the second circuit of the battery model: $V_{oc}(SOC)$, R_{series} , R_{trans} , C_{trans} , R_{tran_L} , and C_{tran_L} depend on the state of charge of the battery.

The value of $C_{Capacity}$ represents the capacity of the battery and its value is defined by the following expression as:

$$C_{capacity} = 3600 Capacity f_1(Cycle) f_2(Temp)$$
(F.4)

where $C_{Capacity}$ is the nominal capacity of the battery expressed in Ahr, $f_1(Cycle)$ is a cycle-number dependent correlation factor and $f_2(Temp)$ a temperature dependent correlation factor. However, there is no correlation factor due to the value of the discharge current in [3]. Moreover, it is specified that $C_{Capacity}$ will not change with current variation since energy is conserved. It is explained that the usable capacity is reduced when the discharge current is increased due to different SOC value at the end of discharge for different currents owing to different voltage drops across internal resistor ($R_{series} + R_{trans} + R_{tran_L}$) and the same end-of-discharge voltage.

F.3 Battery Measurements and Parameters Calculation of the Electrical Model

This section shows the necessary measurements done with a Lithium polymer battery in order to calculate the parameters of the electrical model presented in the previous section. The battery characterized, see Figure F.2, was the model 602030 from Bullith with a nominal capacity of 75 mAh and a voltage range between 1.8 V and 2.8 V. All the measurements were done with the batteries placed inside a a CTS temperature chamber T-40/50 [137], see Figure F.3. The battery measurement setup is described in [22] (Chapter 13).



Figure F.2: Lithium polymer battery, model 602030 from Bullith

The method employed to calculate the parameters of the electrical model is the same one used in [3]. This method consists on the calculation of the electrical parameters of the battery electrical model as a function of the state of charge of the battery. The method consists in completely charging the battery and then discharging it each time with different current values to evaluate the dependence of its parameters with the discharge current employed. The battery is discharged



Figure F.3: Measurement setup for battery characterization including a climate chamber.

with constant current in order to observe the voltage drop and the exponential response to extract the value of the parameters of the electrical model. When the battery is being discharged, the SOC varies, and so do, the parameters of the electrical model. For this reason, the parameters of the electrical model are calculated at different values of SOC when it is constant (in the relaxation time, when the current is equal to zero). Therefore, the first measurements to do consist on the charge and discharge of the battery at different discharge currents in order to calculate the available capacity for each discharge current. The usual method to fully charge a Lithium battery consists first in charge the battery with a constant current (between 0.1C to 1C) until it reaches a value equal to its maximum voltage. Then, the battery is charged with a constant voltage until the current reaches a value lower than 0.1C. At this moment, it is considered that the battery is completely charged. Figure F.4 shows how the 602030 Lithium polymer battery from Bullith is first charged at 1C until it reaches its maximum voltage of 2.8 V and then it is charged at this voltage until the charge current is equal to 7.5 mA. The figure also shows the discharge curve of the voltage on the battery when the discharge current is 75 mA.



Figure F.4: Li-Ion battery charging and discharging stages.

Figures F.5 and F.6 show the measured discharging characteristics with different curves, each one with a different discharge current value (2*C*, 1*C* and 0.5*C*), of the battery voltage as a function of the nominal capacity at 20 °C for two different batteries. Figure F.7 shows two different curves of voltage as a function of nominal capacity for 2*C* and 1*C* discharge current at 0 °C.

The Bullith battery is charged with 1C in the temperature chamber at 20 °C. A discharge profile is configured to discharge the battery with every pulse at different discharge currents and obtain several points of the parameters as a function of the state of charge. It is necessary to assure that the relaxation time is at least equal to the slow time constant value to obtain an appropriate fitting. Also, during a time at least equal to the fast time constant it is necessary to store enough data in order to be able to realize the fitting process. Some of the results obtained are shown in Figures F.8 and F.9.

From the analysis of the electrical battery model of Figure F.1, it is deduced Equation (F.5). The fitting process is realized employing Matlab [138] and the EasyFit toolbox [139] employing a known expression, that contains a voltage drop and two exponential expressions where the coefficients have to be calculated. The results obtained are shown from Figure F.10 to Figure F.15 with different discharge currents at 20 °C as a function of the state of charge of the battery.



Figure F.5: Capacity that can be extracted from one battery sample at 20 °C.

$$V_{bat} = V_{oc} + I_{bat}R_{series} + I_{bat}R_{tran_S} \left(e^{-t/\tau_{tran_S}} - 1\right) + I_{bat}R_{tran_L} \left(e^{-t/\tau_{tran_L}} - 1\right)$$
(F.5)

The open circuit voltage of the battery, V_{oc} , as a function of the state of charge of the battery is shown in Figure F.10. Six different waveforms from two different batteries are represented with discharge current values of 2C, 1C and 0.5C. When the state of charge of the battery decreases, the open circuit voltage also decreases. Figure F.11 shows the series resistance of the battery as a function of its state of charge. The series resistance is almost constant from state of charge 0.9 to 0.2. R_{trans} as a function of the state of charge is displayed in Figure F.12 with an approximately constant value from a state of charge of 0.1 to fully charge and a similar value for all the discharge currents under consideration.

Figure F.13 shows R_{tran_L} versus the state of charge. The value of this component is almost constant from a state of charge of 0.4 to 0.9 for the different discharge currents and batteries used. Nevertheless, this value is not approximately equal for the different discharge currents and batteries.

Figures F.14 and F.14 show the response of C_{tran_S} and C_{tran_L} versus the



Figure F.6: Capacity that can be extracted from one battery sample at 20 °C.

state of charge of the battery. The value of these two components of the electrical model of the battery depends strongly on the discharge current employed.

This measurements allow to create a Verilog-A model for this battery that can be employed in energy harvesting system simulations like the ones done in Chapters 6 and 13 allowing to have realistic simulations and predict which is the minimum capacity of the battery and which is its minimum state of charge.



Figure F.7: Capacity that can be extracted from one battery sample at 0 °C.



Figure F.8: Battery voltage and current during charge stage and discharge pulses at 1C.



Figure F.9: Battery voltage and current during charge stage and discharge pulses at 2C.



Figure F.10: V_{oc} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030 at 20 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}.$



Figure F.11: R_{series} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030 at 20 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}.$



Figure F.12: R_{trans} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030 at 20 °C.



Figure F.13: R_{tran_L} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030 at 20 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}.$



Figure F.14: C_{tran_S} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030 at 20 °C.



Figure F.15: C_{tran_L} extracted parameter for the Bullith lithium battery 602030 at 20 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}.$
Bibliography

- J. A. Chavez, J. A. Ortega, J. Salazar, A. Turo, and M. J. Garcia, ""SPICE model of thermoelectric elements including thermal effects"," *Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference, 2000. IMTC* 2000. Proceedings of the 17th IEEE, vol. 2, pp. 1019–1023, May 2000.
- [2] S. Lineykin and S. Ben-Yaakov, ""SPICE compatible equivalent circuit of the energy conversion processes in thermoelectric modules"," *Electrical* and Electronics Engineers in Israel, 2004. Proceedings. 2004 23rd IEEE Convention of, pp. 346–349, September 2004.
- [3] M. Chen and G. Rincón-Mora, "Accurate electrical battery model capable of predicting runtime and I-V performance," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 504–511, June 2005.
- [4] T. Starner, "The challenges of wearable computing: Part 1," Micro, IEEE, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 44–52, 2001.
- [5] —, "The challenges of wearable computing: Part 2," *Micro, IEEE*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 54–67, 2001.
- [6] T. Zimmerman, "Personal area networks (PAN): Near-field intra-body communication," Master's thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, September 1995.
- [7] —, "Personal area networks: Near-field intrabody communication," *IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 35, no. 3&4, pp. 609–617, 1996.
- [8] F. Gesellschaft, Body Area Network. [Online]. Available: http://www.ban.fraunhofer.de/
- [9] P. Lukowicz, H. Junker, M. Stäger, T. von Büren, and G. Tröster, "Wear-NET: A Distributed Multi-Sensor System for Context Aware Wearables," in *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing*, Ubicomp 2002, September 2002, pp. 361–370.
- [10] I. Oppermann, L. Stoica, A. Rabbachin, Z. Shelby, and J. Haapola, "Uwb wireless sensor networks: Uwen - a practical example," *IEEE Communi*cations Magazine, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. S27–S32, 2004.

- [11] S. Roundy, E. Leland, J. Baker, E. Carleton, E. Reilly, E. Lai, B. Otis, J. Rabaey, P. Wright, and V. Sundararajan, "Improving power output for vibration-based energy scavengers," *Pervasive Computing, IEEE*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 28–36, 2005.
- [12] S. Roundy, P. K. Wright, and J. M. Rabaey, *Energy scavenging for wireless sensor networks with special focus on vibrations*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [13] L. Mateu and F. Mol, "System-level simulation of a self-powered sensor with piezoelectric energy harvesting," in *Proceedings for the SENSOR-COMM 2007 Conference*, Valencia, October 2007.
- [14] F. Pecheux, C. Lallement, and A. Vachoux, ""VHDL-AMS and Verilog-AMS as alternative hardware description languages for efficient modeling of multidiscipline systems"," *Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 204–225, 2005.
- [15] Ptolemy Project, http://ptolemy.eecs.berkeley.edu.
- [16] Nordic Semiconductor., http://www.nvlsi.no.
- [17] Enocean, http://www.enocean.com.
- [18] L. Mateu and F. Moll, "Review of Energy Harvesting Techniques for Microelectronics," in *Proceedings of SPIE Microtechnologies for the new millenium*, May 2005, pp. 359–373.
- [19] —, "Optimum piezoelectric bending beam structures for energy harvesting using shoe inserts," J. of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 835–845, 2005.
- [20] —, "Appropriate charge control of the storage capacitor in a piezoelectric energy harvesting device for discontinuous load operation," *Sensors* and Actuators A: Physical, vol. 132, no. 1, pp. 302–310, 2006.
- [21] L. Mateu, C. Villavieja, and F. Moll, "Physics-Based Time-Domain Model of a Magnetic Induction Microgenerator," *Magnetics, IEEE Transactions* on, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 992–1001, March 2007.
- [22] L. Mateu, C. Codrea, N. Lucas, M. Pollak, and P. Spies, "Human Body Energy Harvesting Thermogenerator for Sensing Applications," in *Pro*ceedings for the SENSORCOMM 2007 Conference, October 2007.
- [23] A. Reinders, "Options for photovoltaic solar energy systems in portable products," in *proceedings of TCME 2002, Fourth International sympo*sium, 22-26 April 2002, pp. –.

- [24] M. Veefkind and S. F. J. Flipsen, "Gathering data on the energy to be harvested with portable consumer products, method and equipment," in *Proceedings of the ISES EuroSun Congress*, 2004, pp. –.
- [25] M. Veefkind, "Industrial design and PV-power, challenges and barrieres," in Proceedings of the ISES Solar World Congress, 2003, pp. –.
- [26] k. Lin, J. Yu, J. Hsu, S. Zahedi, D. Lee, J. Friedman, A. Kansal, V. Raghunathan, and M. Srivastava, "Demo abstract: Heliomote: Enabling longlived sensor networks through solar energy harvesting," in *In Proceedings* of ACM SenSys 2005, November 2005.
- [27] ATA LABS. [Online]. Available: http://atlalabs.com/
- [28] E. de Benito, ""inventores españoles desarrollan un marcapasos solar"," *El País*, September 2004.
- [29] P. Fairley, "Solar-cell rollout," Technology Review, pp. 35–40, July-August 2004.
- [30] A. Kansal, J. Hsu, S. Zahedi, and M. B. Srivastava, "Power management in energy harvesting sensor networks," Networked and Embedded Systems Laboratory, UCLA, Tech. Rep. TR-UCLA-NESL-200603-02, 2006. [Online]. Available: http://nesl.ee.ucla.edu/fw/kansal/kansal_tecs.pdf
- [31] P. D. Mitcheson, T. C. Green, E. M. Yeatman, and A. S. Holmes, ""Architectures for vibration-driven micropower generators"," J. of Microelectromechanical Systems, vol. 13, no. 3, June 2004.
- [32] C. V. C. Bouten, K. T. M. Koekkoek, M. Verduin, R. Kodde, and J. D. Janssen, "A triaxial accelerometer and portable data processing unit for the assessment of daily physical activity," *IEEE Trans. on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 136–147, March 1997.
- [33] C. Williams, C. Shearwood, M. Harradine, P. Mellor, T. Birch, and R. Yates, "Development of an electromagnetic micro-generator," *Circuits, Devices and Systems, IEE Proceedings*, vol. 148, no. 6, pp. 337–342, December 2001.
- [34] W. Li, Z. Wen, P. Wong, G. Chan, and P. Leong, "A micromachined vibration-induced power generator for low power sensors of robotic systems," in *Proceedings of Eight International Symposium on Robotics with Applications*, June 2000, pp. 16–21.
- [35] N. N. H. Ching, G. M. H. Chan, W. J. Li, H. Y. Wong, and P. H. W. Leong, "PCB integrated micro generator for wireless systems," in *Intl. Symp. on Smart Structures and Microsystems*, 19-21 October 2000.
- [36] R. Amirtharajah and A. Chandrakasan, "Self-powered low power signal processing," in *Proceedings of the Symposium on VLSI Circuits Digest of Technical Papers*, 1997.

- [37] S. Yuen, J. Lee, W. Li, and P. Leong, "An AA-sized vibration-based microgenerator for wireless sensors," *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 64–72, January-March 2007.
- [38] S. Meninger, J. Mur-Miranda, R. Amirtharajah, A. P. Chandrasakan, and J. H. Lang, "Vibration to electric energy conversion," *IEEE Trans.* on VLSI, vol. 9, no. 1, February 2001.
- [39] S. Roundy, P. Wright, and J. Rabaey, "A study of low level vibrations as a power source for wireless sensor nodes," *Computer Communications*, vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 1131–1144, 2003.
- [40] S. Roundy, B. P. Otis, Y.-H. Chee, J. M. Rabaey, and P. Wright, "A 1.9 ghz rf tansmit beacon using environmentally scavenged energy," in *Proceedings of the ISPLED 2003*, 2003.
- [41] S. Roundy, P. Wright, and K. Pister, "Micro-electrostatic vibration-toelectricity converters," in *Proceedings of ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition IMECE2002*, vol. 220, November 2002, pp. 17–22.
- [42] T. Sterken, K. Baert, R. Puers, and S. Borghs, "Power extraction from ambient vibration," in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Semiconductor* Sensors, Nov. 2002, pp. 680–683.
- [43] M. Miyazaki, H. Tanaka, T. N. G. Ono, N. Ohkubo, T. Kawahara, and K. Yano, "Electric-energy generation using variable-capacitive resonator for power-free lsi: efficiency analysis and fundamental experiment," in *Proceedings of the ISLPED 03*, 25-27 August 2003, pp. 193–198.
- [44] H. Hu, H. Xue, and Y. Hu, "A spiral-shaped harvester with an improved harvesting element and an adaptive storage circuit," *IEEE Transactions* on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 1177–1187, June 2007.
- [45] G. Ottman, H. Hofmann, A. Bhatt, and G. Lesieutre, "Adaptive piezoelectric energy harvesting circuit for wireless remote power supply," *IEEE Trans. on Power Electronics*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 669–676, September 2002.
- [46] G. Ottman, H. Hofmann, and G. Lesieutre, "Optimized piezoelectric energy harvesting circuit using step-down converter in discontinuous conduction mode," *IEEE Trans. on Power Electronics*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 696–703, March 2003.
- [47] P. Mitcheson, T. Green, and E. Yeatman, "Power processing circuits for electromagnetic, electrostatic and piezoelectric inertial energy scavengers," *Microsystem Technologies*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 1629–1635, 2007.

- [48] B. Stark, P. Mitcheson, P. Miao, T. Green, E. Yeatman, and A. Holmes, "Power processing issues for micro-power electrostatic generators," in *Power Electronics Specialists Conference*, 2004.PESC 04.2004 IEEE 35th Annual, vol. 6, 2004, pp. 4156–4162.
- [49] S. Angrist, Direct Energy Conversion. Allyn & Bacon, 1982.
- [50] Thermoelectrics.com, http://www.thermoelectrics.com.
- [51] M. A. Ryan and J.-P. Fleurial, "Where there is heat, there is a way. thermal to electric power conversion using thermoelectric microconverters," *The Electromechanical Society Interface*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 30–33, 2002.
- [52] T. Starner, ""Human-powered Wearable Computing"," IBM Systems Journal, vol. 35, no. 3&4, 1996.
- [53] V. Leonov and P. Fiorini, "Thermal Matching of a Thermoelectric Energy Scavenger with the Ambience," in *Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Thermoelectrics*, September 2007.
- [54] V. Leonov, T. Torfs, P. Fiorini, and C. Van Hoof, "Thermoelectric Converters of Human Warmth for Self-Powered Wireless Sensor Nodes," *Sensors Journal*, *IEEE*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 650–657, 2007.
- [55] D.T.S. GmbH, http://www.dts-generator.com.
- [56] M. Stordeur and I. Stark, "Low power thermeoelctric generator selfsufficient energy supply for micro systems," in *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Thermoelectrics*, 1997, pp. 575–577.
- [57] I. Stark and M. Stordeur, "'new micro thermoelectric devices based on bismuth telluride-type thin solid films'," in *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Thermoelectronics*, 1999, pp. 465–472.
- [58] J. Stevens, "Optimized thermal design of small δ t thermoelectric generators," in Proceedings of the 34th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, 1999.
- [59] Seiko Instruments Inc., http://www.sii.co.jp/info/eg/thermic_main.html.
- [60] M. Kishi, H. Nemoto, T. Hamao, M. Yamamoto, S. Sudou, M. Mandai, and S. Yamamoto, "Micro thermoelectric modules and their application to wristwatchesas an energy source," *Thermoelectrics*, 1999. Eighteenth International Conference on, pp. 301–307, 1999.
- [61] I. Stark, "Thermal energy harvesting with thermo life," in Proceedings of the International Workshop on Wearable and Implantable Body Sensor Networks (BSN'06), 2006.

- [62] C. Tsui, H. Shao, W. Ki, and F. Su, "Ultra-low voltage power management circuit and computation methodology for energy harvesting applications," *Proceedings of the 2006 conference on Asia South Pacific design automa*tion, pp. 96–97, 2006.
- [63] J. Damaschke, "Design of a low-input-voltage converter for thermoelectricgenerator," *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 1203–1207, 1997.
- [64] B. Strassner and K. Chang, "A circularly polarized rectifying antenna array for wireless microwave power transmission with over 78% efficiency," in *Microwave Symposium Digest, 2002 IEEE MTT-S International*, vol. 3, 2–7 June 2002, pp. 1535–1538.
- [65] J. Hagerty, F. Helmbrecht, W. McCalpin, R. Zane, and Z. Popovic, "Recycling ambient microwave energy with broad-band rectenna arrays," *IEEE Transactions on microwave theory and techniques*, vol. 52, no. 3, March 2004.
- [66] A. Lal and J. Blanchard, "The daintiest dynamos," *IEEE Spectrum*, vol. 41, no. 9, pp. 36–41, September 2004.
- [67] T. Jones, "Triboelectric charging common objects," 2004, http://www.ece.rochester.edu/ jones/demos/charging.html.
- [68] V. Kaajakari and A. Lal, "Electrostatic batch assembly of surface MEMS using ultrasonictriboelectricity," in *Micro Electro Mechanical Systems*, 2001. MEMS 2001. The 14th IEEE International Conference on, 2001, pp. 10–13.
- [69] Berkeley mica motes, http://www.xbow.com.
- [70] Berkeley Operating System for motes, http://www.btinyos.net.
- [71] Advances in Human-Powered Energy Systems in Consumer Products. IN-TERNATIONAL DESIGN CONFERENCE - DESIGN 2004, 18-21 May 2004.
- [72] A. Applewhite, "It takes a village," *IEEE Spectrum*, pp. 40–45, September 2003.
- [73] Windstream Power Systems Inc., http://www.windstreampower.com/.
- [74] J. Drake, "The greatest shoe on earth," wired, vol. 9, no. 02, pp. 90–100, Feb. 2001.
- [75] R. J. Kuipers, "Engineering a human powered mp3 player," Master's thesis, Delft University of Technology, 2003.
- [76] Freeplay, http://www.freeplayenergy.com/.

- [77] A. Stevels and A. J. Jansen, ""Renewable energy in portable radios: an environmental benchmarking study"," *The Journal of Sustainable Product Design*, January 1998.
- [78] Atkin Design and Development Ltd., http://www.atkin.info.
- [79] T. Starner, "Wearable computing for the developing world," *Pervasive Computing, IEEE*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 87–91, 2005.
- [80] T. Starner and J. Paradiso, "Human generated power for mobile electronics," in *Low-Power Electronics*, C. Piguet, Ed. CRC Press, 2005, no. 45, pp. –.
- [81] F. Moll and A. Rubio, "An approach to the analysis of wearable bodypowered systems," in *Mixed Signal Design Workshop*, June 2000.
- [82] N. S. Shenck, "A demonstration of useful electric energy generation from piezoceramics in a shoe," Master's thesis, Massachussets Institute of Technology, 1997.
- [83] N. Shenck and J. Paradiso, "Energy scavenging with shoe-mounted piezoelectrics," *Micro*, *IEEE*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 30–42, 2001.
- [84] R. Pelrine, R. Kornbluh, Q. Pei, and J. Joseph, "High-speed electrically actuated elastomers with strain greater than 100%," *Science Magazine*, vol. 287, pp. 836–839, February 2000.
- [85] S. Ashley, "Artificial muscles," Scientific American, vol. 289, no. 4, pp. 52–59, October 2003.
- [86] J. Kymissis, C. Kendall, J. Paradiso, and N. Gershenfeld, "Parasitic power harvesting in shoes," in *Second IEEE International Conference on Wearable Computing*, 1998, pp. 132–139.
- [87] J. Y. Hayashida, "Unobtrusive integration of magnetic generator systems into common footwear," Master's thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2000.
- [88] T. von Büren, P. Mitcheson, T. Green, E. Yeatman, A. Holmes, and G. Troster, "Optimization of inertial micropower Generators for human walking motion," *Sensors Journal, IEEE*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 28–38, 2006.
- [89] J. Paradiso, "Renewable energy sources for the future of mobile and embedded computing," in *Invited talk given at the Intel Computing Continuum Conference, San Francisco, California.*
- [90] Thermo Life Energy Corp. [Online]. Available: http://www.poweredbythermolife.com/

- [91] V. Pop, H. Bergveld, P. Notten, and P. Regtien, "State-of-the-art of battery state-of-charge determination," *Measurement Science and Technol*ogy, vol. 16, pp. R93–R110(1), December 2005.
- [92] Z. Chen, "High pulse power system through engineering battery-capacitor combination," vol. 2, 2000, pp. 752–755.
- [93] Battery University, http://www.batteryuniversity.com/parttwo-55.htm.
- [94] D. Linden and T. Reddy, Handbook of Batteries. 3rd ed. McGraw-Hill, 2001, vol. 3.
- [95] M. D. Semiconductor, "Application note 131. lithium-ion cell fuel gauging with dallas semiconductor battery monitor ics." Maxim Dallas Semiconductor" Application Note, March 2001.
- [96] S. Davis, "Battery power management: Optimal battery performance calls for different soulutions in different applications," June 2004. [Online]. Available: http://electronicdesign.com/Files/29/8079_8079_01.pdf
- [97] S. Park, A. Savvides, and M. Srivastava, "Battery capacity measurement and analysis using lithium coin cell battery." ACM Press New York, NY, USA, 2001, pp. 382–387.
- [98] Y.-S. Hwang, S.-C. Wang, F.-C. Yang, and J.-J. Chen, "New compact cmos li-ion battery charger using charge-pump technique for portable applications," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems- I: Regular Papers*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 705–712, April 2007.
- [99] I. Buchmann, Batteries in a portable world; a handbook on rechargeable batteries for non-engineers. Burnaby: Cadex Electronics, 1997.
- [100] P. Barrade, "Energy storage and applications with supercapacitors," 2003.
- [101] M. Rossi, Acoustics and Electroacoustics. Norwood, MA: Artech House, Inc., 1988.
- [102] S. Platt, S. Farritor, and H. Haider, "On low-frequency electric power generation with pzt ceramics," *Mechatronics, IEEE/ASME Transactions* on, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 240–252, April 2005.
- [103] A. D. Aguil, "Sistema d'adquisici d'ones generades per lmines piezoelctriques al caminar," Master's thesis, ETS Enginyeria de Telecomunicaci, UPC, May 2007.
- [104] Piezo Film Sensors Technical Manual, Measurement Specialities, http://www.msiusa.com.
- [105] S. Roundy, "Energy scavenging for wireless sensor nodes with a focus on vibration to electricity conversion," Ph.D. dissertation, niversity of California, 2003.

- [106] W. Li, T. Ho, G. Chan, P. Leong, and H. Y. Wong, ""Infrared signal transmission by a laser-micromachined, vibration-induced power generator"," in *Circuits and Systems, 2000. Proceedings of the 43rd IEEE Midwest* Symposium on, vol. 1, 2000, pp. 236–239 vol.1.
- [107] T. von Büren, P. Mitcheson, T. Green, E. Yeatman, A. Holmes, and G. Troster, ""Optimization of inertial micropower Generators for human walking motion"," *Sensors Journal, IEEE*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 28–38, 2006.
- [108] R. Amirtharajah and A. Chandrakasan, "Self-powered signal processing using vibration-based power generation," *Solid-State Circuits, IEEE Journal of*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 687–695, 1998.
- [109] "Adxl202 low-cost pm2g dual-axis accelerometer with duty cycle output," October 2000. [Online]. Available: http://www.analog.com/en/prod/0,2877,ADXL202,00.html
- [110] J. Matson, "Application note calibrating the adxl210 accelerometer," Tech. Rep., December 1999.
- [111] P. Lukowicz, H. Junker, and G. Troster, "Automatic calibration of body worn acceleration sensors," *Proceedings of the second international Per*vasive Computing conference, pp. 176–181, 2004.
- [112] A. Krohn, M. Beigl, C. Decker, U. Kochendorfer, P. Robinson, and T. Zimmer, "Inexpensive and Automatic Calibration for Acceleration Sensors," *LECTURE NOTES IN COMPUTER SCIENCE*, vol. 3598, p. 245, 2005.
- [113] Thermoelectrics.com, http://www.thermoelectrics.com/introduction.htm.
- [114] D. Mitrani, J. Tome, J. Salazar, A. Turo, M. Garcia, and J. Chavez, "Methodology for extracting thermoelectric module parameters," *Instrumentation and Measurement, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 1548–1552, August 2005.
- [115] S. Lineykin and S. Ben-Yaakow, "Analysis of thermoelectric coolers by a spice-compatible equivalent-circuit model," *IEEE Power Electronics Letters*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 63–66, June 2005.
- [116] Peltron GmbH Peltier-Technik, http://www.peltron.de.
- [117] "Texas instruments," http://www.ti.com/.
- [118] L. Mateu, C. Codrea, N. Lucas, M. Pollak, and P. Spies, "Energy Harvesting for Wireless Communication Systems Using Thermogenerators," in *Proceedings of the XXI Conference on Design of Circuits and Integrated Systems (DCIS)*, november 2006.
- [119] L. Mateu, M. Pollak, and P. Spies, "Electrical characterization of a piezoelectric film-based power generator for autonomous wearable devices," in *Proceedings of the PowerMEMS Conference*, November 2007.

- [120] T. Torfs, V. Leonov, C. Van Hoof, and B. Gyselinckx, "Body-Heat Powered Autonomous Pulse Oximeter," in *Sensors*, 2006. 5th IEEE Conference on, October 2007, pp. 427–430.
- [121] V. Leonov, T. Torfs, N. Kukhar, C. V. Hoof, and R. Vullers, "Smallsize BiTe Thermopiles and a Thermoelectric Generator for Wearable Sensor Nodes," in *Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Thermoelectrics*, September 2007.
- [122] Sanyo Eneloop Ready to use Rechargeable Battery, http://www.eneloop.info.
- [123] Bullith Batteries, http://www.bullith.de.
- [124] A. Kansal, D. Potter, and M. B. Srivastava, "Performance aware tasking for environmentally powered sensor networks," in SIGMETRICS '04/Performance '04: Proceedings of the joint international conference on Measurement and modeling of computer systems. New York, NY, USA: ACM Press, 2004, pp. 223–234.
- [125] Advanced Linear Devices, Inc., http://www.aldinc.com.
- [126] NXP founded by Philips, http://www.nxp.com/pip/BSH105.html.
- [127] A. Omole, "Analysis, Modeling and Simulation of Optimal Power Tracking of Multiple-Modules of Paralleled Solar Cell Systems," Master's thesis, Florida State University, College of Engineering, 2006.
- [128] Y. Lim and D. Hamill, "Simple maximum power point tracker for photovoltaic arrays," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 997–999, 2000.
- [129] C. Villavieja, I. Gelado, N. Navarro, M. Gil, L. Mateu, F. Martorell, and F. Moll, "Experimental Runtime Power Consumption Measurements in WSN," in *Proceedings of the UCAMI*, vol. 5, 2005.
- [130] T. Ikeda, Fundamentals of Piezoelectricity. Oxford: Oxford Science Publications, 1990.
- [131] A. Moulson and J. Herbert, *Electroceramics. Materials Properties Applications*. London: Chapman & Hall, 1997.
- [132] A. Jacquot, "Bilder vom thg-aufbau," 2006.
- [133] M. D. Semiconductor, "Inaccuracies of estimating remaining cell capacity with voltage measurements alone," Maxim Dallas Semiconductor," Application Note, April 2001.
- [134] B. Schweighofer, K. Raab, and G. Brasseur, "Modeling of high power automotive batteries by the use of an automated test system," *Instrumentation and Measurement, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1087–1091, 2003.

- [135] S. Buller, M. Thele, R. De Doncker, and E. Karden, "Impedancebased simulation models of supercapacitors and Li-ion batteries for power electronic applications," *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 742–747, 2005.
- [136] Z. Salameh, M. Casacca, and W. Lynch, "A mathematical model for leadacid batteries," *Energy Conversion*, *IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 93–98, 1992.
- [137] Clima Temperatur Systeme CTS, http://www.cts-umweltsimulation.de.
- [138] The MathWorks, http://www.mathworks.com/.
- [139] EasyFit:: Distribution Fitting Made Easy, http://www.mathwave.com/products/easyfit.html.